Clark On In 24 States

Libertarian Presidential candidate Ed Clark is on the ballot in Colorado. On the 7th of February, Colorado LP Chair John Mason filed petitions bearing some 5,200 signatures with the Secretary of State's office in Denver, of which 5,149 were certified as valid. This makes Clark and running mate David Koch the first national ticket to qualify for the ballot in Colorado this year.

The filing of more than 350 petitions was the culmination of a four-month petition drive in which more than 75 Colorado Libertarians participated. Both Mason and Campaigns Director Dave Nolan, as well as others, headed up teams of petition circulators which brought in large numbers of signatures on December weekends, despite large amounts of cold, snowy weather.

Low-level employees in the offices of Secretary of State Mary Estil Buchanan were surprised and genuinely confused by the arrival of the petitions so early in the year. It appears that no Presidential campaign has ever filed nominating petitions so early in the election year. The documents were accepted, however, and a press release put out by the Secretary of State's office the next day was subsequently printed in the Rocky Mountain News.

Colorado is the twenty-fourth state in which the legal requirements for access to the general election ballot for the Clark-Koch ticket have already been met, according to information from the national Clark for President Committee. In Colorado, Delaware, Kansas, Michigan, and Utah, petition drives have been completed and the papers filed with state government agencies. In nine other states, the minimum number of signatures has been collected, but have not yet been turned in, either because a larger "cushion" of excess signatures is being sought to forestall legal challenges or because filing is not allowed this early by law.

In nine more states, including the latest additions of California and Wisconsin, permanent ballot status has been obtained for the Libertarian Party as a whole. This means that not only the Presidential and Vice-Presidential candidates but any nominated candidates for state and local offices will automatically appear on the ballot. No further petition drives will have to be conducted in these states, if minimum state mandates are met.

LP Goes For “50 In ’80”

At the meeting of the Libertarian National Committee (NatCom) in Phoenix the weekend of February 4th and 5th, encouraging reports of progress from Maryland led to an important decision: An all-out attempt will be made to secure ballot status for the Libertarian ticket of Ed Clark and David Koch in all fifty states and the District of Columbia. This is an important decision which might not have been made had there been difficulty in gathering the rest of the money, and the needed volunteer time, can be obtained, and that they can actually do what the Republicans in their state must have thought was absolutely impossible.

The petition drive in Maryland is already underway, and the enthusiasm and expertise which it generates are certain to prove useful in helping to overcome the onerous ballot access laws in the other difficult states.

Two special cases deserve mention. One is West Virginia, which has one of the more difficult laws. The other is Wyoming, which has none. Wyoming needs action and West Virginia needs to follow.

The silver lining in this bureaucratic cloud is that if Clark can retain his tentative place on the ballot, and can also garner 0.6% of the total vote in the general election, then the Michigan LP will have ballot status as a party in the 1982 general election. That would bring to at least ten the number of states where no petition drives will be required two years from now.

Colorado Liberty readers are urged to continue to help in the petition drive. The LP is only as strong as its petition signatures.
This is an important decision which might not have been made had things not turned out as well as they have. The NatCom formed a "50 in '80" Committee in 1979 to research the ballot access laws of the various states, and to report on the feasibility of securing it in any of the large states. Even before the nominating convention last September, the Clark campaign staff had promised a strong effort to put the Libertarian ticket on the ballot in as many states as possible—all fifty if possible.

There had always been uncertainty about the feasibility of the project, however. Five states—Georgia, Maryland, Missouri, Oklahoma, and West Virginia—have such restrictive laws and/or such high petition signature requirements that they were regarded as essentially hopeless, barring a miracle. A sixth, Michigan, has special problems. As a result, most Libertarians had basically assumed that the Clark-Koch ticket would actually be on the ballot in only forty-five, or maybe forty-six, states.

But when the NatCom gathered for its February meeting, they were informed that in tiny Maryland, where an incredible 51,000 petition signatures must be gathered to put the national ticket on the ballot, $20,000 has already been raised toward the estimated $100,000 that such a massive petition drive will cost. Maryland LPers are very confident that they will be able to meet the state's ballot access requirements. The relatively modest number of signatures required has already been gathered and turned in. As a result, however, Ed Clark's name will not simply be placed on the general election ballot.

Rather, a question will be placed on the ballot. The Democratic and Republican parties have both nominated candidates in the general election in August, asking whether or not they would be willing to endorse the Libertarian standard-bearer run in November. Only six tenths of one percent of all those casting ballots must answer "yes" to put Clark on the ballot, but no one who votes in either the Democratic or Republican primary can vote on the question either way.

So, to secure ballot status in Michigan this fall, several thousand people must somehow be motivated to go to the polls in a primary election with the express purpose in mind of not voting in that primary. To this end, the Libertarian National Committee will meet in Ann Arbor the week before the primary to spearhead a publicity drive to encourage people to do just that. Michigan Libertarians are confident that it will work, avoiding a replay of 1976, when Roger MacBride was kept off the November ballot for failing to get that easy.

Anti-Drug War Escalating

The government's war on drugs in Colorado, reported in the last issue of Colorado Liberty, has escalated sharply. Republican legislators, led by Kathy Spelts, have been considering the introduction of a bill to drug paraphernalia stores out of the drug paraphernalia business. Now, the Republicans, recently joined by Denver Democrat Dorothy Witherspoon, have upped the ante and introduced their version of a model statute drawn up by a federal government task force to ban the possession or sale of paraphernalia altogether (see "GOP Drug Crusade is Moral Facism", Denver Post, 6 December, 1979).

The proposed legislation, widely supported by reactionary groups and influential elements in both dominant parties, is already well on its way, as we go to press, to consideration on the floor of the House. It has already been passed by one committee.

The bill would make mere possession of a pipe, bong, roach clip or the like a petty offense punishable by a fine, but would also make sale or distribution of any such property, a Class 2 misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of up to $1,000 and a jail sentence of up to one year. All "head" shops and record stores selling paraphernalia would have to liquidate their stocks within weeks or face prosecution.

This legislation was made possible by the prohibition of marijuana. Unless there is a huge, principled public outcry directed at such laws soon, your local head shop may well be out of business before you read the next copy of Colorado Liberty.
Resist the Census

It's census time again, and a lot more is being planned than counting you and your neighbors to see how many Congress persons you're entitled to. And that means it's census resistance time, again.

The census is a good example of a "good" idea in government action gone mad. It's history parallels that of the general growth of government size, power, and irrationality. Constitutionally mandated for the sole stated purpose of apportioning the House of Representatives, it has grown into an Orwellian boondoggle of the first magnitude, employing armies of paper shufflers and churning out mountains of statistics which are variously unnecessary, useless, invasive of privacy or enticements to still more federal boondoggles.

For instance, the feds want to know everyone's racial category for their segregation statistics. Upon these will be based the outlays of federal funds for programs ostensibly to "help minorities".

And they want to know how many toilets you have, so that they can monitor their fortunes in prosecuting (yes, it's still going on!) the "war on poverty".

Some people will be told that they are legally obliged to answer over 100 different questions, including an in-depth "interview" of the whole family in their home by a census taker. The census people consider virtually every aspect of demographics and economics to be fair game for their statistical inquisitiveness. And remember, answers are compulsory under the law.

by Patrick L. Lilly

The census takers are getting more thorough than in the past, too. The proportion of households which will get more than the basic questionnaire is up from past censuses. They'll be doing lots of double checking, with novel things like last-minute sweeps through bars and bus stations to count transient types who might otherwise be missed. And there'll be a more concerted effort than ever before to follow up on those recalcitrant souls who balk at the first demand for information.

The thing that has to be emphasized over and over again is the extraordinary intrusiveness of the questions that are being asked. The modern census is not just a count of people. It is the compilation of an extremely detailed profile, statistical though it may be, of damn near everything about the population of this country.

The government's PR campaign to promote compliance with the census has been going strong for several months now. They're acutely aware that some of us think they've gotten a little out of hand, and they've made neutralizing moral opposition to their poking around an integral part of their operation. So the posters and radio spots talk in reassuring tones about the importance of counting the population for Congressional representation, about how simple and patriotic it is to help them out. In a move which tells a lot about how far the political consciousness of the American people has sunk since the founding of the Republic, the census people even offer as a reason for co-operation with their questioning the fact that the statistics generated will be used to dole out the federal pork barrel grants to various cities, states, and areas. If you don't help out, your city might not get its "fair" share of the loot!

Back in the forties, when the list of questions asked by the census takers was miniscule compared to what it is today, and when the number of pork barrel projects which depended on it was also much smaller, a Congressman, voicing against a proposed expansion of the census, stated that, if the trend toward more inquisitiveness continued, the day would soon come when there wouldn't be enough jails to hold all the Americans who would resist. Unfortunately, in the intervening years, his prediction has mostly amounted to wishful thinking. Except for a fit of unco-ordinated, lackluster opposition every ten years, people have mostly just lain down and taken the ever-exalculating intrusiveness of the census.

It's really time that that changed. It's probably already too late for any really organized opposition to the 1980 census to be concerted. (Contrast this with the Census Bureau's careful preparation, at taxpayer expense, for overcoming opposition.) But we could at least see some pockets of really spirited resistance. The logical focal point of such resistance is the Libertarian Party.

The LP National Platform has for years carried a plank condemning the over-use of the census to invade privacy. As the 1980 census looms, we should try to serve as a leader in radicalism on this issue, an inspiration and a base of moral and logistic support to fledgling census rebels everywhere.

by L. Neil Smith

Against the Grain

Stop the Games

I'm the original anti-jock. I've never agreed with nor understood the proposition that health has to be a labor-intensive undertaking. Witnesses from miles around will testify enthusiastically how thoroughly I detest television, movies, radio, and even newspapers. I'm sure some of you will say the same thing about me.

More importantly, in this context, had some politician informed me a few months before the end of that ordeal that I must sacrifice it to the greater glory of his international prestige—or anything, for that matter—I'd have promptly pulled the plug.

Games. Governments are nothing more than rival gangs of thugs, and the only rational choice among them is "None Of The Above." Every G's war in this century was trumped up by a Democratic administration which made them look good. Every R's war in this century was trumped up by an R administration which made them look good.

So that little black column on the chart that was one-quarter of an inch high represented a stack of hundred dollar bills three-quarters of a mile high. Now look at the bottom of the wall in your room and imagine how high that quarter inch column would look.

Mr. Sibbett went on to show how the debt had climbed, occasionally been reduced, but generally just kept getting bigger. He had to start unfolding the chart as the debt got larger. When he showed the chart for 1978 it was 200 feet long!
In Contradiction

A disturbing tendency is on the rise in the Libertarian Party. More and more of our candidates for public office are down-playing the more "radical" Libertarian positions on issues and not even mentioning what our ultimate goals are. Instead, they come up with a few transitional or interim measures and concentrate their campaign on them.

It is claimed that to clearly state our radical views will so alienate the public that we will have no chance of being elected. That is a frivolous argument for several reasons. First, only one of our candidates in Texas so far has had even a reasonable chance of being elected (Ann Hammond for Garland City Council in 1978). For a person who knows he is going to lose and pander to the conventional wisdom is a complete waste of all the money, time, and energy spent on a campaign.

Second, it won't work. Anyone who really cares about those interim measures will go work for candidates who have a better chance of winning Democrat and Republicans—unless we can tie everything together by raising a banner of true, consistent principle. And most people simply won't care about those interim measures. As Murray Rothbard said, how many will go to the barricades for a two percent tax reduction?

Third, if we are really serious about our stands on the issues, our platform indicates, then to hide them or mislead the public about them is fraudulent. It means our candidates are seeking to obtain support in an election without the informed consent of those from whom the value is sought. This is clearly a violation of the rights of the voters. It would also insure that a Libertarian elected by down-playing his real stands would never be re-elected by the voters, who would rightly feel cheated.

Fourth, it ignores the very purpose of the Libertarian Party. Our goal is not merely electing Libertarians; that is only one possible means to our goal, which is a free, voluntary, libertarian society. The primary reason for founding the Party was the realization that most of the public just doesn't pay attention to political issues except during elections. By running explicitly libertarian candidates we could educate the public that there is an alternative, voluntary means of organizing society. Until the voters know that an alternative exists they can only support the status quo—or even more states.

We can boil it all down to this: no one else wants to abolish taxes, public schools, etc. Therefore, if we don't advocate it, who will?

I propose to avoid this fallacy in my own campaign for the state legislature this year. I am making a serious race and I will present interim measures—but I will do so only after clearly stating our ultimate goals. I believe that is the only workable strategy for attaining a free society, but, pectactibility aside, my conscience demands it. If this be radicalism, I like the most of it. "Here I stand; I can do no other".

Bill Howell is an at-large member of the LP NatCom from Dallas, Texas, a long time libertarian activist, a contributing editor of Free Texas (the Texas LP house organ), one of the driving forces behind the LPT, and a parliamentarian of some repute. This column appeared earlier this year in Free Texas.

by William Howell
Vice -Versa
by M.L. Hanson

Well, I missed last month's edition of Colorado Liberty, that is the bad news (maybe), however the good news is that part of the reason why is because people have been assiduously working on the responses we received from the letters sent soliciting comments, suggestions, etc. Libertarians are renowned for speaking and/or writing pieces, although not always about whatever the question might have been. The responses have been good, but not overwhelming. Of those who wrote or called from around the country, or who attended the State Chair's Meeting at the National Convention, we garnered some interesting information, and have been able to begin to address the issues, that were forthcoming.

You want ads and public relations material prepared? Well, the National Committee has attacked this need. It was primarily through the efforts of Dave Nolan (it is always Individuals that get the job done) that each State Chair received a mailing in January detailing and surveying specific media approaches and the potential utilization and appeal of the material.

There is always a problem in most states to get our candidates on the ballot. The Clark campaign has aided financially and practically in helping to accomplish this all-important step... and they haven't stopped, although we are on the ballot in over twenty states, and the number keeps moving up.

Jay Hilgart's article is employed by the National Headquarters, has worked on the question of distributing and collecting prepared speeches. If you have not heard of this effort, and have something to contribute, or would like a speech, contact Jay at the National Headquarters. This can really be an important and time-saving tool for local candidates, or for those of us who are called upon to speak regularly in a variety of forums. In addition, the same is being done by Jay for editorials and letters to the Editor. Contribute and use this resource.

National headquarters has its own computer, although she has yet to be named, and there is incredible potential here for your use: labels, mailing lists, media contact; what do you need? The software should be up and running by the time this reaches you. Maybe it can serve as a model for some things you want to create in your own state.

A Committee has been established, "Assistance to State Parties," chaired by the National Secretary Sylvia Sanders. She reports endeavors in progress on the following: How-To articles, training, Field Co-ordinators (this may be handled through the Clark Committee Headquarters during 1980, but should continue after the election year), information on current publications and communications available from National, your Regional Representative and the Officers, and the National Headquarters effort to help state parties with their newsletters.

When I began this column, I stated that communication was the important factor to help each of us, and our particular organizations, be more effective. I think that has started, using many vehicles to solve the previous gaps. There really is even more than just what I have written here.

This is a start at trying to coordinate and support our own internal LP market, and to maximize our influence. In mind you, I mention again, it is quite literally a two-way street, and it is not very productive to spend your time in a dead-end—so keep those cards and letters coming, calls too! The National Committee is always in a frame of reference to consider a range of needs and possibilities, and the agendas always reflect it. If you don't tell us, we might not know.

Eyeing the Opposition
by David F. Nolan

The latter days of January brought both good news and bad news for Libertarians. The good news came out of Iowa on the 21st, where GOP and Democratic caucus participants dealt serious blows to the Presidential aspirations of John Connally and Teddy Kennedy. With luck, the Iowa results marked the beginning of the end for both Big John, America's leading apostate for corporate-state fascism, and Ted the Slime King.

The bad news, of course, was Carter's hideous State of the Union address two days later. As predicted, he sprawled, flailed, and, after his strong showing in Iowa, Bumbling Jimmy unveiled his plans for a New Era of Confrontation, Conscmission and Controls. As one watched him on the tube, one had a strange feeling of deja vu; the Carter of 1980 seemed uncannily like the Nixon of 1971, as his Orwellian doublespeak droned on. War is Peace; Slavery is Freedom; Ignorance is Strength.

Three months ago, Carter seemed a sure bet for defeat, either in the Democratic primaries or in the general election. His inept attempts at running the country had earned him a widespread and richly-deserved contempt, as voters witnessed a never-foreseen combination of economic stagnation, rampant inflation and continuing humiliations in foreign affairs.

Now, all that has changed. Things are still a mess; in fact, they may be a mess than before. But due to events largely beyond his control—and the foot-in-mouth propensities of Senator Kennedy—Carter must now be considered the front-runner in the 1980 sweepstakes.

Things could be worse, of course. Bad though Carter may be, he appears positively statesmanlike when compared to Teddy Kennedy.

Kennedy is the last of the hightime spenders, and a man of no moral character whatsoever. As President, he would be an unmitigated disaster; indeed, one would seriously have to con-
Feb. 4 U.S. News
Packed With
Useful Information

of information that make it a valuable reference source for the coming months.

Among the contents are the complete
text of Carter's State of the Union Address, a detailed report on the proposed
$616 billion Federal budget for fiscal 1981; analyses of the Reagan, Bush
and Kennedy campaign efforts, a succinct summary of the proposed draft legisla-
tion, background material on Iran and Afganistan, the annual Freedom House
summary of civil and political rights in
nations around the world, and a chart of
gold prices during the January upsurge and correction.

If you're interested in obtaining a
copy, it should be available for $1 from
U.S. News & World Report, 2300 N Street
NW, Washington, DC 20037.

Staff
Managing Editor: Patrick L. Lilly

Contributors: Patrick L. Lilly, James
W. Phelps, David F. Nolan, John

The Colorado Liberty is published six
times a year by the Colorado Libertarian
Party. Submissions and requests for
advertising rates should be made to
Colorado Liberty, P.O. Box 1557,
Denver, Colorado 80201. Opinions
expressed in signed articles, and the
choice of wording therein, represents
the views of the author and do not
necessarily imply endorsement by the
Colorado Libertarian Party. Likewise,
acceptance of advertising by this publica-
tion does not imply endorsement or
guarantee of the products or services of-
tered. Letters to the Editor commenting
on content are welcome, but should be
brief and topical. We reserve the right to
edit letters for space.

---

LJP serving your
philatelic needs

---

FINE STAMPS - MAIL SALES - POSTAL HISTORY

LJP STAMP SERVICES
Thurs.-Sat. & by Appt.
(303) 424-2849

Suite 50
Western Federal Savings Bldg.
15th & Canyon - Boulder, CO.

---

Graf Zeppelin

---

Graph showing value in dollars of Graf Zeppelin issue (1930)
CLP Convention Planned in Colorado Springs

The 1980 Convention of the Colorado Libertarian Party will be held May 24th and 25th in scenic Colorado Springs. The site of the weekend-long Convention will be the modern Four Seasons Motor Inn just off Interstate 25 in the southern part of the city.

The Convention promises to be the best-attended in CLP history, and will follow roughly the same format as last year's highly successful Convention in Central City. On Saturday the 24th, some two to three hundred observers and members and friends of the CLP will attend an all-day string of non-business functions. A candidates' workshop, debates and speeches on current political topics, as well as 'just-for-fun' segments are planned. An evening feature speaker remains yet to be decided upon, but Robert Ringer, author of *Restoring the American Dream*, and libertarian psychologist Nathaniel Branden are among the possibilities.

Also planned is an address by LP Presidential Candidate Ed Clark. At the time of the Convention, Clark will be getting ready to take an extended leave of absence from his job with Atlantic Richfield in Los Angeles to launch the final phase of the Presidential campaign. He will be travelling around the country all through the summer, helping states with difficult ballot access laws get the LP ticket on the ballot.

The Sunday business session (see related articles, this issue) will include the election of CLP Officers, revision of the Party's Constitution, By-Laws, and Platform, and selection of LP candidates for major state offices. All CLP members are urged to prepare for and attend the business session. Two years ago, all registration fees for the business sessions at state conventions were eliminated, in order to encourage the maximum amount of participation. It is this important, once-a-year function. Any CLP member whose dues are currently paid may become a registered, voting delegate simply by showing up at the registration desk on Sunday.

The Saturday events and, if schedules permit, a Friday evening mixer, should provide a relaxing, enjoyable setting in which LPers and persons interested in considering membership in the CLP can meet one another, renew old acquaintances, and get a better prospective on the issues of the day. A mailing will go out soon giving more details, including room rates and reservation cards. In the mean time, inquiries may be sent either to State Chair John Mason or Convention Co-ordinator Patrick Lilly.

Mark off the fourth weekend in May on your calendars now, and plan to mingle with some of the stars of the libertarian movement at the CLP's most festive gathering yet.

Regional Report by John Mason

The Libertarian National Committee held its quarterly meeting on February 2 and 3 in Phoenix, Arizona. Major items dealt with included the 1980 budget, the renewal of draft registration (see article page 5), the LP News, and advertising for the Party. Ed Clark attended, and his eloquent analysis of the current international situation was enthusiastically received by the Saturday night audience of over 250.

The NatCom approved a budget of almost $1,000,000 for the calendar year 1980. The budget is over four times the 1979 budget, and includes major sums for assistance to state and local campaigns. Major fund raising efforts have already begun. With the help of the LP's recently installed computer system, LP Treasurer Dallas Cooley will be making quarterly reports on income and expenditures to the National Committee.

The LP News funding has been doubled, and a full-time editor will be hired. Committee members felt that it was important to improve the News for membership communication in the election year.

Dave Nolan's Advertising/Publications Committee was authorized to produce a series of ads for use by state parties. Details should be available soon. The Committee was also authorized to act upon proposals to develop a cooperative

Positions Available on Convention Committees

The Constitution and By-Laws of the Colorado Libertarian Party require the Board of Directors to set up a Platform Committee and a Constitution, By-Laws and Rules Committee prior to each state Convention. At a late January Board meeting, chairs for both of these committees for this year's Convention were chosen and empowered to pick the other members of their own committees. The committees will then make recommendations on the revision of their respective documents to those who attend the business session of the Convention.

The chair of this year's Platform Committee will be Denver attorney Gale Norton. Norton was a delegate to the National LP Nominating Convention last fall, and worked on the Clark/Koch ballot drive in Colorado. A recent convert to libertarianism, she researched the possibility of a challenge to a recent change in the Colorado election statutes which has proved injurious to the CLP (See "LP Candidates Must Register "Unaffiliated!", Colorado Liberty, December 1979/January 1980).

There will be six other members of the Platform Committee. Any CLP member interested in the challenge of a position on this committee should contact Gale at 839-5418 (h) or 861-0244 (w) as soon as possible. Those applying should be prepared to begin working on planks right away, and to attend several committee meetings.

Sally Mason, former CLP chair, is appointed chair of the Committee, By-Laws, and Rules Committee. She is an interior design contractor and teacher, and the wife of current CLP Chair John Mason. She brings to the committee her experience as a member of the national Constitution, By-Laws, and Rules Committee at last year's National Convention.

In addition to Mason, there will be four others on the CBLR Committee. Anyone wishing to serve on this highly technical committee should contact Sally at 733-5916. Selections will be made soon, so don't delay.
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NatCom To Meet In Dallas May 3-4

The Libertarian National Committee (NatCom) will hold its second meeting of 1980 the weekend of May 3 and 4 in Dallas, Texas. The meeting will be held in conjunction with the 1980 Libertarian Party of Texas Convention and will mark the start of the Clark for President ballot drive in Texas.

With the national political stage shifting at its current pace, and the continuing development of the Clark campaign, the NatCom could have a considerable amount of work to do by May. Colorado LP members wishing to have specific items of business taken up by the NatCom should remember that NatCom rules now require that agenda items be submitted three weeks in advance of the meeting. Proposals for agenda items may be submitted to any of Colorado’s three NatCom members M.L. Hanson, John Mason, or Dave Nolan—or directly to LP National Secretary Sylvia Sanders.

The LPT Convention, and the accompanying Regional Libertarian Conference, will feature a multiplicity of workshops and seminars, social get-togethers for libertarians, and speeches and appearances by well-known libertarians from around the country. Heading the list will be Presidential candidate Ed Clark. During May and June, Clark will begin to do larger amounts of travelling around the country, attending state conventions, and helping the many states whose ballot drives will either just be starting, or reaching their critical phase.

The Texas ballot drive is important because the time allowed by law for it is short, and the number of petition signatures required is high (25,000), but the potential payoff is big. Texas has over twenty electoral votes, and the LPT is well organized and ready to bring in a hefty popular vote total, if the ticket can be put on the ballot. (In 1976, LP Presidential candidate Roger MacBride did not appear on the ballot in Texas.) Serious challenges are expected, so Texas Libertarians will need to gather many more than the 25,000 minimum number of signatures.

All three of Colorado’s NatCom members will be participating in panels and speeches during the course of “Texas Libertarian Weekend”, in addition to their attendance at the business meeting of the NatCom.

Hundreds of people are expected to attend this gala event, many from beyond the borders of Texas. As partial airline deregulation has provided very reasonable fares between Denver and Dallas, Colorado libertarians may want to mark off this weekend on their calendars and make plans to attend now.

Horrors Of Trash Deregulation Aired

In January, a bill was introduced into the Colorado Senate to (partially) deregulate the business of hauling trash in the state, by revoking the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) over firms in the business. The bill was referred to the Senate Transportation Committee, and at least one trash hauling firm owner testified against the bill, saying that deregulation would leave some homes with no trash removal service, cause oligopolization of the business, and precipitate a takeover by “organized crime.”

Although officials for several firms supported removal of regulations setting rates and assigning territories to their businesses, Judy Daly, the owner of Grand Disposal in Grand Junction described the bill as “leading lambs to the slaughter very, very rapidly”. Mrs. Daly spoke in favor of retaining mandated and protected territories for trash haulers to protect them from being bought out by larger companies.

She also suggested setting a 15% per year limit on allowed rate increases, as opposed to the proposed bill’s virtually complete deregulation. Echoing the “cream-skimming” argument which the Postal Service has used for years to defend its monopoly, Mrs. Daly raised the spectre of rural customers being left high and dry by greedy, rate-boosting and route-abandoning firms.

Representatives of other firms, however, complained that the territory restrictions prohibited them from continuing to serve satisfied customers who move. At least one large trash hauling firm has recently reversed its stand on deregulation because the slowness of the PUC in responding to requests for changes in the rules and the economic pressures of inflation were driving them to the edge of bankruptcy.

No action has been taken on the bill as we go to press, but the Transportation Committee did ask the Colorado Bureau of Investigation to find out if organized crime was preparing to take over a newly-deregulated trash hauling industry.
Anti-Draft Activities, Rally Scheduled March 8

The last few weeks have seen a great deal of reaction to President Carter's call to renew draft-registration. Mobilization has occurred throughout the country, including Colorado. What became evident immediately was that the anti-draft movement did not die with the temporary end of the draft in 1972. Opposition to registration and the draft seems stronger now than it was in the mid-60's (prior to the large scale resistance in the late 60's) even though at that time there was not only a draft, but draftees were being sent to war.

The Libertarian Party has been active in the resistance since renewal of registration was first proposed in the spring of 1979. Libertarians were among the prime movers behind the formation of the national coalition Committee Against Registration and the Draft (CARD). CARD successfully lobbied in Washington to kill the original renewal measure last fall. The CLP and Auraria Students for a Libertarian Society (SLS) held a rally last spring on the Auraria campus. It was attended by about 40 people.

With the President now behind registration, more people are taking the issue seriously. This year's first anti-draft rally, billed as a draft forum, was held on the Auraria campus early in February. This time about 200 persons, mostly opposed to the draft, attended. The forum heard from persons for and against the draft, but the thrust was generally against. LP State Chair John Mason and former State Chair Loraly Dayton spoke. The SLS is holding weekly information tables on the campus, with the help of Royce Kernow and SLS organizer Mark Travis.

On February 15, an anti-draft rally was held on the CSU campus in Fort Collins. Attended by over 300 persons, the rally was largely due to the efforts of a local libertarian group, Radicals for Capitalism, and featured Colorado Liberty columnist and science fiction author L. Neil Smith as a speaker. More activities are planned by the group.

At CU in Boulder, an anti-draft coalition held a rally on February 18. The first was Clark campaign staffer Tom Palmer, who flew in at the last moment to represent CARD. Palmer urged the audience of over 400 to write their congressional delegation demanding open hearings on the renewal of registration. He pointed out that a delay in the present schedule to begin registration in late June, could force registration to occur in the fall, when campuses are in full session and politicians are actively campaigning for re-election. This alone could encourage politicians to drop plans altogether for immediate renewal of registration.

Palmer also spoke of CARD's discussions with the Director of the Selective Service, who has repeatedly stated to CARD that exemptions will be limited to conscientious objectors. Those exemptions will be harder to obtain than in previous years, and CO's will be drafted anyway to serve "alternative service." Pointing out the changes in political and nationalistic attitudes that have occurred in Canada in the last decade, Palmer echoed the words of former PM Joe Clark, to paraphrase, "Forget Canada". Palmer urged massive resistance to registration as the most effective means to stop a draft. His speech received a standing ovation, amidst cheers of "Hell no, we won't go!"

Students for Freedom, a libertarian group on the DU campus is organizing a rally for February 29. LP speakers will be present.

Also in the planning stage is a major anti-draft rally scheduled for March 8, at noon, at the Federal Building in downtown Denver. The LP, SLS, and other Libertarian groups are active in the planning for this rally, which will include speakers representing over 25 organizations. Coinciding with previously planned activities for International Women's Day, the speakers tentatively include for LP State Chair Jan Prince and Fort Collins activist Cynthia Molson-Smith. It is hoped that Libertarians will turn out in great numbers to the rally, which may prove to be the largest and most important draft protest this spring. Call John Mason at 733-5916 if you would like to help.

All of these activities are building up to the national march on Washington on March 22. The march is being organized by a coalition consisting of CARD and Mobilization Against the Draft (MAD).

Resist the Draft
by Mark David Travis

"...no nation can be constantly prepared to undertake a full-scale war at any moment and still hope to maintain any of the other purposes in which people are interested and for which nations are founded... an indefinite surrender of liberty such as would be required by an all-out war program in time of peace might mean the final and complete destruction of those liberties which it is the very purpose of the preparation to protect...

(Moreover) the results of war may be as bad as the destruction of liberty and, in fact, may lead, even if the war is won, to something very close to the registration and could ensure with its passage that no young person will ever again be conscripted to die on foreign soil.

As long as the state has recourse to a draft, the authorities will retain the power to suspend constitutional rights on the pretext of protecting our "national interests" whenever U.S. markets are jeopardized in countries guaranteed an endless supply of American conscripts in time of revolution or war. While perfectly adequate to counter any direct invasionary threat to the U.S., the all-volunteer military is not suited to such a fight against the renewal of slavery in this country." Ed Clark, the Party's presidential candidate, who filed petitions recently for ballot status in Colorado, has been speaking against the draft and registration since beginning his campaign in September.

Efforts are already underway in Colorado to resist registration, with the Libertarian Party, the American Friends Service Committee, and numerous other organizations planning rallies throughout the state in February. A Draft Forum was held on the Auraria campus on the 13th of February, with representatives from both pro and anti positions speaking. Other events are planned for Boulder and other college campuses.
and, in fact, may lead, even if the war is won, to something very close to the destruction of liberty at home... Even more than Sherman knew in 1864, 'war is hell.' War should never be undertaken or seriously risked except to protect American liberty."

—Sen. Robert A. Taft

Last summer, Students for a Libertarian Society and other groups comprising the Committee Against Registration and the Draft (CARD) successfully staged a nationwide series of anti-draft demonstrations. CARD mobilized the entire spectrum of civil rights and anti-militarist groups to form an anti-draft coalition. Congress was pressured to temporarily suspend deliberations on pro-draft legislation.

In September the House of Representatives voted down the draft registration rider to H.R. 4040, the Military Procurement bill. But in a move designed to placate Congressional hawks, the House directed President Carter to commission a study on "U.S. war mobilization needs".

While it appeared that conscription had been defeated, anti-draft activists realized that Congressional action had merely been deferred. The efforts to re-activate compulsory service was a test of the political waters, gauging the strength of the hawks' opposition and consolidating the gains made by pro-draft elements. In view of the President's call for the renewal of registration and the recent escalation of international tension, the clamor for conscription will now resume. With the advent of any real or imagined "national emergency," the appeal of full-scale mobilization for war may soon be irresistible.

The only way to stop the draft and remove the threat posed by draft bills still pending is to take the offensive—by eliminating the machinery needed to implement it, the Selective Service System (SSS).

House Resolution 5134 has been introduced to repeal the President's power to implement mandatory registration and to totally abolish the still-functioning remnants of the Selective Service apparatus. Its chief sponsor is Rep. Ron Paul (R-TX), and co-sponsors include Reps. Robert Kastenmeier (D-WI) and Jim Johnson (R-CO). With sufficient public support we can forestall draft up where we left off in 1975, and that the LP is ready to play major role in the

On the 3rd of February, in Phoenix, the Libertarian National Committee unanimously passed the following resolution:

WHEREAS the Libertarian Party has a long-standing opposition to registration and conscription, as stated in its national platform and

WHEREAS registration for the draft is nothing more than a prelude to military conscription and

WHEREAS conscription is slavery and poses the greatest threat to the survival of a free society in this decade,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Libertarian National Committee opposes any form of compulsory registration or the draft and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that we endorse civil disobedience as an appropriate moral and practical means of resisting mandatory registration and the draft.

From the Chair
Now That We're On...

Congratulations and thank you to the over 80 persons involved in our recently completed ballot drive. It was quite an achievement, considering the winter weather and the fact that in 1976, the drive took 10 months. The experience we've gained should make it easy to put our state and local candidates on the ballot this summer.

In the process, however, we have neglected some important programs which we need to develop. Most of these programs have been suggested by the membership, and we desperately need your help to accomplish them.

I will only attempt here to list the activities that need to be begun. We will discuss the items in greater detail in a later mailing. I urge you to review the list, see where your interests lay, and send in the coupon to give us an idea of where we're starting.

To make this a big year for the Libertarian Party, we all need to pitch in.

Name ____________________________
Address ____________________________
Phone (home) ______________________ (work) ______________________

Yes, I would like to help in the following area(s). Please let me know.

□ Office Funding
□ Office Staffing
□ Clark for President Campaign
□ State Convention
□ Platform Committee
□ Constitution/By laws Com.
□ Candidate for Office
□ Work on Campaigns
□ Cocktail Party

Discussion Group
Telephone Committee
Mailing Committee
Colorado Liberty
Liberty Distribution
Student Organizing
Anti-Draft Activities
1981 Nat'l. Convention
Other

Clip and send to Colorado Libertarian Party, P.O. Box 1557, Denver, CO 80201 or call John Mason at 733-5916.
Carter’s State of the Union Address:
A Libertarian Response.

American military presence in the region has in fact played directly into Moscow's hands, weakening the unity of the Islamic people against the Soviet threat.

In short, only by withdrawing from the region can the U.S. help to halt the advance of the Soviet Union. Let the Russians face the hostility of world public opinion. Let them face the dedicated resistance of the Islamic people to their mad act of aggression against the people of Afghanistan.

Crisis and Domestic Failures
Time and time again political leaders have used foreign policy issues to overplay their domestic failures, to distract attention from their own. Our domestic problems are real and severe. The American people have been angered by the mountainous level of taxation imposed upon them, by the inflation which eats away at their standards of living, by government-created energy shortages, by the policies of deficits and unemployment, of sacrifice and no growth, of conscription and the threat of war. They want and need a new alternative, a new political vision, a chance to believe once again in the promise, the ideals, and the future of this country.

Libertarians are giving them a new alternative. We are the partisans of a free economy and of economic growth. We are the advocates of domestic freedom and personal liberty.

A New Alternative
Crisis and Domestic Failures

Time and again, political leaders have used foreign policy issues to overawe their domestic failures, to distract attention from failed economic policies. And our domestic problems badly need to be addressed in an honest way. Productivity is dropping, unemployment is rising, and inflation has hit 13.3 percent, the highest level since 1946. And in a guns-and-butter budget, Lyndon Johnson, President Carter proposes to spend more than $315 billion over the next year—adding a massive increase in the defense budget, a budget which largely goes to defend other countries (such as Western Europe and Japan) which are clearly capable of defending themselves. Finally, we are facing the consequences of the energy policies of both the Democrats and Republicans, which have led to shortages and growing dependence on foreign oil—the consequences of controls on our economy which have done more than anything else to cripple domestic energy production.

Libertarians believe that the time has come to dismantle the regulations which strangle our economy. If we need more energy, then what we need is an offensive against the Department of Energy, not another war in Asia.

The Draft

We believe that the new calls for conscription must be forcibly opposed by all Americans concerned with the liberty and well-being of our young people. Those leaders who think that a new draft can be imposed may find that the young people have something to say about it themselves. Already demonstrations have erupted on campus after campus in opposition to a renewed draft, and its suggested revival is being met with the echo of resistance. Clearly the time is long past when the government can blithely decide what is to be done with other people's lives.

At a high school in East Los Angeles, teenagers about to reach draft age were asked what they thought of the crisis in Iran and Afghanistan, and they showed more wisdom in their answers than any of our current political leaders. The new generation of Americans is justifiably unwilling to let others—particularly politicians—do their thinking for them. “We just don’t trust the government any more,” one student said. “Nobody does.” They express resentment of draft registration with “lighting a bunch of Russians on the sands of the Persian Gulf.” Why do you want a draft? they were asked. And they answered: “It’s just oil and money that they want. All it takes precedence over people. They just use national honor as a front. We don’t want to die.”

Shall we really send these young people to fight and die for oil, because of bankrupt energy policies supported by both major parties? Have we forgotten the carnage of the Vietnam War so soon? Have we forgotten the bloody newscasts which showed young people dying in foreign jungles? Is there anyone other than a few politicians who wants to go to war over Pakistan, Iran or Afghanistan?

Is it any wonder that our young people today have no respect for our government? And when the President of the United States claims that what he has in fact called “the most serious threat to world peace since the Second World War” is really “an exciting enterprise that will unify our people”—is it any wonder that the gulf between the American people and their self-proclaimed leaders widens with every passing day?

THE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

I want to support Ed Clark’s campaign for President, and his efforts to speak out against the draft and in favor of a sane, peaceful foreign policy of non-intervention.

I am sending my check to:

Ed Clark for President, in the following amounts:

$1000 $ 500 $ 50 $ 250 $ (other)

Please send me more information about the campaign of Ed Clark for President.

Name: ____________________________

Address: __________________________

City, State & Zip: __________________

Send to: Ed Clark for President

2300 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20007
N.Y. Times Story Captures Essence of Clark Campaign

On Monday, January 21, LP Presidential candidate Ed Clark held a national press conference in Washington, DC—his first since the nominating convention in Los Angeles last September. This event generated considerable media coverage, including a story by Warren Weaver, Jr. in the New York Times.

Headlined “Government Elimination Is Goal Of Libertarian Party’s Candidate,” Weaver’s story accurately captured the main thrust of the Clark campaign. The text of that story follows:

WASHINGTON, Jan. 21—Most Presidential candidates this year are talking about reducing the cost of government. Many are talking about reducing government itself. Edward E. Clark is different. He is talking about eliminating government altogether.

The elimination would be accomplished gradually. As the public school system was replaced with private facilities, the courts eliminated in favor of private fee-charging arbitration companies, the antitrust laws abolished and all political boundaries between states and localities wiped out.

Mr. Clark, a 49-year-old company lawyer from California, is the Presidential candidate of the Libertarian Party, a relative newcomer to national politics that regards virtually all government activity as an unwarranted intrusion on the personal freedom of the individual.

Ultimately,” the Libertarian said at a news conference here today, “we believe in the complete privatization of society,” with a “vastly restricted” government and a corresponding huge reduction in the taxes that finance that Government.

Mr. Clark told a questioner that eventually he advocated returning highway and street systems to private ownership, “the way they used to be” under Colonial toll-road practices.

In foreign affairs, the Libertarian candidate advocates a “non-interventionist policy,” letting other nations defend themselves, reducing defense expenditures substantially and withdrawing from the United Nations and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, while maintaining extensive social and cultural relations abroad.

The Libertarian Party, founded in 1972, ran its first Presidential candidate, Roger MacBride, in 1976, and he got 174,000 votes in 32 states. Mr. Clark said he expected to be on the ballot in at least 46 or 47 states and to receive “several million votes,” or more than the difference between the two major party candidates.

Mr. Clark ran for Governor of California on the Libertarian ticket in 1978 and received 378,000 votes, or more than 5 percent of those cast.

One of his major goals for 1980, the Libertarian candidate said, is to be able to participate in Presidential debates between the major party nominees. Federal Election Commission regulations on debates, now pending before Congress, leave the question of including third-party contenders largely up to the sponsoring organization.

In a “fact sheet” distributed today, the party acknowledged that obtaining “more extensive and serious national media coverage” of candidates was a major 1980 goal, replacing past experience of “featur[ing] or ‘human interest’ stories, if they are covered at all.”

Study Echoes Smith’s Ideas

A recent report by the Carnegie Council on Policy Studies in Higher Education on the problems faced by “youth” has made recommendations which closely parallel statements made by Colorado Liberty columnist L. Neil Smith two years ago.

In February of 1978, a brunch sponsored by the Colorado LP featured a panel discussion on children’s rights in which Smith participated. The CLP Platform plank on children’s rights was at that time in the proposal stage, and Smith was called upon to defend the psychological and developmental logic of the plank’s radical tone.

Smith stated that he envisioned eliminating “childhood” as a political category. Speaking of the ability of the mind of a typical twelve-year-old to manipulate intellectual concepts frequently deemed to be the exclusive ability of physical adults.

Now, the Carnegie study, in discussing how many social problems have moved down from college populations, first to high schools, then to junior high schools, and now even grade schools in urban children, says “We have greatly prolonged youth. We have created what might be called ‘compulsory childhood’... We have created a new stage of young adulthood.”

Although conspicuously avoiding coming to principled libertarian conclusions, the report corroborated what Smith has been saying for years—"the not assuured quality"), but the best experiment the study could recommend was education vouchers. It did, however, suggest eliminating compulsory education—for people over 16, as Colorado law now provides. An end to “tracking,” in which students are locked into stratified achievement levels, was also recommend.

“Special protective legislation in the employment of youth” was also cited as a negative influence, restricting work opportunities for adolescents. In the same vein, the study touted the selective elimination of Social Security taxes on the employment of young people.

In a final spasm of ambiguity, the study recommends “keeping the draft.”

New Supreme Court Rulings

The Supreme Court handed down two decisions in January with a detrimental impact on civil liberties.

A 5-3 decision, with the majority opinion authored by Justice Lewis Powell, upheld the power of military commanding officers to prohibit military personnel under them from circulating petitions addressed to members of the Congress. Arguing that “discipline” was the paramount consideration, the Court majority ruled that soldiers must get officer approval before asking fellow soldiers to sign such petitions.

Thus, soldiers can now be prosecuted under military law for attempting to exercise the Constitutionally guaranteed right to petition the government for the redress of grievances. Appearably, the Court has apparently set no standards for a commanding officer’s refusal to allow a petition to be circulated, leaving the matter entirely up to the officer’s discretion.

In a case brought on appeal from a trial court in the District of Columbia, the Court ruled 6-2 that prisoners can be prosecuted for escape, even if escape was the only possible relief from inhumane or intolerable prison conditions. Judges can now block any testimony about the conditions from which a prisoner has fled from being introduced into his trial on a charge of escaping.

This ruling has the effect of creating a sort of doctrine of “separate covenants” for prisoners and prison officials, analogous to the law in some states which allows a landlord to go on demanding full and timely payment of rent from a tenant, even if he has been demonstrably remiss in complying with the obligations ascribed to him (the landlord) under the lease. Prisoners are now similarly supposed to file a separate action to challenge prison conditions which do not meet minimum Eighth Amendment standards, but, no matter how bad or life-threatening the conditions are, are not allowed to give themselves any relief from them until and unless a court rules in their favor.

The ruling is expected to cause an uncer-
psychological and developmental logic of the plank's radical tone.

Smith stated that he envisioned eliminating "childhood" as a political condition, citing primitive societies in which individuals go through no stage psychologically and sociologically similar to adolescence in our culture. He created a new stage of young adulthood.

Although conspicuously avoiding coming to principled libertarian conclusions, the report corroborated what libertarians have been saying for years on a number of points. For instance, more competition in schools is recommended ("bureaucratic controls have

Book Review
Wheels Within Wheels

Wheels Within Wheels by F. Paul Wilson. Doubleday Science Fiction, $7.95.

There aren't many writers of fiction who consciously identify themselves as libertarians just yet, but F. Paul Wilson is one of them. And it's not without reason that a blue ribbon selection committee of libertarian activists and science fiction buffs voted his most recent novel, Wheels Within Wheels, the winner of the Prometheus Award for the best work of libertarian science fiction published last year.

Wheels, like its predecessor, Healer, is set in the LaNague Federation, a loose confederation of planets sometime in the indefinite future which is governed by the LaNague Charter. Wilson doesn't set forth the Charter explicitly, but the reader finds out in bits and pieces that it is a limited-government libertarian's dream. It limits the central federal government to basic justice and defense functions in uncertain terms, and is absolutely prohibited from undertaking any economic activity.

The only exception is in time of war, and Wilson tells us that even this exception so enraged Peter LaNague, the father of the Charter and the revolution which spawned it, that he disowned it after the emergency clause was added.

In Wheels, the LaNague Federation has been running smoothly for about three hundred years, but a regulationist opposition movement known as "Restructurists" has emerged. They are plotting to provoke the imposition of the "temporary" emergency provisions of the Charter through a complex scheme involving the threat of war with another race, and the economic bungling and subsequent nationalization of a new breakthrough in spaceship drive.

The plot is cracked by an irascible old entrepreneur, who understands what's going on but lacks the direct means to expose and counter it, and an ingenuous young businesswoman who has the resources, but has to be convinced that anybody would seriously try to interfere with the market ("Sales tax? I find it incredible that any population would put up with such abuse. There'd be rioting in the streets....")

Together, they discover how the leader of the Restructurists launched his political career by having the heroine's father murdered and expose his hidden financial connections to the technological breakthrough. That finishes him and ends the internal threat to the Federation, but it sparks the beginning of the long-awaited interstellar war, apparently reserved for treatment in the next book of the series. (That will be Enemy of the State, scheduled for release in June. With a title like that, how can it lose?)

Wilson's style is lucid and unpretentious, and the plot is reasonably complex and well-delineated for a book of less than 200 pages. His major characters tend to be a little stereotypical, but they don't lack depth or presence as people.

The not-quite-chronological order in which Wilson unfolds his action is interesting and easy to follow, and contributes to the jacket's description of the book as a "science fiction murder mystery". In it, Wilson deals not only with the political aspects of interstellar trade, but racial prejudice, the autonomy of colonies, privacy, and the right to die. There are some real gems of libertarian theory here.

In fact, if Wheels has a serious flaw, it is simply that it is such blatant pro-liberty propaganda. Wilson knows how to write good science fiction, and has woven his free market theme very well into his scenario of the future. But it may come on a little too strong to non-libertarians who pick it up expecting just another novel. The message is unmistakable, and obviously comes directly from the author.

For libertarians, however, it's refreshing to see this kind of book ac-

Hallmarks of a Free Society

*To the extent that the following conditions are approached in any given society, the people of that society are free. To the extent that these conditions are absent, the people are oppressed.*

- No Conscription.
- No Taxation.
- No Censorship.
- No Spying.
- No Restraint of Trade.
- No Registration of Citizens.
- No Travel Restrictions.
- No Laws Against Victimless Acts.
- A Hard Currency.
- Citizens Have the Right to Keep and Bear Arms.

David F. Nolan

by Patrick L. Lilly

Amendment standards but, no matter how bad or life-threatening the conditions are, are not allowed to give themselves any relief from them until and unless a court rules in their favor. The ruling is expected to cause a veritable tidal wave of prison administrations rushing to eradicate unlawful conditions in their institutions, owing to the speed with which suits filed by inmates wind their way through the courts.
Calendar & Announcements

MARCH 8: Anti-Draft Rally, noon, Federal Building, Denver. Contact John Mason, 733-5916.


MARCH 26 (4th Wed.): CLP Board Meeting, 1818 S. Jasmine, Denver, 7PM.


APRIL 23: CLP Board Meeting, 168 S. Emerson St., Denver, 7PM.

MAY 3, 4: National Committee Meeting, Texas State LP Convention. Contact John Mason, 733-5916.
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