

On Fri, Apr 29, 2022 at 11:44 AM Caryn Ann Harlos <carynannharlos@gmail.com> wrote:

Dr. Moulton had asked a question regarding the relevance of the submission of the Region 8 agreement for which I would to provide an answer. It was not submitted as any kind of definitive proof, it was submitted as part of a constellation of support. More than the minimum member signatures came in in less than 24 hours. I do believe that is a record. Compare that to the months that the rejected Phillies-led member appeal took. Signatures are still coming in. The states in the new region 8 agreement (put aside MA for now) are the same states as the current Region 8 and though geographical proximity is not required for a region, it does allow for greater personal familiarity with the issues of the other constituent states and the states that have been part of this region for the past two years and know the issues and the players all chose to sign with the Cordio board. That alone is not proof. That along with everything else shows where the membership and closest leadership support lies.

It was mentioned that New York would have been willing to sign with either or both MA groups. I cannot, nor do I wish to, speak for New York, the objective fact is they signed with the Cordio board no matter what other contra-factuals they may also have agreed to. And I believe the reason for this is obvious - while New York may have (I do not know) been willing to sign with either, Connecticut and New Hampshire, at a minimum, would sign ONLY with the Cordio board and without CT and NH the region would not stay together. These are the facts without having to guess at motivations. I suspect if other regions form prior to the Amicus deadline that you may receive resolutions of support from them. There comes a time when the "leaders" have to listen to the average members or risk an outright disintegration.

Slowly but inexorably, the membership is rallying behind the victims of the truly gross MA purge, and the leaders of this Party ignore this - not just at their own peril (not literally but to their future leadership roles in the Party) - but at the peril of the very future of the Party itself.

I hope that the above provided context for why that agreement was filed. I remain willing and available to answer any further questions involving my opinion, advice, and representation of Brodi Elwood, assistance to Andrew Cordio with the consent of Brodi Elwood, and on my own standing as a member petitioner.

I have cc'd Nolan Pelletier (LPNH Chair) above so he can confirm that I have correctly represented New Hampshire's position. You have already heard directly from Mr. Dincher of CT. I hope you also hear from some of the other states.

Thank you again for your consideration.

In Liberty,

*Caryn Ann Harlos*