

Appeal to the Judicial Committee

Connor Nepomuceno <cjnepo1@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 30, 2022 at 11:20 AM

To: Brian Ellison <bellison78@gmail.com>

Cc: Joshua Smith <joshmsmith@gmail.com>, Robert W. Roddis, Esq. <bobbcoddis@gmail.com>

Bcc: Andrew Chadderdon <andrew.chadderdon@gmail.com>

Mr. Ellison,

The Judicial Committee met and voted that we will not consider your appeal any further.

Your appeal is dillatory on its face. The matter to which you are referring was resolved at the Candidate Nominating Convention by Mr. Chadderdon resigning as convention chair and replacing him with Mr. Brungardt. As far as the appeal to the ruling of the chair before that time, Mr. Chadderdon cited RONR 39:3 which states, 39:3 "Any main or other motion that is frivolous or absurd that contains no rational proposition is dilatory and cannot be introduced. As further examples, it is dilatory to obstruct business by appealing from a ruling of the chair on a question about which there cannot possibly be two reasonable opinions."

As the previous Judicial Committee decision demonstrates, the bylaws state that 30 days notice must be given to members. This covers all business, without exception. Mr. Chadderdon's ruling and handling of the appeal to the chair was correct, especially when we also consider RONR 39:4,

39:4 "Whenever the chair becomes convinced that one or more members are repeatedly using parliamentary forms for dilatory purposes, he should either not recognize these members or he should rule that such motions are not in order."

You do not have the right to conduct business which is a violation of our bylaws and parliamentary procedures. That includes making a dilatory appeal to the ruling of the chair. Please read up on our bylaws and parliamentary procedures before making such an appeal again. The Judicial Committee will not consider unsubstantiated appeals.

Regards,
Connor Nepomuceno
Chair, Judicial Committee of the Libertarian Party of Michigan

[Quoted text hidden]

--

[Quoted text hidden]