



# T LIBERTARIAN

## Report of the Platform Committee to the delegates of the Libertarian National Convention of 2020

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Caryn Ann Harlos – Committee Chair (*LNC*)  
Omar Recuero – Committee Secretary (*FL*)  
Matt Cholko (*TX*)  
Laura Ebke (*LNC*)  
Richard Fast (*LNC*)  
Jim Fulner (*MI*)  
Helen Gilson (*OH*)  
Paul Grindle (*NY*)  
Thomas Knapp (*LNC*)  
Kat Martin (*CO*)  
Randy McGlenn (*WA*)  
Joshua McHoes (*AK*)  
Al Meckley (*PA*)  
Bennett Morris (*IL*)  
Darryl Perry (*NH*)  
Roger Roots (*MT*)  
Brian Slowinski (*GA*)  
Aaron Starr (*CA*)  
Curry Taylor (*TX*)  
Duke Van Horn (*LNC*)

#### Alternates:

Geoff Adams (*TX Alt*)  
Jim Cavoli and John Fockler (*OH Alts*)  
Fred Cole (*NY Alt*)  
Spencer Dias and AJ Olding (*NH Alts*)  
Tiffany Diaz DeLeon (*WA Alt*)  
Richard Longstreth (*LNC Alt*)  
Alicia Mattson (*CA Alt*)  
Bette Rose Ryan (*CO Alt*)  
Gus Tatlas and Luke Ensor (*PA Alts*)  
Jon Watts and Matthew Maixner (*AK Alts*)

#### **NOTES:**

This report is subject to amendment at any time prior to the opening gaveling of the physical convention in July 2020.

The entire current platform can be found at: [www.lp.org/platform](http://www.lp.org/platform). This report merely shows the portions for which the committee is recommending changes.

---

## **Table of Contents**

***Proposal 1 Amend current Plank 1.9 “Self-Defense” 4***

***Proposal 2 Amend current Plank 1.7 “Crime and Justice” 5***

***Proposal 3 Move current Plank 3.4 “Free Trade and Migration” to Section 1 following  
current Plank 1.7 “Crime and Justice” 7***

***Proposal 4 Amend current Plank 3.4 “Free Trade and Migration” 8***

***Proposal 5 Amend current Plank 4.0 “Omissions” 9***

Minority Report on Proposal 5 10

***Proposal 6 Add new Plank 1.XX “Space Exploration” to the end of Section 1 11***

***Proposal 7 Amend current Plank 3.0 “Securing Liberty” 12***

***Proposal 8 Amend current Plank 3.2 “Internal Security and Individual Rights” 13***

***Proposal 9 Add new Plank “Gender Autonomy” to Section 1 after current Plank 1.4  
“Personal Relationships” 14***

Minority Report on Proposal 9 15

***Proposal 10 Amend current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination” 16***

***Proposal 11 Amend current Plank 2.8 “Marketplace Freedom” 17***

***Proposal 12 Amend current Plank 4.0 “Omissions” 18***

***Proposal 13 Amend current Plank 2.1 “Healthcare” 19***

***Proposal 14 Amend current Plank 2.8 “Marketplace Freedom” 20***

***Proposal 15 Add new Plank “Law Enforcement” prior to current Plank 1.7 “Crime and  
Justice” 21***

***Proposal 16 Amend current Plank 3.3 “International Affairs” 22***

***Proposal 17 Amend current Plank 3.2 “Internal Security and Individual Rights” 23***

Minority Report on Proposal 17 24

Comparison of Majority and Minority Reports of Proposal 17 25

***Proposal 18 Amend current Plank 2.1 “Healthcare” 26***

---

### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***~~red bold italic strikethrough~~***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

***Proposal 19 Add new Plank 2.15 “Intellectual Property” to the end of Section 2 27***

---

***Proposal 20 Amend current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination” 28***

---

***Proposal 21 Add new Plank 3.7 “Territorial Autonomy” to the end of Section 3 before  
current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination” 29***

Minority Report on Proposal 21 30

***Supplements to Committee Report 31***

---

***Interpretative Notes 31***

---

***APPENDIX A - Marked-Up Copy of Platform With Proposals 32***

---

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***~~red bold italic strikethrough~~***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Proposal 1**  
**Amend current Plank 1.9 “Self-Defense”**  
 Adopted 16-0-1-3

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
<p>The only legitimate use of force is in defense of individual rights — life, liberty, and justly acquired property — against aggression. This right inheres in the individual, who may agree to be aided by any other individual or group. We affirm the individual right recognized by the Second Amendment to keep and bear arms, and oppose the prosecution of individuals for exercising their rights of self-defense. Private property owners should be free to establish their own conditions regarding the presence of personal defense weapons on their own property. We oppose all laws at any level of government restricting, registering, or monitoring the ownership, manufacture, or transfer of firearms or ammunition.</p>	<p>The only legitimate use of force is in defense of individual rights — life, liberty, and justly acquired property — against aggression. This right inheres in the individual, who may agree to be aided by any other individual or group. We affirm the individual right recognized by the Second Amendment to keep and bear arms, and oppose the prosecution of individuals for exercising their rights of self-defense. Private property owners should be free to establish their own conditions regarding the presence of personal defense weapons on their own property. We oppose all laws at any level of government restricting, registering, or monitoring the ownership, manufacture, or transfer of <u>firearms, <del>or</del> ammunition, <del>or</del> firearm accessories.</u></p>	<p>The only legitimate use of force is in defense of individual rights — life, liberty, and justly acquired property — against aggression. This right inheres in the individual, who may agree to be aided by any other individual or group. We affirm the individual right recognized by the Second Amendment to keep and bear arms, and oppose the prosecution of individuals for exercising their rights of self-defense. Private property owners should be free to establish their own conditions regarding the presence of personal defense weapons on their own property. We oppose all laws at any level of government restricting, registering, or monitoring the ownership, manufacture, or transfer of firearms, ammunition, or firearm accessories.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 1***

This proposal fills a gap in the Platform regarding our opposition to restrictions on “firearm accessories.” In the recent past, the government has pursued prohibitions on items such as on bump stocks, so this explicit is necessary for clarity of our position.

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *~~red bold italic strikethrough~~*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Proposal 2**  
**Amend current Plank 1.7 “Crime and Justice”**  
 Adopted 15-1-1-3

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
<p>Government force must be limited to the protection of the rights of individuals to life, liberty, and property, and governments must never be permitted to violate these rights. Laws should be limited in their application to violations of the rights of others through force or fraud, or to deliberate actions that place others involuntarily at significant risk of harm. Therefore, we favor the repeal of all laws creating “crimes” without victims, such as gambling, the use of drugs for medicinal or recreational purposes, and consensual transactions involving sexual services. We support restitution to the victim to the fullest degree possible at the expense of the criminal or the negligent wrongdoer. The constitutional rights of the criminally accused, including due process, a speedy trial, legal counsel, trial by jury, and the legal presumption of innocence until proven guilty, must be preserved. We assert the common-law right of juries to judge not only the facts but also the justice of the law. We oppose the prosecutorial practice of “over-charging” in criminal prosecutions so as to avoid jury trials by intimidating defendants into accepting plea bargains.</p>	<p>Government force must be limited to the protection of the rights of individuals to life, liberty, and property, and governments must never be permitted to violate these rights. Laws should be limited in their application to violations of the rights of others through force or fraud, or to deliberate actions that place others involuntarily at significant risk of harm. Therefore, we favor the repeal of all laws creating “crimes” without victims, such as gambling, the use of drugs for medicinal or recreational purposes, and consensual transactions involving sexual services. We support restitution to the victim to the fullest degree possible at the expense of the criminal or the negligent wrongdoer. The constitutional rights of the criminally accused, including due process, a speedy trial, legal counsel, trial by jury, and the legal presumption of innocence until proven guilty, must be preserved. We assert the common-law right of juries to judge not only the facts but also the justice of the law. We oppose the prosecutorial practice of “over-charging” in criminal prosecutions so as to avoid jury trials by intimidating defendants into accepting plea bargains. <u><b>Additionally, we believe police and prosecutors should be held accountable for misconduct that leads to wrongful convictions, or other acts of injustice.</b></u></p>	<p>Government force must be limited to the protection of the rights of individuals to life, liberty, and property, and governments must never be permitted to violate these rights. Laws should be limited in their application to violations of the rights of others through force or fraud, or to deliberate actions that place others involuntarily at significant risk of harm. Therefore, we favor the repeal of all laws creating “crimes” without victims, such as gambling, the use of drugs for medicinal or recreational purposes, and consensual transactions involving sexual services. We support restitution to the victim to the fullest degree possible at the expense of the criminal or the negligent wrongdoer. The constitutional rights of the criminally accused, including due process, a speedy trial, legal counsel, trial by jury, and the legal presumption of innocence until proven guilty, must be preserved. We assert the common-law right of juries to judge not only the facts but also the justice of the law. We oppose the prosecutorial practice of “over-charging” in criminal prosecutions so as to avoid jury trials by intimidating defendants into accepting plea bargains. Additionally, we believe police and prosecutors should be held accountable for misconduct that leads to wrongful convictions, or other acts of injustice.</p>

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ~~red bold italic strikethrough~~

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

***Rationale for Proposal 2***

There is insufficient accountability in the government sector for bad actors. This change in the platform would call for the Libertarian principle of personal accountability of all government bad actors.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***~~red bold italic strikethrough~~***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 3**  
**Move current Plank 3.4 “Free Trade and Migration” to Section 1**  
**following current Plank 1.7 “Crime and Justice”**

Adopted 13-1-2-4

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
<p><b>3.4 Free Trade and Migration</b></p> <p>We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be unreasonably constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.</p>	<p><del>3.4</del> <b><u>1.X</u></b> Free Trade and Migration</p> <p>We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be unreasonably constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.</p>	<p><b>1.X Free Trade and Migration</b></p> <p>We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be unreasonably constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 3***

While there are economic impacts entailed in this plank, as there are economic impacts in every facet of voluntary and peaceful relations, the main thrust of this plank is the free movement of people, and thus it belongs in the Personal Liberty section rather than the Economic Liberty section.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 4**  
**Amend current Plank 3.4 “Free Trade and Migration”**  
 Adopted 12-1-1-6

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be unreasonably constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.	We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be <b>unreasonably</b> constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.	We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.

***Rationale for Proposal 4***

Removing this word shows that Libertarians do not believe that governments or other people can add any various limitations under the excuse of “reasonableness.” The only justification for restraining the rights of individual is aggression, and that exclusion is already repeated throughout our platform as our underlying interpretative philosophy.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 5**  
**Amend current Plank 4.0 “Omissions”**  
 Adopted 12-2-0-6

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, should not be construed to imply approval.	Our silence about any other government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, <u>including the necessity of any particular governmental system</u> , should not be construed to imply approval.	Our silence about any other government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, including the necessity of any particular governmental system, should not be construed to imply approval.

***Rationale for Proposal 5***

If Libertarians are confused about the implications of our Platform, then certainly outsiders will be as well. The Libertarian Party takes no position as to whether or not a state or government is ultimately desirable or necessary having settled this dispute by amending the Statement of Principles to that effect in 1974. However, transitional statements in the Platform have confused members over the years and caused needless divisions and arguments between advocates of minimal government and advocates of no government. This addition makes it clear that that both positions are acceptable, and as far as the Libertarian Party is concerned, neither is more “Libertarian” than the other.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *~~red bold italic strikethrough~~*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

### ***Minority Report on Proposal 5***

Sponsored by Fast, Knapp, McHoes, Perry

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, should not be construed to imply approval.	Our silence about any other government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, <b><u>or even the necessity of government itself,</u></b> should not be construed to imply approval.	Our silence about any other government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, including the necessity of any particular governmental system, should not be construed to imply approval.

### ***Rationale for Minority Report on Proposal 5***

The minority agrees with the rationale stated by the majority; however, we believe this amendment makes that point with more clarity (and fewer words).

---

#### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***~~red bold italic strikethrough~~***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 6**  
**Add new Plank 1.XX “Space Exploration” to the end of Section 1**  
 Adopted 12-6-1-1

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
N/A	<p><b><u>1.XX Space Exploration</u></b></p> <p><b><u>We oppose all government restrictions upon voluntary, peaceful use of outer space.</u></b></p>	<p><b>1.XX Space Exploration</b></p> <p>We oppose all government restrictions upon voluntary, peaceful use of outer space.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 6***

Private space service has supplanted government-sponsored space service in several key areas. What was once a matter of science fiction has become more real. New innovations from private companies include better, reusable rocket designs and efficient cost-savings measures. These advances by the private sector have injected new interest into space exploration and made possible missions which were not possible a decade earlier. Increasingly, it appears that many space services and exploration will in the future be driven by private industry rather than by NASA. NASA may always have a role in space investigations, but in the near future private industry and/or organizations may set the pace for exploratory as well as routine space missions. This new plank would allow us to communicate to the public that we welcome a new space age for private organizations and individuals, one which is unencumbered by burdensome government regulations.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 7**  
**Amend current Plank 3.0 “Securing Liberty”**  
 Adopted 12-6-1-1

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
The protection of individual rights is the only proper purpose of government. Government is constitutionally limited so as to prevent the infringement of individual rights by the government itself. The principle of non-initiation of force should guide the relationships between governments.	<del>The protection of individual rights is the</del> The only proper purpose of government, <u>should it exist, is the protection of individual rights.</u> Government is constitutionally limited so as to prevent the infringement of individual rights by the government itself. The principle of non-initiation of force should guide the relationships between governments.	The only proper purpose of government, should it exist, is the protection of individual rights. Government is constitutionally limited so as to prevent the infringement of individual rights by the government itself. The principle of non-initiation of force should guide the relationships between governments.

***Rationale for Proposal 7***

This change brings the platform into line with the Statement of Principles which is the superior authority. The Statement of Principles is very careful to honor the Party’s agnosticism regarding the necessity of government by noting that while the government does presently exist and must have certain limitations, it is acceptable to advocate for its nonexistence.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *red bold italic strikethrough*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Proposal 8**  
**Amend current Plank 3.2 “Internal Security and Individual Rights”**  
 Adopted 11-0-3-6

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
<p>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement must not take priority over maintaining the civil liberties of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war. Intelligence agencies that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation must be subject to oversight and transparency. We oppose the government’s use of secret classifications to keep from the public information that it should have, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement must not take priority over maintaining the civil liberties of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended <del>even during time of war</del> <u>or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster or emergency, or any other pretense</u>. Intelligence agencies that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation must be subject to oversight and transparency. We oppose the government’s use of secret classifications to keep from the public information that it should have, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement must not take priority over maintaining the civil liberties of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster or emergency, or any other pretense. Intelligence agencies that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation must be subject to oversight and transparency. We oppose the government’s use of secret classifications to keep from the public information that it should have, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 8***

Recent events have proven the maxim that the government will not let any emergency go to waste and will use it to violate individual liberties under the justification of fear and safety. The rights of peaceful people are inviolable, and this addition will strengthen our voice on that issue.

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ~~red bold italic strikethrough~~

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 9**  
**Add new Plank “Gender Autonomy” to Section 1 after current Plank 1.4**  
**“Personal Relationships”**

Adopted 11-5-1-3

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
N/A	<p><b><u>1.X Gender Autonomy</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Individuals have the sole authority to determine and express their own gender identities. No entity has the power to supersede this individual authority.</u></b></p>	<p><b>1.X Gender Autonomy</b></p> <p>Individuals have the sole authority to determine and express their own gender identities. No entity has the power to supersede this individual authority.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 9***

Self-identity resides with the individual and not the government or any other authority. This plank makes it clear that the Libertarian Party holds this right to be an essential part of Personal Liberty.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

### ***Minority Report on Proposal 9***

Sponsored by Cholko, Fast, Knapp, McHoes, Roots

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
N/A	<b><u>1.X Gender Autonomy</u></b>  <b><u>Individuals have the sole authority to determine and express their own gender identities.</u></b>	<b>1.X Gender Autonomy</b>  Individuals have the sole authority to determine and express their own gender identities.

### ***Rationale for Minority Report on Proposal 9***

The minority believes that the second sentence of the majority proposal is overboard and subject to interpretation clearly out of the bounds of Libertarianism. For instance, would that sentence imply that private organizations that have religious convictions on gender be forced to affirm the truth of any self-identity? While we might hope they would make such affirmation, this cannot be forced as freedom of conscience and mind are as fundamental as self-identity.

---

#### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 10**  
**Amend current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination”**  
 Adopted 11-6-0-2

<b>CUURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty.	Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, <del>and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty.</del>	Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it.

***Rationale for Proposal 10***

This last clause is redundant at best (as the prior clause already states that people may alter their forms of government) and violative of the Dallas Accord at worst as it could be interpreted to require the establishment of a new government if the former is abolished. This is contrary to the Libertarian Party’s official position of agnosticism on the ultimate necessity of government in which it is acceptable to abolish government and not replace it.

For more information on the Dallas Accord see: [https://lpedia.org/wiki/Dallas\\_Accord](https://lpedia.org/wiki/Dallas_Accord)

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ~~red bold italic strikethrough~~

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 11**  
**Amend current Plank 2.8 “Marketplace Freedom”**  
 Adopted 10-3-0-7

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
<p>Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form corporations, cooperatives and other types of entities based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise.</p>	<p>Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form corporations, cooperatives and other types of entities based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise. <b><u>We reject government charter of corporations. We call for a separation of business and state.</u></b></p>	<p>Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form corporations, cooperatives and other types of entities based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise. We reject government charter of corporations. We call for a separation of business and state.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 11***

The government should not be in the business of granting rights to any entities that are greater than the sum of the rights of the individuals comprised therein nor should people require authority from the government to form any peaceful business enterprise. The current charter of corporations is both a government permission slip and a grant of special privileges neither of which are consistent with Libertarian principles.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 12**  
**Amend current Plank 4.0 “Omissions”**  
 Adopted 10-3-0-7

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, should not be construed to imply approval.	<b><u>In every matter, we advocate the consistent application of the principle of the non-initiation of coercion, physical force, or fraud.</u></b> Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, should not be construed to imply approval.	In every matter, we advocate the consistent application of the principle of the non-initiation of coercion, physical force, or fraud. Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, should not be construed to imply approval.

***Rationale for Proposal 12***

The overriding philosophy of the Libertarian Party envisions a world free from force and fraud. While the current language tells readers what not to assume from silence, it gives no guidance on how to come to what might be the Party position on issues for which it is currently silent. By reminding readers of this overarching principle, it gives guidance on how other issues might be viewed and which options would not be possible. It also serves as a satisfying literary bookend.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 13**  
**Amend current Plank 2.1 “Healthcare”**  
 Adopted 10-5-3-2

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
<p>We favor a free market health care system. We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines.</p>	<p>We favor a free market health care system. We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines. <b><u>We oppose the government mandating or denying treatments or vaccines.</u></b></p>	<p>We favor a free market health care system. We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines.</p>

### ***Rationale for Proposal 13***

There is nothing more fundamental to self-ownership than the freedom to make voluntary choices about what substances are injected into one’s own body and the medical treatments to choose or deny. With this current pandemic, the specter of mandatory vaccination, if one is developed, is a real free threat to liberty.

---

#### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 14**  
**Amend current Plank 2.8 “Marketplace Freedom”**  
 Adopted 10-6-1-3

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
<p>Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form corporations, cooperatives and other types of entities based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise.</p>	<p>Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form <del>corporations, cooperatives and other types of entities</del> <u>commercial enterprises</u> based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise.</p>	<p>Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form commercial enterprises based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 14***

We cannot predict nor should we limit the types of peaceful business models that people may choose. This broader terminology acknowledges that reality.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *red bold italic strikethrough*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

## Proposal 15

### Add new Plank “Law Enforcement” prior to current Plank 1.7 “Crime and Justice”

Adopted 7-4-0-1

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
n/a	<p><b><u>1.X Law Enforcement</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Government policing should be replaced with voluntary peacekeeping such as by neighborhood watch organizations and private security.</u></b></p>	<p><b>1.X Law Enforcement</b></p> <p>Government policing should be replaced with voluntary peacekeeping such as by neighborhood watch organizations and private security.</p>

### ***Rationale for Proposal 15***

While the current Platform lays out the Party’s positions on some criminal justice issues, it does not fully address the problem of modern policing, which is an independent menace to liberty. America was founded without paid professional police. The Bill of Rights was written without any notion that the country would someday be patrolled by armies of government troops. This platform plank recognizes that no true libertarian society will ever exist so long as policing in its modern form exists.

---

#### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Proposal 16**  
**Amend current Plank 3.3 “International Affairs**  
 Adopted 10-8-0-2

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
<p>American foreign policy should seek an America at peace with the world. Our foreign policy should emphasize defense against attack from abroad and enhance the likelihood of peace by avoiding foreign entanglements. We would end the current U.S. government policy of foreign intervention, including military and economic aid. We recognize the right of all people to resist tyranny and defend themselves and their rights. We condemn the use of force, and especially the use of terrorism, against the innocent, regardless of whether such acts are committed by governments or by political or revolutionary groups.</p>	<p>American foreign <del>policy should seek an America at peace with the world.</del> Our foreign policy should emphasize <del>defense against attack from abroad and enhance the likelihood of</del> <u>peace with all nations, entangling alliances with none</u> <del>by avoiding foreign entanglements.</del> We would end the current U.S. government policies of foreign intervention including military and economic aid; <u>tariffs; economic sanctions; and regime change.</u> We recognize the right of all people to resist tyranny and defend themselves and their rights. We condemn the use of force, and especially the use of terrorism, against the innocent, regardless of whether such acts are committed by governments or by political or revolutionary groups.</p>	<p>American foreign policy should emphasize peace with all nations, entangling alliances with none. We would end the current U.S. government policies of foreign intervention including military and economic aid; tariffs; economic sanctions; and regime change. We recognize the right of all people to resist tyranny and defend themselves and their rights. We condemn the use of force, and especially the use of terrorism, against the innocent, regardless of whether such acts are committed by governments or by political or revolutionary groups.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 16***

The current language of this plank is too militaristic rather than emphasizing the Libertarian position of peace and non-interventionism. Further, there is a need to recognize that intervention takes more forms than military involvement but also includes punitive economic policies and political sabotage.

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Proposal 17**  
**Amend current Plank 3.2 “Internal Security and Individual Rights”**  
 Adopted 10-9-0-1

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
<p>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement must not take priority over maintaining the civil liberties of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war. Intelligence agencies that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation must be subject to oversight and transparency. We oppose the government’s use of secret classifications to keep from the public information that it should have, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p><del>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement</del> <u>The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats</u> must not take priority over maintaining <del>the people's</del> <u>the people's</u> civil liberties <del>of our citizens</del>. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war. Intelligence agencies <del>that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation</del> must be subject to <u>rigorous</u> oversight and transparency <u>by the people</u>. We oppose the government’s use of secret classifications to keep <u>information</u> from the public <del>information that it should have,</del> especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p>The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats must not take priority over maintaining people’s civil liberties. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war. Intelligence agencies must be subject to rigorous oversight and transparency by the people. We oppose the government’s use of secret classifications to keep information from the public, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>

**Rationale for Proposal 17**

The former language prioritizes spying and government intelligence over the rights of people which is exactly opposite of what it should be. Mr. Snowden has taught us well the dangers of government overreach in spying and the need for oversight.

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *red bold italic strikethrough*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Minority Report on Proposal 17**

Sponsored by Fast, Knapp, McHoes, Perry

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
<p>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement must not take priority over maintaining the civil liberties of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war. Intelligence agencies that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation must be subject to oversight and transparency. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep from the public information that it should have, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p><del>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement</del> <u>We believe the liberties supposedly protected by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights shall not be suspended or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster, or any other pretense. The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats</u> must not take priority over maintaining <del>the people's civil liberties of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war.</del> Intelligence agencies <del>that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation</del> must be subject to <u>rigorous</u> oversight and transparency <u>by the people</u>. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep <u>information</u> from the public <del>information that it should have,</del> especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p>We believe the liberties supposedly protected by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights shall not be suspended or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster, or any other pretense. The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats must not take priority over maintaining people's civil liberties. Intelligence agencies must be subject to rigorous oversight and transparency by the people. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep information from the public, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>

**Rationale for Minority Report on Proposal 17**

The minority believes that this language is a more elegant way to accomplish the rationale expressed by the majority. Further it does not give the false impression that all Libertarians are Constitutionlists as they most surely are not.

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *red bold italic strikethrough*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Comparison of Majority and Minority Reports of Proposal 17**

MAJORITY REPORT	MINORITY REPORT
<p><del>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement</del> <u>The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats</u> must not take priority over maintaining <del>the people's</del> <u>the people's</u> civil liberties <del>of our citizens</del>. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war. Intelligence agencies <del>that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation</del> must be subject to <u>rigorous</u> oversight and transparency <u>by the people</u>. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep <u>information</u> from the public <del>information that it should have</del>, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>	<p><del>The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement</del> <u>We believe the liberties supposedly protected by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights shall not be suspended or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster, or any other pretense. The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats</u> must not take priority over maintaining <del>the people's</del> <u>the people's</u> civil liberties <del>of our citizens. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war.</del> Intelligence agencies <del>that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation</del> must be subject to <u>rigorous</u> oversight and transparency <u>by the people</u>. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep <u>information</u> from the public <del>information that it should have</del>, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.</p>

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *red bold italic strikethrough*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

**Proposal 18**  
**Amend current Plank 2.1 “Healthcare”**  
 Adopted 9-6-2-1

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
<p>We favor a free market health care system. We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines.</p>	<p>We favor a free market health care system. <b><u>Medical facilities, medical providers, and medical products (including drugs) must be freely available in the marketplace without government restrictions or licenses. As life-choking government regulations are lifted, medical costs will come down and quality will improve until even the poorest Americans can once again afford high quality medical care.</u></b> We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines.</p>	<p>We favor a free market health care system. Medical facilities, medical providers, and medical products (including drugs) must be freely available in the marketplace without government restrictions or licenses. As life-choking government regulations are lifted, medical costs will come down and quality will improve until even the poorest Americans can once again afford high quality medical care. We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 18***

Healthcare is one of the foremost political issues today, and our platform should explain in more concrete terms how the Libertarian position will improve the lives of people. Simply stating principles without benefits in such a personal and emotional issue can leave the false impression that Libertarians are cold and uncaring.

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 19**  
**Add new Plank 2.15 “Intellectual Property” to the end of Section 2**  
 Adopted 9-8-1-2

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
N/A	<p><b><u>2.XX Intellectual Property</u></b></p> <p><b><u>As we oppose all government intervention in marketplaces, we favor the repeal of intellectual property laws. Disputes between inventors, creators, authors, artists, businesses and other such entities should be resolved without government intervention.</u></b></p>	<p><b>2.XX Intellectual Property</b></p> <p>As we oppose all government intervention in marketplaces, we favor the repeal of intellectual property laws. Disputes between inventors, creators, authors, artists, businesses and other such entities should be resolved without government intervention.</p>

***Rationale for Proposal 19***

No matter what position any person takes on intellectual property, it is nearly universally acknowledged that the government’s handling of this has been stifling and ham-handed. There are better free market solutions that can be allowed to flourish if the government would stay out. The market has already pioneered the way with the creation of items such as creative commons licensing.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

**Proposal 20**  
**Amend current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination”**

Adopted 8-7-1-4

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty.	Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty. <b><u>We recognize the right to political secession. Exercise of this right, like the exercise of all other rights, does not remove legal and moral obligations not to violate the rights of others.</u></b>	Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty. We recognize the right to political secession. Exercise of this right, like the exercise of all other rights, does not remove legal and moral obligations not to violate the rights of others.

***Rationale for Proposal 20***

Culture is just beginning to catch up with Libertarians and their strong sense of consent. Consent does not simply apply to romantic or business relationships, but also equally to political arraignments. “No means no” just as clearly when it comes to political association as it does in personal association. Secession down to the level of the individual is a fundamental human right.

---

**LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

## Proposal 21

### Add new Plank 3.7 “Territorial Autonomy” to the end of Section 3 before current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination”

Adopted 8-7-0-5

CURRENT WORDING	PROPOSED AMENDMENT	IF ADOPTED, WILL READ
N/A	<p style="color: blue; text-decoration: underline;"><b>3.X Territorial Autonomy</b></p> <p style="color: blue; text-decoration: underline;"><b>We support self-determination for the territories of the United States. The Federal government should accept the results of a referendum of each territory regarding preferences for statehood, independence, or to maintain their current status. For as long as the United States maintains territories, we support a constitutional amendment granting their full representation in the US House of Representatives.</b></p>	<p><b>3.X Territorial Autonomy</b></p> <p>We support self-determination for the territories of the United States. The Federal government should accept the results of a referendum of each territory regarding preferences for statehood, independence, or to maintain their current status. For as long as the United States maintains territories, we support a constitutional amendment granting their full representation in the US House of Representatives.</p>

### ***Rationale for Proposal 21***

Territories and colonies should be a relic of the past and these people should be given maximum freedom to determine their own destinies. Our platform should boldly declare this right.

---

#### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

### ***Minority Report on Proposal 21***

Sponsored by Cholko, Fast, Knapp, McHoes, Roots

<b>CURRENT WORDING</b>	<b>PROPOSED AMENDMENT</b>	<b>IF ADOPTED, WILL READ</b>
<p>3.7 Self-Determination</p> <p>Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty.</p>	<p>3.7 Self-Determination</p> <p>Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty. <b><u>We support self-determination for the territories of the United States. The Federal government should accept the results of a referendum of each territory regarding preferences for statehood, independence, or to maintain their current status. For as long as the United States maintains territories, we support a constitutional amendment granting their full representation in the US House of Representatives.</u></b></p>	<p>3.7 Self-Determination</p> <p>Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty. We support self-determination for the territories of the United States. The Federal government should accept the results of a referendum of each territory regarding preferences for statehood, independence, or to maintain their current status. For as long as the United States maintains territories, we support a constitutional amendment granting their full representation in the US House of Representatives.</p>

### ***Rationale for Minority Report on Proposal 21***

The minority agrees on the language of the amendment and disagrees on its placement. Rather than comprising a new plank, this language should be appended to the end of the current Plank 3.7 “Self-Determination.”

---

#### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in ***red bold italic strikethrough***

Additions are noted in **blue bold underline**

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

---

## Supplements to Committee Report

Any changes to this report, including additional proposals and Minority Reports, if any, will be provided to the convention in the form of a supplement.

## Interpretative Notes

Each proposal stands on its own, and there may be several proposals on the same plank. The language reflected in the report is the language as it currently exists and does not take into account other changes that might take place prior to the introduction of any particular proposal.

**Honoured to be serving as your national Secretary and the national Platform Committee Chair for the 2018-2020 LNC term,**

*Caryn Ann Harlos*

561.523.2250

[secretary@lp.org](mailto:secretary@lp.org)



---

### **LEGEND**

Deletions are noted in *red bold italic strikethrough*

Additions are noted in blue bold underline

Votes are recorded in the format of FOR-AGAINST-ABSTAIN-DID NOT VOTE and should equal a total of 20 for each proposal

# APPENDIX A - Marked-Up Copy of Platform With Proposals



## **MARK-UP COPY LIBERTARIAN PARTY PLATFORM 2020 NATIONAL PLATFORM COMMITTEE**

### **Legend:**

**Additions** are in blue, bold, underlined text

**Deletions** are in red, bold, strikethrough text

Minority report items follow the primary recommendation in grey and purple text with **additions** and **deletions** noted in bold with underline or strikethrough as appropriate

**Highlighted** items are presently the subject of passing email vote but have not yet been formally decided.

If there are multiple proposals for the same plank, the second proposals will be noted in reverse as follows:

**Additions** are highlighted blue, bold, underlined text with white font

**Deletions** are highlighted red, bold, strikethrough text with white font

### **STATEMENT OF PRINCIPLES**

We, the members of the Libertarian Party, challenge the cult of the omnipotent state and defend the rights of the individual.

We hold that all individuals have the right to exercise sole dominion over their own lives, and have the right to live in whatever manner they choose, so long as they do not forcibly interfere with the equal right of others to live in whatever manner they choose.

Governments throughout history have regularly operated on the opposite principle, that the State has the right to dispose of the lives of individuals and the fruits of their labor. Even within the United States, all political parties other than our own grant to government the right to regulate the lives of individuals and seize the fruits of their labor without their consent.

We, on the contrary, deny the right of any government to do these things, and hold that where governments exist, they must not violate the rights of any individual: namely, (1) the right to life — accordingly we support the prohibition of the initiation of physical force against others; (2) the right to liberty of speech and action — accordingly we oppose all attempts by government to abridge the freedom of speech and press, as well as government censorship in any form; and (3) the right to property — accordingly we oppose all government interference with private property, such as confiscation, nationalization, and eminent domain, and support the prohibition of robbery, trespass, fraud, and misrepresentation.

### **NOTES:**

This report is subject to amendment at any time prior to the opening gaveling of the physical convention in July 2020.

The entire current platform can be found at: [www.lp.org/platform](http://www.lp.org/platform). This report merely shows the portions for which the committee is recommending changes.

Since governments, when instituted, must not violate individual rights, we oppose all interference by government in the areas of voluntary and contractual relations among individuals. People should not be forced to sacrifice their lives and property for the benefit of others. They should be left free by government to deal with one another as free traders; and the resultant economic system, the only one compatible with the protection of individual rights, is the free market.

### ***PREAMBLE***

---

As Libertarians, we seek a world of liberty: a world in which all individuals are sovereign over their own lives and are not forced to sacrifice their values for the benefit of others.

We believe that respect for individual rights is the essential precondition for a free and prosperous world, that force and fraud must be banished from human relationships, and that only through freedom can peace and prosperity be realized.

Consequently, we defend each person's right to engage in any activity that is peaceful and honest, and welcome the diversity that freedom brings. The world we seek to build is one where individuals are free to follow their own dreams in their own ways, without interference from government or any authoritarian power.

In the following pages we set forth our basic principles and enumerate various policy stands derived from those principles.

These specific policies are not our goal, however. Our goal is nothing more nor less than a world set free in our lifetime, and it is to this end that we take these stands.

### ***1.0 PERSONAL LIBERTY***

---

Individuals are inherently free to make choices for themselves and must accept responsibility for the consequences of the choices they make. Our support of an individual's right to make choices in life does not mean that we necessarily approve or disapprove of those choices. No individual, group, or government may rightly initiate force against any other individual, group, or government. Libertarians reject the notion that groups have inherent rights. We support the rights of the smallest minority, the individual.

#### ***1.1 Self-Ownership***

---

Individuals own their bodies and have rights over them that other individuals, groups, and governments may not violate. Individuals have the freedom and responsibility to decide what they knowingly and voluntarily consume, and what risks they accept to their own health, finances, safety, or life.

#### ***1.2 Expression and Communication***

---

We support full freedom of expression and oppose government censorship, regulation, or control of communications media and technology. We favor the freedom to engage in or abstain from any religious activities that do not violate the rights of others. We oppose government actions which either aid or attack any religion.

### **1.3 Privacy**

Libertarians advocate individual privacy and government transparency. We are committed to ending government's practice of spying on everyone. We support the rights recognized by the Fourth Amendment to be secure in our persons, homes, property, and communications. Protection from unreasonable search and seizure should include records held by third parties, such as email, medical, and library records.

### **1.4 Gender Autonomy**

**Individuals have the sole authority to determine and express their own gender identities. No entity has the power to supersede this individual authority.**

*Minority Report:* Individuals have the sole authority to determine and express their own gender identities.

### **1.4 1.5 Personal Relationships**

Sexual orientation, preference, gender, or gender identity should have no impact on the government's treatment of individuals, such as in current marriage, child custody, adoption, immigration, or military service laws. Government does not have the authority to define, promote, license, or restrict personal relationships, regardless of the number of participants. Consenting adults should be free to choose their own sexual practices and personal relationships. Until such time as the government stops its illegitimate practice of marriage licensing, such licenses must be granted to all consenting adults who apply.

### **1.5 1.6 Abortion**

Recognizing that abortion is a sensitive issue and that people can hold good-faith views on all sides, we believe that government should be kept out of the matter, leaving the question to each person for their conscientious consideration.

### **1.6 1.7 Parental Rights**

Parents, or other guardians, have the right to raise their children according to their own standards and beliefs, provided that the rights of children to be free from abuse and neglect are also protected.

### **1.7 1.8 Crime and Justice**

Government force must be limited to the protection of the rights of individuals to life, liberty, and property, and governments must never be permitted to violate these rights. Laws should be limited

in their application to violations of the rights of others through force or fraud, or to deliberate actions that place others involuntarily at significant risk of harm. Therefore, we favor the repeal of all laws creating “crimes” without victims, such as gambling, the use of drugs for medicinal or recreational purposes, and consensual transactions involving sexual services. We support restitution to the victim to the fullest degree possible at the expense of the criminal or the negligent wrongdoer. The constitutional rights of the criminally accused, including due process, a speedy trial, legal counsel, trial by jury, and the legal presumption of innocence until proven guilty, must be preserved. We assert the common-law right of juries to judge not only the facts but also the justice of the law. We oppose the prosecutorial practice of “over-charging” in criminal prosecutions so as to avoid jury trials by intimidating defendants into accepting plea bargains. Additionally, we believe police and prosecutors should be held accountable for misconduct that leads to wrongful convictions, or other acts of injustice.

### **1.9 Free Trade and Migration [moved from 3.4]**<sup>1</sup>

We support the removal of governmental impediments to free trade. Political freedom and escape from tyranny demand that individuals not be **unreasonably** constrained by government in the crossing of political boundaries. Economic freedom demands the unrestricted movement of human as well as financial capital across national borders.

### ~~1.8~~ **1.10 Death Penalty**

We oppose the administration of the death penalty by the state.

### ~~1.9~~ **1.11 Self-Defense**

The only legitimate use of force is in defense of individual rights — life, liberty, and justly acquired property — against aggression. This right inheres in the individual, who may agree to be aided by any other individual or group. We affirm the individual right recognized by the Second Amendment to keep and bear arms, and oppose the prosecution of individuals for exercising their rights of self-defense. Private property owners should be free to establish their own conditions regarding the presence of personal defense weapons on their own property. We oppose all laws at any level of government restricting, registering, or monitoring the ownership, manufacture, or transfer of firearms, ~~or~~ ammunition, or firearm accessories.

### **1.12 Space Exploration**

We oppose all government restrictions upon voluntary, peaceful use of outer space.

## **2.0 ECONOMIC LIBERTY**

Libertarians want all members of society to have abundant opportunities to achieve economic success. A free and competitive market allocates resources in the most efficient manner. Each person has the right to offer goods and services to others on the free market. The only proper role of government in the economic realm is to protect property rights, adjudicate disputes, and provide a

<sup>1</sup> The deletion and the move of the entire plank are two separate and independent proposals.

legal framework in which voluntary trade is protected. All efforts by government to redistribute wealth, or to control or manage trade, are improper in a free society.

### ***2.1 Property and Contract***

---

As respect for property rights is fundamental to maintaining a free and prosperous society, it follows that the freedom to contract to obtain, retain, profit from, manage, or dispose of one's property must also be upheld. Libertarians would free property owners from government restrictions on their rights to control and enjoy their property, as long as their choices do not harm or infringe on the rights of others. Eminent domain, civil asset forfeiture, governmental limits on profits, governmental production mandates, and governmental controls on prices of goods and services (including wages, rents, and interest) are abridgements of such fundamental rights. For voluntary dealings among private entities, parties should be free to choose with whom they trade and set whatever trade terms are mutually agreeable.

### ***2.2 Environment***

---

Competitive free markets and property rights stimulate the technological innovations and behavioral changes required to protect our environment and ecosystems. Private landowners and conservation groups have a vested interest in maintaining natural resources. Governments are unaccountable for damage done to our environment and have a terrible track record when it comes to environmental protection. Protecting the environment requires a clear definition and enforcement of individual rights and responsibilities regarding resources like land, water, air, and wildlife. Where damages can be proven and quantified in a court of law, restitution to the injured parties must be required.

### ***2.3 Energy and Resources***

---

While energy is needed to fuel a modern society, government should not be subsidizing any particular form of energy. We oppose all government control of energy pricing, allocation, and production.

### ***2.4 Government Finance and Spending***

---

All persons are entitled to keep the fruits of their labor. We call for the repeal of the income tax, the abolishment of the Internal Revenue Service and all federal programs and services not required under the U.S. Constitution. We oppose any legal requirements forcing employers to serve as tax collectors. We support any initiative to reduce or abolish any tax, and oppose any increase on any tax for any reason. To the extent possible, we advocate that all public services be funded in a voluntary manner.

### ***2.5 Government Debt***

---

Government should not incur debt, which burdens future generations without their consent. We support the passage of a "Balanced Budget Amendment" to the U.S. Constitution, provided that the budget is balanced exclusively by cutting expenditures, and not by raising taxes.

---

### **2.6 Government Employees**

We favor repealing any requirement that one must join or pay dues to a union as a condition of government employment. We advocate replacing defined-benefit pensions with defined-contribution plans, as are commonly offered in the private sector, so as not to impose debt on future generations without their consent.

---

### **2.7 Money and Financial Markets**

We favor free-market banking, with unrestricted competition among banks and depository institutions of all types. Markets are not actually free unless fraud is vigorously combated. Those who enjoy the possibility of profits must not impose risks of losses upon others, such as through government guarantees or bailouts. We support ending federal student loan guarantees and special treatment of student loan debt in bankruptcy proceedings. Individuals engaged in voluntary exchange should be free to use as money any mutually agreeable commodity or item. We support a halt to inflationary monetary policies and unconstitutional legal tender laws.

---

### **2.8 Marketplace Freedom**

Libertarians support free markets. We defend the right of individuals to form **corporations, cooperatives and other types of entities** **commercial enterprises**<sup>2</sup> based on voluntary association. We oppose all forms of government subsidies and bailouts to business, labor, or any other special interest. Government should not compete with private enterprise. **We reject government charter of corporations. We call for a separation of business and state.**

---

### **2.9 Licensing**

Libertarians support the right of every person to earn an honest and peaceful living through the free and voluntary exchange of goods and services. Accordingly, we oppose occupational and other licensing laws that infringe on this right or treat it as a state-granted privilege. We encourage certifications by voluntary associations of professionals.

---

### **2.10 Sex Work**

The Libertarian Party supports the decriminalization of prostitution. We assert the right of consenting adults to provide sexual services to clients for compensation, and the right of clients to purchase sexual services from consenting sex workers.

---

### **2.11 Labor Markets**

Employment and compensation agreements between private employers and employees are outside the scope of government, and these contracts should not be encumbered by government-mandated benefits or social engineering. We support the right of private employers and employees to choose whether or not to bargain with each other through a labor union. Bargaining should be free of government interference, such as compulsory arbitration or imposing an obligation to bargain.

---

<sup>2</sup> This language is the subject of a pending passing email ballot but cannot be highlighted due to the necessary reverse formatting.

---

### ***2.12 Education***

Education is best provided by the free market, achieving greater quality, accountability, and efficiency with more diversity of choice. Recognizing that the education of children is a parental responsibility, we would restore authority to parents to determine the education of their children, without interference from government. Parents should have control of and responsibility for all funds expended for their children's education.

---

### ***2.13 Health Care***

We favor a free market health care system. Medical facilities, medical providers, and medical products (including drugs) must be freely available in the marketplace without government restrictions or licenses. As life-choking government regulations are lifted, medical costs will come down and quality will improve until even the poorest Americans can once again afford high quality medical care. We recognize the freedom of individuals to determine the level of health insurance they want (if any), the level of health care they want, the care providers they want, the medicines and treatments they will use and all other aspects of their medical care, including end-of-life decisions. People should be free to purchase health insurance across state lines. We oppose the government mandating or denying treatments or vaccines.

---

### ***2.14 Intellectual Property***

As we oppose all government intervention in marketplaces, we favor the repeal of intellectual property laws. Disputes between inventors, creators, authors, artists, businesses, and other such entities should be resolved without government interference.

---

### ***2.14 2.15 Retirement and Income Security***

Retirement planning is the responsibility of the individual, not the government. Libertarians would phase out the current government-sponsored Social Security system and transition to a private voluntary system. The proper and most effective source of help for the poor is the voluntary efforts of private groups and individuals. We believe members of society will become even more charitable and civil society will be strengthened as government reduces its activity in this realm.

---

## ***3.0 SECURING LIBERTY***

~~The protection of individual rights is the only proper purpose of government.~~ The only proper purpose of government, should it exist, is the protection of individual rights.<sup>3</sup>

Government is constitutionally limited so as to prevent the infringement of individual rights by the government itself. The principle of non-initiation of force should guide the relationships between governments.

---

### ***3.1 National Defense***

---

<sup>3</sup> This language had passed, but there was a technical defect with the vote. It is in the process of being ratified.

We support the maintenance of a sufficient military to defend the United States against aggression. The United States should both avoid entangling alliances and abandon its attempts to act as policeman for the world. We oppose any form of compulsory national service.

### ***3.2 Internal Security and Individual Rights***

~~The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement~~ **The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats** must not take priority over maintaining the **people's** civil liberties of **our citizens**. The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended ~~even during time of war~~ **or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster or emergency, or any other pretense**. Intelligence agencies ~~that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation~~ must be subject to **rigorous** oversight and transparency **by the people**. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep **information** from the public ~~information that it should have~~, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.

*Minority Report:*<sup>4</sup> ~~Recognizing that Libertarians hold diverse views on the state, we believe the liberties supposedly protected by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights shall not be suspended or curtailed, whether based on circumstances of war, natural disaster or emergency, or any other pretense. The defense of the country requires that we have adequate intelligence to detect and to counter threats to domestic security. This requirement~~ **The desire to ensure security, gather intelligence, and repel threats** must not take priority over maintaining the **people's** civil liberties of **our citizens**. ~~The Constitution and Bill of Rights shall not be suspended even during time of war.~~ Intelligence agencies ~~that legitimately seek to preserve the security of the nation~~ must be subject to **rigorous** oversight and transparency **by the people**. We oppose the government's use of secret classifications to keep **information** from the public ~~information that it should have~~, especially that which shows that the government has violated the law. We oppose the use of torture and other cruel and unusual punishments, without exception.

### ***3.3 International Affairs***

American foreign ~~policy should seek an America at peace with the world. Our foreign~~ policy should emphasize **peace with all nations, entangling alliances with none** ~~defense against attack from abroad and enhance the likelihood of peace by avoiding foreign entanglements~~. We would end the current U.S. government policy of foreign intervention, including military and economic aid; **tariffs; economic sanctions; and regime change**. We recognize the right of all people to resist tyranny and defend themselves and their rights. We condemn the use of force, and especially the use of terrorism, against the innocent, regardless of whether such acts are committed by governments or by political or revolutionary groups.

### ***3.5 3.4 Rights and Discrimination***

Libertarians embrace the concept that all people are born with certain inherent rights. We reject the idea that a natural right can ever impose an obligation upon others to fulfill that "right." We

<sup>4</sup> Depending upon the order in which the primary proposals on this plank are presented, either this minority report or one of the primary proposals, might be mooted.

condemn bigotry as irrational and repugnant. Government should neither deny nor abridge any individual's human right based upon sex, wealth, ethnicity, creed, age, national origin, personal habits, political preference, or sexual orientation. Members of private organizations retain their rights to set whatever standards of association they deem appropriate, and individuals are free to respond with ostracism, boycotts, and other free market solutions.

### ~~3.6~~ **3.5 Representative Government**

We support election systems that are more representative of the electorate at the federal, state and local levels. As private voluntary groups, political parties should be free to establish their own rules for nomination procedures, primaries, and conventions. We call for an end to any tax-financed subsidies to candidates or parties and the repeal of all laws which restrict voluntary financing of election campaigns. We oppose laws that effectively exclude alternative candidates and parties, deny ballot access, gerrymander districts, or deny the voters their right to consider all legitimate alternatives. We advocate initiative, referendum, recall, and repeal when used as popular checks on government.

### 3.6 Territorial Autonomy<sup>5</sup>

We support self determination for the territories of the United States. The Federal government should accept the results of a referendum of each territory regarding preferences for statehood, independence, or to maintain their current status. For as long as the United States maintains territories, we support a constitutional amendment granting their full representation in the US House of Representatives.

Minority Report: Add to end of current plank 3.7 "Self-Determination" rather than make stand-alone plank.

### 3.7 Self-Determination

Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, ~~and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty.~~ We recognize the right to political secession. Exercise of this right, like the exercise of all rights, does not remove legal and moral obligations not to violate the rights of others.

Whenever any form of government becomes destructive of individual liberty, it is the right of the people to alter or to abolish it, and to agree to such new governance as to them shall seem most likely to protect their liberty. We support self determination for the territories of the United States. The Federal government should accept the results of a referendum of each territory regarding preferences for statehood, independence, or to maintain their current status. For as long as the United States maintains territories, we support a constitutional amendment granting their full representation in the US House of Representatives.

<sup>5</sup> If this plank was inserted into existing plank with no other plank insertions or moves, it would be 3.7.

#### **4.0 OMISSIONS<sup>6</sup>**

In every matter, we advocate the consistent application of the principle of the non-initiation of coercion, physical force, or fraud. Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, or machination, including the necessity of any particular governmental system, should not be construed to imply approval.

*Minority Report:*<sup>7</sup> Our silence about any other particular government law, regulation, ordinance, directive, edict, control, regulatory agency, activity, ~~or~~ machination, even the necessity of government itself, should not be construed to imply approval.

---

<sup>6</sup> These are two separate and independent proposals.

<sup>7</sup> The minority report expresses no objection to the first change, but it is not included in the report as this is only a minority report with regards to the second change and is expressed using the language as it currently exists.