



FREE LIBERTARIAN

Monthly Newsletter of the Free Libertarian Party

VOLUME 3, NUMBER 1

JANUARY, 1974

WHAT HAPPENED

TEA IN BOSTON

What would you do with 20,000? Well - how about handing out literature to 2,000 of them? That's what I did at the Boston Tea Party.

Remember the Boston Tea Party? 200 years ago? Would another do? That's why I went to Boston. To find out what this tea jazz was all about.

Something was lost over 200 years. Two hundred years ago nobody had heard of Richard Nixon. This year most of the 20,000 at the reenactment wanted to impeach him.

What did libertarians want? Well - besides impeachment, we wanted to tell everyone that there was such a thing as give me an L...well you know.... We wanted to publicize libertarianism. What we wound up doing was playing second fiddle to the Peoples Bicentennial Commission.

The Peoples Bicentennial Commission knew what they were doing! They dominated the air waves and they had representatives there at least two weeks before hand to organize almost everyone in the Boston area who had a grip to turn out for the Tea Party.

This lib, that lib - they all came out. And most of them became part of the package deal that the leftist oriented PBC was handing out. The "deal" included posters along the route that blazoned a quote from Thomas Jefferson that "Banks are more dangerous than standing armies."

Maria Martins has since researched this quote. What Jefferson really meant was that government supported/sponsored/owned banks (such as the Bank of the United States) are more dangerous than standing armies.

This is a call to arms, either libertarians can allow the Bicentennial to go by, without adequate preparation and publicity or we can dig in and dominate it.

The PBC is taking it over. Their calls for impeachment and their anti-profits package deal got columns in the New York Times and TV coverage.

The libertarians, despite the valiant efforts of

(cont. Page 3)

NOTICE * NOTICE * NOTICE

There will be a special Convention in February to elect delegates to the 1974 National LP Convention to be held this June in Dallas.

The Annual FLP Convention will be held the same time as last year - for election of officers, amendments to the By-Laws, resolutions, etc.

The By-Laws read: "Each FLP member in good standing the thirty consecutive days prior to any FLP Convention shall be entitled to one vote at any FLP Convention, provided such member has registered in writing with the Secretary of the FLP as least fourteen days prior to such Convention. No voting by proxy will be permitted."

If you want to vote at either Convention - you must register by the end of this month.

If you are already a member, you must renew by paying your dues. If you are not a member, you've got to join.

The FLP does not have a platform. This does not mean it is impossible for us to take positions.

The State Convention is a good opportunity for the membership to pass resolutions on subjects of general agreement.

Last year we resolved to support the Liberty Amendment and the prohibition of laws regulating contraceptives.

Start thinging of - and lobbying for - issues you would like the Convention to take a stance on.

Such lobbying is welcomed in the newsletter

MANY THANKS

To the Mid-Hudson Libertarian Club for hosting our November State Committee meeting.

To Guy and Selma Riggs for hosting a delightful party afterwards to the downstaters could meet the upstaters.

To Ralph and Cheryl Blanchette for hosting the stragglers through an all-night and all-morning rap and breakfast session.

FROM THE CHAIR

Dear Free Libertarians,

In March you will elect a new slate of officers to run the FLP for the coming year. I write this letter in hopes of prompting your consideration of the type of leadership you want.

During the past year I have spent much time ordering stationary, resolving squabbles, listening to other people's ideas and selling space on the office floor. In other words, administration. I have considered this my proper function. I consider it the proper function of any FLP chairperson.

Some members of the FLP have wished for a strong leader to steer us in a proper and decisive direction. Had I decided to lead the Party, we might have been further along in establishing ourselves with the public as the Party of Principle, the Party of Freedom. I think we would have been less secure about claiming the distinction.

Obviously, there is a need for leadership and direction in the Party. And we have it. Leadership is provided by those who have ideas and projects. Direction is provided by those who participate in these projects; the more participation, the more direction. Tom Avery calls this, "voting with your feet."

The FLP is not a company with an owner who controls its actions. There are many aspects of libertarianism and probably none of us would agree on a strict order of priorities. The only libertarian position ready to be carved in stone is our stance against the initiation of force. Therefore, I consider it inappropriate for one person to have the power to make policy decisions for a group of people in this sort of association.

This does not mean decisions cannot be made nor action taken. FLP members have run effective political campaigns, have testified before City Charter commissioners, have even made editorial replies. But to reiterate what we decided at last year's Convention, nobody speaks for the Party unless we all agree. My not issuing a statement about censorship has not prevented an anti-censorship petition from being initiated. The Committee for the Repeal of Condemnation Laws was organized without instructions from the Party. Various clubs and individuals have started projects without directives. There are dozens of like examples.

You might then ask, what do we need the FLP for? The state organization of the FLP is a clearing house through which libertarians communicate with each other. It functions as a focal point for statewide activities (state candidates, initiatives, membership, newsletter, etc.) It provides a political unit through which candidates can promote libertarianism. What it does not do - and should not do - is define libertarianism for its members.

Some members have said, "The Party belongs to those who work for it." What does this mean? It seems clear that those who want to be officers should be willing to devote a great deal of time to the Party - but obviously, officers are not elected on a basis of person-hours. Some people work long and hard for the Party but have no wish to be officers because they don't like meetings. Some work hard for the Party but are not elected by the membership for any number of reasons. Others give money rather than time.

Who owns the Party? In one sense it is those who work and/or pay for it - more of the "voting with your feet" principle. But what they do is push and shove in the direction(s) they want it to go. In a proprietary sense, nobody owns the party and I hope we keep it that way.

I have been accused of allowing "the nut fringe" to gain a forum in the FLP that no strong person would have permitted. On the other hand, I have been accused of catering to, and leading, the "Partyarchy," a reputed conspiracy group bent on control of the FLP. Among some prevailing philosophies we are all considered "nuts" or worse. I am willing to suffer fools (not galdly) because those libertarians who might seem, on the surface, merely disruptive often have suggested the more libertarian ideas and/or solutions to problems the FLP sometimes faces. Just as there is no libertarian prototype, so there is no Free Libertarian point-of-view on subjects still open to debate in the movement. There are worse things than disagreement.

In a free society nobody would have to listen to anyone they had no respect for, and certainly in no society should anyone have to listen to personal abuse. But any libertarian who tells me he or she has the definitive answers - or knows ("everybody knows") who are the FLP members worth listening to - has either a distorted view of what a free society entails or a disregard for the finer points of achieving it.

We often work on projects with people or groups whose basic premises differ from ours. How can we not be willing to tolerate those who have the same premises but perhaps not the same understanding or the same priorities?

I challenge those of you who call other libertarians idiots, and those who call other libertarians contemptible to live your philosophy. Fight like hell to make your points but remember that what we're all trying to achieve is greater freedom. Surely the way to achieve freedom is in a loose structure rather than a controlled one.

Decide now whether you want a small band of followers or a growing group of rowdy freedom lusters. Those of you who prefer the former might be happier in a libertarian group with a different format. Those who want to promote the latter had better get used to the pains-in-the-ass because no libertarian society can guarantee their absence.

[Cont. Page 7]

The Free Libertarian is published by the

FREE LIBERTARIAN PARTY, INC.

15 West 38th Street, Room 201
New York, New York 10018

Martin E. Nixon, Editor

Free to members

All articles, features, columns, letters, ads and announcements must be received at above address by 20th of month preceding publication.

Classified Rates

\$1.00 per column inch
\$1.00 MINIMUM

[TEA IN BOSTON, cont.]

Don Ehrenberger (SIL, Philadelphia) got only anonymous tag to the Times article on the Party. "Some Libertarians shouting, 'Laissez Faire, Laissez Faire.'" This despite the more than 40 libertarians from SIL, the NJLP, FLP & Massachusetts LP who were on hand doing their thing.

We weren't coordinated. We didn't dominate the air waves. If we want to create something better than the quasi suppressed totalitarian state that we live in now, we must be willing to do whatever is necessary to achieve it.

If achieving a more libertarian society means more watts or more organization or more leaflets, then we must do it: or the leftist PBC will dominate the Bicentennial and libertarians will have to overcome that too.

The choice is ours: either we get better organized, more enthusiastic, more newsworthy material than anybody, or we can forget it.

Some good points:

30 libertarians peopled a boat that was in the foreground of the Tea Party activities.

The chant, "What do we want? Freedom! When do we want it? Now!" was a rouser.

Two thousand pieces of tax rebellion literature was handed out along with other SIL and Massachusetts LP poop.

This was a start. We have much to learn and do.

Keep the Spirit of '76 alive. The Libertarian Spirit of '76. The next "biggie" is Lexington and Concord. What are you going to do?

Bill Lowry

SUFFOLK

The Suffolk Libertarian Club is now holding monthly meetings and hopes to be chartered within a few months. At the November meeting, Mary Jo Wanzer, chairperson of the Nassau Libertarian Club was guest speaker. She gave an encouraging talk on the success of the Nassau Club and suggested avenues of action for our group. At our December meeting, we made plans for speakers and events in the spring. We also considered ways to get college students interested in Libertarianism and the Suffolk Libertarian Club. In January, we will work on the club charter and continue plans for 1974.

Publicity: Virginia Shields Walker, organizer of the Suffolk Libertarian Club, was featured in a front page interview in Suffolk Life, a local paper, on December 17. The newspaper article concerned the new Supreme Court ruling on search and seizure without a warrant. Walker called it "hit and miss terrorism." General libertarian ideas discussed. Club got a plug.

The Committee for Repeal of Condemnation Laws: beginning in January, a newsletter and campaign to get legislator support for repeal of condemnation laws.

Publicity: Long Island Press, on December 5, reported on the Committee's call to the Brookhaven Town Board for release of zoning from the political hand; zoning to be replaced with voluntary restrictive covenants, protected by law, etc. For further info: Committee for Repeal of Condemnation Laws; P.O. Box 86; Shirley, N.Y. 11967

Virginia Shields Walker

ROYCE REPORT

The Royce Report on Congress, covering the period January-July, 1973, has been published by the national LP (see separate item for ordering). This report rates all members of Congress on their pro or anti libertarian stands, based on 25 key votes. These votes were mainly concerned with economic and foreign policy issues. The LP uses the following terminology in describing voting records: 80's=excellent; 70's=good; 60's=fairly good; 50's=fair; 40's=poor; 30's=very poor; below 30=exceptionally bad.

Senator Scott of Virginia, with 67%, and Rep. Gross of Iowa, with 85% rate highest in their respective houses. Neither New York Senator rates among either the top 10 or the bottom 10. No New York Congressman rates among the top 10; but we have the dubious honor of having 3 Representatives among the bottom 10. Fish and Horton of New York tied for last place among Republicans, both receiving a rating of 16%. Democrat Murphy (Staten Island), with 6%, was edged out for bottom place by Flood of Pennsylvania, who received a 3%.

James Buckley's rating was 50%, while Jacob Javits received a 30% rating. The New York Congressman with the highest rating was Republican McEwen with 59%. Most averaged in the 30's, with a sprinkling of 40's and 20's. To discover how your Congressman rates, contact the FLP office or order your own copy of the Royce Report from national.

Some other ratings of interest: Steven Symms of Idaho scored 72%; Barry Goldwater turned up with a surprising 36%, two points less than Teddy Kennedy. Proxmire scored 44%, Ervin 61%, Hatfield 62%, Hughes of Iowa 52%, Jackson 12%, McGovern 33%.

Andrea Millen

CALIFORNIA

The California LP has endorsed the Marijuana Initiative. If passed, this would legalize marijuana in that state.

Ed Clark, the Chairman of the California LP and former Chairperson of the FLP, has initiated a column in their newsletter entitled "Thoughts from Chairman Ed."

NEW JERSEY

John Goodson, the New Jersey Libertarian Party candidate for Governor, received 3,071 votes, and ranked fourth among the ten "minor" party candidates.

Bob Steiner, Chairman of the New Jersey Libertarian Party, has announced his intention to run for United States Congress in 1974. He has been endorsed in his bid by unanimous vote of Alaskans for Independence. The 100% endorsement was accompanied by good wishes and a contribution to the campaign.

This campaign, like all campaigns, will require time, effort and money. Interested persons should contact Bob (201) 233-7088 or Larry Totams, Campaign Manager (201) 354-5927. Contributions should be made out to "Bob Steiner for Congress" and mailed to: Bob Steiner for Congress; P.O. Box 112; Westfield, J.J. 07091. Bob expresses his thanks for whatever support we might give.

CONGRESSIONAL EVALUATION

Want to find out how libertarian your local Congressman is? The newly created Royce Report provides a semi-annual evaluation of each Congressman's record on significant votes from a libertarian perspective. Professionally assembled, the Royce Report is available for \$1.50 by writing to: Libertarian Information Service; P.O. Box 31638; Aurora, Colo. 80011.

Eric Scott Royce is the Washington, D.C. representative of the National LP.

KEEP ON WRITING

John D. Mackay, a member of Radicals for Capitalism at Cornell had a letter on the energy crisis published in the December 20th issue of the New York Times.

He pointed out that "contrary to what the Times may think, electric generators and oil refineries did not drop from the heavens during Creation...."

He goes on to say that energy is like any other for of property, and concludes with, "In the allegory about the goose that laid the golden egg, the king killed his source of wealth in his desire to control a power he did not understand. When the Times starts thinking about how the Government should control the energy industries, it had better worry about where it can find extra geese."

FREE LIFE EDITIONS

Free Life Editions, a new publishing company, has been established in New York City. FLE will publish reprints of libertarian and anarchist classics as well as significant new contributions to the contemporary libertarian perspective. Emphasis will be on paperback editions.

Already published are paperback editions of OUR ENEMY THE STATE by Albert Jay Nock and AS WE GO MARCHING by John T. Flynn, both including new introductions. THE STATE by Franz Oppenheimer is scheduled for paperback release in March.

MORE ELECTION INFORMATION

For those who may be interested, we are supplying more data on the past campaign. The following figures refer to the vote for Fran and were assembled by Gary Greenberg.

TOP TWENTY ASSEMBLY DISTRICTS

	BY VOTE TOTAL	BY % TOTAL VOTE	BY % MINOR VOTE
1	573 - 64th NY	1.69 - 64th NY	68.9 - 66th NY
2	330 - 65th NY	1.00 - 67th NY	68.6 - 28th Q
3	292 - 67th NY	1.00 - 68th NY	66.3 - 25th Q
4	280 - 68th NY	.85 - 65th NY	65.3 - 35th Q
5	270 - 66th NY	.82 - 69th NY	64.9 - 60th R
6	264 - 28th Q	.81 - 71st NY	63.4 - 26th Q
7	252 - 69th NY	.80 - 52nd K	63.2 - 42nd K
8	237 - 81st Bx	.78 - 66th NY	62.7 - 31st Q
9	234 - 25th Q	.75 - 63rd NY	60.6 - 49th K
10	220 - 24th Q	.70 - 28th Q	60.6 - 68th NY
11	213 - 26th Q	.65 - 51st K	59.4 - 65th NY
12	205 - 27th Q	.64 - 27th Q	59.2 - 80th Bx
13	181 - 45th K	.63 - 25th Q	58.1 - 50th K
14	173 - 52nd K	.63 - 62nd NY/R	57.8 - 23rd Q
15	170 - 23rd Q	.59 - 26th Q	57.6 - 33rd Q
16	170 - 31st Q	.57 - 35th Q	56.8 - 22nd Q
17	169 - 35th Q	.56 - 36th Q	56.6 - 37th Q
18	169 - 51st K	.55 - 24th Q	55.4 - 24th Q
19	164 - 83rd Bx	.54 - 23rd Q	54.0 - 38th Q/K
20	164 - 71st NY	.54 - 31st Q	52.9 - 67th NY

LEGEND: Bx-Bronx County, K-Kings, NY-New York, Q-Queens, R-Richmond

Bob Cohen has an article entitled What is the Libertarian Caucus After? published in The 26th A.D. Republican, a newsletter for the Republican Clubs of that district.

The letter by Mackay in The Times was noticed by Bill Lawry who contacted him. He has expressed an interest in the FLP. Members are reminded to be on the lookout for people who write letters to the editor with a libertarian slant that appear in newspapers. Remember the days when you thought you were the only libertarian in town. This is especially important for those areas outside of the NYC and Poughkeepsie areas where the FLP hasn't yet waged electoral campaigns which help so much to tell people we exist.



UNSUNG HEROES OF THE FREE LIBERTARIAN PARTY

PAUL TANZER: who has had the task of organizing the mailing of the newsletter, frequently with very little help.

EDITOR'S BOX

Up to now I have endeavored to keep a low profile as editor: restricting my role to that of extracting news articles, collating materials, doing layout and paste-ups, getting the mechanicals to the printers, and the like. I have bent over backward to avoid playing dictator. When controversies arose, I attempted to be as even-handed as possible: for instance there was the platform debate last year, where the newsletter ran a total of six articles over two issues detailing the various points of view. There was also the Muchnik-Bresiger series on gun control.

But it is one thing when individuals are discussing principles and issues - each has their say, their time in court, and then move on. It is something else when a dispute turns into a running feud and has the prospects of becoming like an action in Chancery that runs on for five generations. And when this is coupled with what is quite clearly a patina of personalities, then we must call a halt.

In this issue we are running the last rebuttal in what is by now the infamous dispute. After this anything else will be merely forwarded on.

And in the future I will arbitrarily delete any references that attack the motives of another FLP member. It is one thing to say that a proposed policy may be unwise. It is something else to say that an individual pushing that policy is doing so out of evil designs. The FLP By-Laws make the State Committee and the Convention the vehicle for expulsion. It is not proper, in my view, to make the newsletter a party to such action, except to announce an accomplished decision by those bodies.

The members of the FLP should be reminded that the newsletter is more than just a means of internal communication. The newsletter is sent to other state LP's; it is sent to the media; and, most important of all it is sent to prospects - to people who have indicated an interest in the FLP, but have not yet joined. The newsletter is our face to the world.

It is fitting that the newsletter provide a forum whereby the members may exchange views and discuss issues; but it must not become a vehicle for vendettas. To do so would indicate that the FLP spends a good bit of its time on such disputes - which is not true.

If libertarians want to fight, there are plenty of enemies out there. There are the tax collectors who want to steal your hard-earned pay, there are the master planners who want to kick you out of your home, there are the busibodies who want to put a policeman in your bedroom, there are the macho bullies who want to spend your blood to defend American honor abroad, there are the bleeding hearts who want to sacrifice you on the altar of the common good, this list could go on ad nauseam et infinitum. No one of us is the repository of divine wisdom - thus disputes within the Party should be conducted in a civil manner - in a friendly manner between colleagues and, if I may use the word, comrades.

If anyone of us believes that another FLPer is in error, let us seek to educate them from that error - not to reach for the bell, book and candle.

Martin E. Nixon

BETTER LATE THAN NEVER

EDITOR'S NOTE: it is very embarrassing for a letter that was submitted in August not to find its way to the newsletter folder in the FLP office until the end of December. And normally, when something does finally surface so late, it would be treated accordingly. But...since the newsletter so seldom get something from the pen of that inimitable stylist Sam Konkin, I have decided to brave the wrath of the Erinyes and run it anyway.

The other members of the radical caucus were sitting around with me reading Comrade Katz's letter in the last issue, and we took another toke and exclaimed "Wow, is that rational!" So we all flushed our stashes down the toilet and sat around waiting for our minds to focus. When we unblanked, we realized that Howie's argument was a pile of crap. It was almost as much a bummer as that time I saw this vision of an old lady carrying these stone letters saying "A is A" and pointing an accusing finger at me, yelling, "You vill not make me your Marcuse, heepie of the Right!"

Naturally, I could make the accusation that Howie ignores alcohol, which is an even worse "mind-blanker" than pot. I will refrain because one, Howie would accuse me of "lateral arguments," and two, because I know he is as ardent a teetotler. I happen to enjoy the stuff because it enhances social camaraderie and relaxation - the same reasons for social drinking - and because it focuses my mind which I seem unable to do in a normal state of mind. Also, it feels good. You need better reasons? But do I?

If I refused to associate with everyone who had a habit I considered disgusting, or got into a snit because someone I associated with in the Movement was not realizing their full potential, I would be as alone as a Bircher at a "Free Angela Davis" rally. I even sympathize with poor Howie, who turned down a toke from me at the National Convention, and thus failed to "conceptually reaffirm his self-concept," as I was busily doing. (So my self-concept is one of a radical freak...) I mean I was really brought low by Howie's blanking out the reality of the dope party by rolling over, going to sleep and unfocusing accordingly. Enough to drive me to drink.

Almost.

Sincerely, where it counts,
Samuel Edward Konkin, III

IN OUR NEXT ISSUE

The details of the New Year's Eve Party,

The latest State Committee meeting,

The Women's Symposium put on by the
Kentucky LP,

Details on the National LP Convention,
scheduled for June 12-16,

Letters

Continuous literature from you helps create in me the belief that the problems of this world are...faceable. What is so exciting is that you are reaching people; are moving forward; getting tangible results.

It is very interesting - the way your newsletter stimulates thoughts (almost irresistibly) of what I could possibly do to advance libertarianism.

In order to sustain this vital feeling that action is possible and worthwhile, I am subscribing to your newsletter.

Rainer Anacker

Gentlepersons:

It was interesting to note, in Sue's and Andrea's letter about the theatrical benefit, that "Libertarians have been called many things, but never, to our knowledge, elegant."

Kindly report what "elegant" means, in the next issue. I have still not been called it and want to know how to react if I am.

Many thanks and Merry Christmas.

Sincerely,
Leonard Rubin

(NOTE FROM SUSAN AND ANDREA: Neither do we!)

(EDITOR'S NOTE: Mea culpa, mea culpa, mea maxima culpa. Most errors that have crept into the newsletter have been ordinary typo's, i.e. 'p' for 'o' or 'y' for 't'. In this case, the text was dictated over the phone, and I assume full responsibility for spelling elegant as elegant. Anyway, it still looks right.

Although, I wasn't given the opportunity to respond to Ken Kalcheim's letter (Nov-Dec) in the issue in which it appeared, I would like to comment briefly.

1) I want to thank Mr. Kalcheim for making his position "crystal clear." (Where have I heard that before?) If I understand Mr. Kalcheim's letter correctly, his campaign of lies, misrepresentations, distortions and half truths directed against me were motivated not by personal animosity but rather by philosophical disagreement. I will take his word for it.

2) If I take his word, then I think it is only fair to conclude that the reason Mr. Kalcheim organized "Libertarians for D.A. Hogan" and sent out press releases for such group and gave out my phone number as the contact point was not out of personal animosity, but rather because D.A. Frank Hogan holds views that come closer to Mr. Kalcheim's views than do my own. This is certainly fair of Mr. Kalcheim, as I think he should support the candidate who best represents his views. I wouldn't want him to compromise his principles for the

sake of Party unity. Perhaps he can get his friend Mr. Hogan to debate me before the FLP membership so that the members can determine whether I or Frank Hogan come closer to their vision of Libertarianism.

3) Mr. Kalcheim says that one thing he would never do is make statements (one after another) which are filled with gross inaccuracies. Again, I take him at his word and will accept that statement as an apology for the lists of statements with gross inaccuracies about me that he published - and in so doing, I am,

With all sincerity,
Ken Kalcheim's friend & buddy,
Gary Greenberg

P.S. I hope that will now resolve the whole dispute and leave us all free to pursue more productive ends.

LIBERTARIAN CLEARING HOUSE

The major purpose of the clearing house will be to allow libertarians to deal with other libertarians both economically and socially and, as a by-product, to benefit the Party.

Wouldn't you prefer a libertarian lawyer, doctor, etc.? A fellow libertarian might be a great choice if you are in a position to hire or influence the hiring of a person.

I am confining the concept to two areas initially:

- A. What is your professions? (use your major if you are a student.)
- B. Hobbies and interests (i.e. coin collecting, chess, electronics, cars, etc.)

You will be entered on a card file under your areas.

It will cost each person \$1 (fiat money accepted) to be listed and to use the service for the first year.

The service will function 24 hours - 365 days a year through the use of an answering machine, which shall be purchased with the initial dollars. I will personally respond to each phoned inquiry.

If a user of the service receives a benefit above what he or she expects in the ordinary marketplace, I would ask that this person make a general contribution to the Party. This is not mandatory; and the amount is up to your judgement. How much would it be worth to you if you were looking for a job and found one through the clearing house? How much would it be worth to you if you were looking for an employee and found one through the service? A doctor, mechanic, lawyer?

Send in your dollar to the FLP Clearing House to start the ball rolling. You will be notified by me when we go live.

William W. Roth

There are a large number of back-issues of Human Events and National Review at the FLP office. If anyone is interested in them, please stop by. (But call first to see if someone is in.)

CLUB NEWS

If you wish to organize an FLP Club, contact the FLP office. An organizing kit will be provided which consists of model By-Laws, instructions for organizing, literature and PR handbooks, party literature, names, addresses and telephone numbers of members and prospects in your area, speaker(s) for organizing meetings, charter application, etc. The FLP Membership Committee will provide as much help as needed to get you started. It will even suggest projects for those clubs requesting ideas.

If you wish to join a club, the choice of existing clubs follows. Of none suits you, you'll have to sit around and wait for someone else to form one in your area or you'll have to do it yourself.

CHARTERED

ALBERT JAY NOCK FLPC: we intend to read the government out of existence. Find how how by calling Robert Cohen (212) 762-3203

BRONX LIBERTARIAN CLUB: for information contact Bill Roth (212) 823-0454 or Tom Avery (212) 584-5493.

BROOKLYN LIBERTARIAN PARTY CAUCUS: for information, contact Gloria Johnson at (212) 768-5892 or Ray Goldfield (212) 376-4891.

LIBERTARIAN ABORTION ACTION GROUP: LAAG will be concentrating on contraception and drug law repeal, and is open to men as well as women. For further information, contact Fran Youngstein at (212) 249-0172.

LIBERTARIAN TAX REBELLION COMMITTEE: the LTRC meets the first Monday of every month. We have a number of anti-tax activities being planned. Through our affiliation with the National Tax Strike Coalition, we offer memberships which include coverage under the legal defense fund and a monthly magazine, etc. The magazine is available separately. Contact Howard Katz at (212) 254-4791 or Kenneth W. Kalcheim at (212) 288-0327.

MID-HUDSON LIBERTARIAN CLUB: (Dutchess County area). The MHLCL meets every third Thursday of the month at 8 PM at the Unitarian Church; 67 Randolph Avenue; Poughkeepsie. For further information contact Guy Riggs at (914) 462-0613.

NASSAU LIBERTARIAN CLUB: for further information write P.O. Box 32, West Hempstead, N.Y. 11552 or call Mary Jo Wanzer at (516) 481-6010.

UNCHARTERED

CULTURE CIRCLE: (Brooklyn-Bay Ridge) - existential/pragmatic/humanist - dialog/discussions. Meets Saturdays - call Saturday 10-11:15 AM: (212) 833-5544.

FLPrc: (Multi-club caucus) plots and conspires fourth Friday of every month at 635 East 11th Street - Apt. 24; New York, N.Y. 10009. (212) 673-6136

LIBERTARIAN ANTI-CENSORSHIP COMMITTEE: for information, contact Louis Sicilia at (212) 663-2562 (evenings).

LIBERTARIAN EDUCATION ISSUE CLUB: for information contact Noah Fuhrman at (212) 737-8851 or write to him at 35 East 85th Street, New York, N.Y. 10028.

SUFFOLK LIBERTARIAN CLUB: for further information, contact Alex Walker or Virginia Walker at (516) 924-3794.

ATTENTION: All clubs wishing to place notices in the FLP Newsletter must submit exact written copy by the 20th of the preceding month. In the absence of any copy, any club's notice will be left to the tender mercies of the editor.

HELP WANTED: Lawyers and law students needed for important research project for the 1976 LP presidential campaign. Must be strong on research and have access to law libraries. Need not be from New York area. Contact Gary Greenberg; 305 East 86th Street; New York, N.Y. 10028; (212) 860-2519.

I'd like any member who is in public relations (professionally) to send me a note with business phone included. This arrangement, if it develops, will help yourself, your firm and the FLP. Contact: Roger Eisenberg; 65 Orchard Street; Eastchester, N.Y. 10707.

Ken Kalcheim has announced his candidacy for the US Congress from the 16th C.D. (The incumbent is John Murphy.) He is the second one to announce so far who will be seeking FLP endorsement at the Annual Convention (Sandy Cohen was the first, from the 25th C.D.)

The Convention is only three months away, so any others who want to run for office as the FLP candidate are urged to start drumming up support now.

[From the Chair, cont.]

A majority of you might disagree with me, in which case you should elect as your officers those who are strong leaders. Be careful though, they might lead you somewhere they want to go instead of where you are sure we should go.

If you're willing to vote with your feet, to allow a wide range of ideas and directions, elect administrators and get going on the ideas yourselves. Bid for direction on the open market. It may seem less efficient but we'll be more sure to get to freedomland - and you'll find a lot more people making the trip.

*Laissez-Faire,
Andrea Millen
Chairperson*

FREE LIBERTARIAN PARTY, INC.
15 West 38th Street
New York, N.Y. 10018

First Class Mail



Steven Schneider
405 Oakland Avenue
Cedarhurst NY 11516

PLEASE ENTER SUBSCRIPTION FOR:

☐ \$4/one year ☐ \$7/two years

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY & STATE _____ ZIP _____

Enclosed is check _____ money order _____
for \$ _____

Mail to: **FREE LIBERTARIAN PARTY, INC.**
15 West 38th Street
New York, N.Y. 10018

MARKET PLACE

HISTORY IN THE MAKING: back issues of the *Free Libertarian*, the FLP newsletter, are available for 50¢ each (except March and August '73). Get them while they last.

FREE LIFE EDITIONS: new in paperback: *OUR ENEMY, THE STATE* by Albert Jay Nock, \$2.95. *AS WE GO MARCHING* by John T. Flynn, \$3.45. Available from Free Life Editions; 41 Union Square West; New York, N.Y. 10003 or from Laissez Faire Books.

FRANCISCO D'ANCONIA ENTERPRISES:

\$100 of Silver Dimes & Quarters \$125
Rare Gold and Silver Coins
(with history of coin) \$50 per month
16 ball point pen refills \$1.25
Prices may fluctuate due to market conditions, so call in before sending order to confirm latest price.
Francisco D'Anconia Enterprises; Dept. FLP; 36-20 168th Street - #2B; Flushing, New York 11358. (212) 762-3203 (evenings).

RIP-OFF RESISTANCE: Articles on tax rebellion by libertarians, conservatives and leftists. Subscription \$8 p-r year. *Tax Rebellion Kits* \$10. Books - *Taxation and Tyranny* by Karl J. Bray \$1. *Action for Americans: The Liberty Amendment* 75¢, *IRS - Taxation or Plunder?* by John F. Grismore \$5 and *Tax Revolt USA!* by Dr. Martin A. Larson \$5. Send check or money order to: Kenneth W. Kalcheim; 349 East 65th Street - Apt. 5C; New York, N.Y. 10021.

NEW LIBERTARIAN NOTES: Articles, news, theory for East Coast radical libertarians and science fiction reviews for freedom fan. \$5.00/12 issues. Checks payable to: Samuel E. Konkin, III; 635 East 11th Street; New York, N.Y. 10009.

LAISSEZ FAIRE BOOKSTORE: Tremendous selection of libertarian, free market and romantic literature. 208A Mercer Street (corner of Bleecker); New York, N.Y. (212) 674-8154.

The *Free Libertarian* costs money to print and mail. And there ain't no such thing as a free newsletter, although there is such a thing as the *Free Libertarian*.

A subscription to the newsletter costs \$4 per year. The newsletter is included in membership fees to all FLP members. Friends of Libertarianism who wish to continue receiving the newsletter on a regular basis are urged to fill out and return the coupon.

The cost of a subscription will be applied to membership dues if the membership application is received within 30 days of the subscription.

DO YOU KNOW SOMEONE...

WHO MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN THE FREE
LIBERTARIAN PARTY?

IF SO, THEN SEND US THEIR NAME, AND
WE WILL PUT THEM ON OUR PROSPECT LIST.

Please send information on the FLP to:

NAME: _____

ADDRESS: _____

CITY: _____

STATE: _____ ZIP: _____