# Analysis of March's crossover voting 

Registered Democrats more "libertarian" than expected

## by Christopher Schmidt

0ne interesting side-effect of Prop 198 (the blanket primary initiative) is that we can gain some insight into party affinity by examining the crossover vote in the M arch 2000 primary election (not previously possible, but now reported). Contrary to an oft-stated assumption, Republicanswereonly thefourth largest group (17\% of our Presidential vote total) to cast their votes for Libertarians, following Libertarians themselves ( $37 \%$ of the total), Democrats ( $23 \%$ ), and voters who Declineto State a party affiliation (19\%).

| Crossover Vote |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Libertarian | Democrat Republican | AIP | Greens Vatural Law | Reform | decline <br> to state | Total |  |
| Harry Browne | 8852 | 4245 | 3528 | 385 | 110 | 37 | 236 | 3432 | 20825 |
| Dave Lynn Hollist | 781 | 585 | 414 | 70 | 10 | 7 | 21 | 599 | 2487 |
| L. Neil Smith | 1080 | 776 | 474 | 91 | 18 | 8 | 28 | 690 | 3165 |
| Larry Hines | 927 | 749 | 521 | 75 | 17 | 12 | 23 | 660 | 2984 |
| Kip Lee | 717 | 1447 | 708 | 101 | 23 | 15 | 20 | 978 | 4009 |
| Total | 12357 | 7802 | 5645 | 722 | 178 | 79 | 328 | 6359 | 33470 |

Republicans were loathe to cast crossover votes in any other party (only 8\% did), but this is probably because their own primary (Bush vs. McCain) was the most exciting this year. (In fact, moreLibertarians voted in the Republican primary than in our own-true of most other parties' voters as well!)

Continued on page 2

# Formation of a Libertarian supper club? 

by J.R. Prohaska

nterested in the idea of a monthly supper club or café club where politics, political issues, political philosophy are discussed (with a libertarian bent, of course)? I'm kicking around several ideas and am looking for partners to help me define, organize, launch, and run a monthly get together.

If you are interested please call J.R. Prohaska at 650/323-2808. For some interesting background on some of my thoughtspoint your favoriteweb browser at the following article:

> http://www.britannica.com/bcom/ original/article/0,5744,4999,00.html

Watch this newsletter for the latest information!

## May meeting notes

by Christopher Schmidt
As Publisher, I'd like to apologize for the late mailing of the May newsletter. Two different contingency plans for getting the printing done whilel was out of town fell through, and my hours were stretched too thin when I was in town. Please remember that the newsletter is produced entirely by volunteers, and sometimes, well, delay happens!

As a consequence of the lack of notice, M ay's meeting was not a formal central committee meeting, and the business scheduled for that meeting will be taken up at the June meeting, if still appropriate. (Note: the initiative to change county supervisor elections to district elections (previously submitted for our consideration) has since been withdrawn.)
Asit turned out, wehad some potentially contentious executive committee business to take care of in $M$ ay anyway.

## Chairship in debate

Spurred by a dispute concerning Jack's eligibility to serve as an LPSM delegateto the LPC convention back in February (and consequently as an LPC delegateto theupcomingLNC (Libertarian National Committee) convention in July), an LPC officer from outsideour region had asked
the LPSM to examine the question of Jack'seligibility to beelected LPSM Chair last January. (Regional Chairs are delegates to the LPC convention ex oficio.) Although technical opinions varied on that question, and on the related procedural issues, no action was taken, since no one saw any compelling benefit to the LPSM . (Jack's eligibility to serve as Chair today is unambiguous, following hiselection to the central committeeat the M arch primary. Previously, he was an appointee.)

## Independent hears our views

Bob reported that theI ndependent picked up his press release announcing our officerselected at theAnnual M eeting. Jack reported that the Independent honored him by inviting submission of guest editorialsfor futureissues. Congratulations, Jack! To date, two of his columns have appeared in their Peninsula publications.

## Vice Chair appointment

Linden Hsu was appointed to serve as Vice Chair by a unanimous vote of 7-0-0. W elcome aboard, Linden!

## Mailing list change proposal

Bob suggested that this newsletter might poll readers on issues of the day to promotemorecommunity. Someonereplied
that the web is better suited to polling, and I responded that maybe we should switch our mailing listsfrom listbot.com to egroups.com (which supports polling and other features not available on listbot.com). I'll be polling list subscribers to determine their interest level.

## Additional business

At the June central committee meeting, we will conduct elections to fill some vacant offices. (Three officers are presently serving as appointees of the executive committee, on an interim basis.) We may also entertain motions to formally endorse or dis PAVE2000; Tim Draper's competing "voucher" initiative; Quackenbush's recall; and the Prop 26 re-run getting the fast-track from government insiders.

## Next business meetings:

Tuesdays, J une 13; J uly 11
Hobee's Restaurant
1111 Shoreway Road Belmont
(off Ralston on the bay side of 101)

## Dinner (optional):

6:00-7:30pm in the dining room alcove

## Business meeting:

7:30-8:45 рм in the dining room alcove

## Libertarian Party

Region 41-San Mateo County http://www.california.com/-lpsm/

## Chair

Jack Hickey - 650/368-5722
jackhick@cwnet.com
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Linden H su - 650/594-1622 linden_hsu@yahoo.com
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## Crossover voting-

## (continued from page 1)

Libertarians were more likely to votein their own primary (33\%), however, than all small parties' voters save the Greens. Only 5.8\% of Reform Party voters voted in their own primary (a 5 -way race, like ours), revealing only minimal loyalty among that party's registrants.

In contrast, $9.5 \%$ of the N atural Law Party's voters voted in their party's primary-and it was uncontested! Cf. only $3.1 \%$ of the American Independent Party's voters did the same for their single candidate. Commentators have long suggested that most people in the AIP are registered in that party by accident (because of the name 'Independent'),

So whom should Libertarians recruit? Clearly, (of current voters) the big numbers can only come from the big blocs: Democrats, Decline-to-States, and Republicans. The other parties are not just small, but they lack a mitigating propensity to cross over our way. Relative to their numbers, however, Reform Party members were the most likely to vote for a Libertarian, followed closely by N atural Law, Decline to State, and AIP. Greens were less than half as likely as those parties' registrants, and Republicans and Democrats were half as likely as the Greens to do so.

Are these numbers statistically significant? Not really. It's kind of like judging the popularity of motion pictures by counting the people who switch screens in a multiplex after the features have started. They probably tell you something, but I'm not sure what!

## March ballot proposition results
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- Analysis of March's crossover voting
(c) To form a Libertarian supper club?


Please print:
Name: $\qquad$
Address:
ate/Zip:
Phone:
E-M ail: $\qquad$
The Libertarian Party is theParty of Principle. To assure and affirm that our party never straysfrom its principles, we request our membersto sign the pledge below. (Nonsigners cannot vote on party business.)

I hereby certify that I do not believe in or advocate the initiation of force as a means of achieving political or social goals.
$\qquad$

| I choose to set my dues at: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ] \$10 | Local newsletter only (signature/pledge not required) |  |
| - \$25 | Basic. |  |
| - \$100 | Sustaining. |  |
| - \$250 | Sponsor |  |
| - \$500 | Patron ................................................... |  |
| - \$1,000 | Life |  |
| Plus an additional contribution to the San Mateo Region |  |  |
| [ \$ ______ M onthly Pledge ............................... |  |  |
| [ \$ ___ One-_imeDonation .......................... |  |  |
| TOTAL: ................................................................. |  |  |
| Payment method: |  |  |
| - Check (payable to the Libertarian Party) |  |  |
| - Visa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ M asterCard |  |  |
| Credit Card \#._ Exp. Date: |  |  |
| Nameon Card: |  |  |
| Signature: |  |  |

