



# President Clinton - how about these "sacrifices?"

*"Yours In Liberty . . ."*  
Letters to the Editor

## Non-aggression pledge obscures intentions of Libertarian Party

To The Editor:

Last week President Clinton issued a challenge for those of us calling for a real reduction in the federal government's spending to suggest some specific cuts. So here's the short list of some cuts we Libertarians recommend:

1. The Veteran's Administration hospitals were built to care for servicemen and women who had been injured in the service of their country. So eliminate VA hospital stays for those whose medical problems have nothing to do with their military service. Then stop building VA hospitals in cities where there are already enough private and public hospitals.

2. Sell NASA's space shuttles to private industry. True, operating them does not cost much more than \$5 billion per year, but few space scientists believe their contribution to research merits even that relatively small amount.

3. Eliminate farm price subsidies. Do it gradually, over a few years, to soften the blow. But do it. Federal subsidies to agriculture totaled about \$35 billion last year, nearly one third of net farm income. Much of the money went to huge corporate farms that would have survived quite well without the aid.

4. Stop building needless dams and other huge pork-barrel projects. For many years now, most projects built by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Corps of Engineers have been little more than disguised subsidies to local businessmen.

5. Cut all foreign aid. There is nothing in our constitution that allows our politicians to pick our pockets and send that money to foreign governments. All too many of these (donations) end up in the pockets of the politicians whose citizens are supposed to receive them. It should be considered a violation of the law to send the American people's tax money to foreign governments.

6. Put a cap on cost-of-living adjustments for Social Security benefits and start means testing for Social Security recipients. Contrary to popular belief, COLA's do not come from the contributions that recipients paid in to the system during their working years - they are stolen from the pockets of the generations still working. It is simply unfair to take from the Baby-Boom generation (and soon, from their children) money that will never be repaid.

7. Raise the military retirement age to 50. And let's make servicemen stay in for 25 years as well. As it stands, those with 20 years of active duty can retire at 40 and receive half of their maximum salary for life (adjusted each year for inflation) no matter how much additional income they earn. Only one recipient in four is now over 65. Most go on to a second pension paying career. They are eligible for Social Security as well.

8. Change the way federal salaries are computed. Regulations dictate that government employees should be paid as much as those doing similar jobs in the private sector. But add in the generous fringe benefits that federal employees also receive and we find that what they are receiving has caught up and far surpassed private

pay scales.

9. Remove the federal controls on private construction wages that were enacted in the depression-era Davis-Bacon Act. At this point, their sole effect is to bloat the cost of government building projects.

10. Change normal market-level interest rates for federal loans. Better yet, get the government out of the lending business altogether. We have other, more pressing uses for this money.

11. Stop all the expenditures on the strategic defense initiative, or "Star Wars." It is perfectly possible to build an effective space-based anti-missile defense system. The real problem is the cost. At best estimate, a fully deployed space defense system would cost three times America's Gross Domestic Product. Killing it now could save tens of billions of dollars. Exactly how much is unclear because the budget for Star Wars is classified.

12. End our overseas military commitments. The United States has mutual-defense treaties with over forty countries with about \$400,000 troops overseas. These commitments represent an unjustifiable subsidy to the very trading partners whose corporations, unburdened by taxes that would otherwise be needed to defend their own countries, are trouncing ours in international trade. It is time our allies paid for their own defense.

These are just a few of the spending reductions we Libertarians would make to put an end to this spending juggernaut.

It is my belief that recruiters will

find this quite a bit easier to administer, while at the same time, we are quite sure that anyone who is not willing to sign this has an extremely high likelihood of not being very libertarian.

We therefore urge the membership to give thought to changing the current certification to the one which we presented here, and will seek to place on the agenda for the next National Convention, a motion to effect this change.

Signed and supported by,

Richard Boddy  
Dan Karlan - NatCom Representative Region 5

Michael Pierone - State Chair - New Jersey

Lorenzo Gatzanaga - State Chair - Maryland

Paul King  
Oceanside

Nancy Tucker-Girdler  
Rainbow

"Let's make the pledge voluntary."

To The Editor:

Regarding the Great Libertarian Debate - Keep the Pledge, Dump the Pledge, Volunteerize the Pledge?

This is an open letter to the sworn defenders of the Pledge to persuade 51% of you to vote (she said, tongue in cheek) to volunteerize the Pledge for all, including officers and candidates.

The Libertarian Party is an oxymoron.

2. Members of political parties by definition are majoritarians.

3. Successful Libertarian politicians cannot avoid the use of force ("The Tyranny of the Plurality"). All politicians impose their personal views on voters and non-voters once they have said what they need to say to get elected.

4. If ideological "Purity" is so important, why do you belong to the only Libertarian organization (among hundreds) whose members choose to spend all their time and effort for the African-American man to accomplish things on his own and not for the government for favors and handouts.

5. The LP has worse image problems than a mandatory pledge to join. It's the only party without a "litmus" test to license the use of the LP label. After 20 years of "our" candidates running as "Libertarians" while distorting pamphlets distorting the liberty message at great embarrassment to us, I suggest the Pledge's defenders use their collective brain power to solve that far more serious problem than the growth of the LP.

6. People who want to infiltrate and play dirty tricks will sign anything.

7. Believers in liberty do not make other people take oaths and pledges to be included in their "educational" outreach organizations.

Let's make the pledge voluntary, and get on with substantive changes, rather than calling attention to ourselves with an embarrassing internal conflict that confuses and disgusts would-be workers for liberty.

Peacefully yours,

Dagney Sharon, Attorney  
Pacific Mediation Center

Matthew J. Piazza  
La Mirada

First Class Mailing Option to be Discontinued

Liberty Bell, the new tabloid version of the LPC state-wide newsletter, is now bulk-mailed in Kern County from a central sorting facility of the U.S. Postal Service. One anomaly this move has caused is that newsletter which is sent first class are taking longer to reach their destination than bulk-mailed pieces. In addition we've heard that first class copies often arrive covered with large cancellation marks making much of the text unreadable. We have therefore decided to discontinue the first class mailing option.

Current subscribers who have already paid for first class mailings are entitled to a pro-rated rebate, however, we're hoping you won't hold us to it. Rebates could range anywhere from just a few cents to a maximum of five dollars if this is the first copy of *Liberty Bell* you are receiving. Given our current budgetary problems and the administrative overhead it would take to get those rebates out to you, we're hoping that you'll be willing to just consider your rebate a donation to the party. Knowing what great folks you all are, we're going to assume that's what you'll want to do by default. Therefore if you would like to receive a rebate, or credit toward next year's renewal, we're asking you to take the initiative to let us know that's what you want. Requests should be sent to: Libertarian Party of California, 655 Lewelling Boulevard #362, San Leandro, CA 94579.

I couldn't agree more with Jeff Jared's letter about Malcolm X in the February issue of the *Liberty Bell*. I was going to write a similar letter about Malcolm X's Libertarian instincts, but for some reason forgot to.

Malcolm X hated the white man's welfare system, which does no good for the pocket books of the taxpayers but does even more psychological harm to the recipients. He promoted black empowerment and self-reliance, which would go much further in curtailing inner-city violence, drug usage, and racial tensions than any dumb liberal-government scheme or program.

On Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, the Orange County Register printed a letter of mine that claimed that

the LP was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many ways grovelled at the white man's feet for "improvements." Malcolm X is a man above all things, and he said to King's (Democratic) doctrine,

Malcolm X was being slighted in favor of King, who was your typical hypocritical Baptist minister with socialist instincts who in many

## Property Forfeiture for Speeches, Writings and Assembly; "1993 Crime Bill Package"

From page 1

assembly, the property forfeiture provisions of Biden's SB 266, pages 24 and 25, may be triggered causing forfeiture of attending demonstrator's homes used for meetings and the vehicles they used for transportation to events. Demonstrations and/or pickets who left messages on a member or organization BBSS system could cause the forfeiture of the system and all its records. The fact the system operator had no knowledge of any planned violence is not necessary to precipitate property forfeiture of organization and member assets. Conspiracy is enough, under both SB 265 and SB 266, forfeiture and arrest can result from "activities which appear to be

intended toward violence;" "to coerce a civilian population;" "to influence the policy of a government."

SB 266 when first examined is misleading for it gives the reader the impression it is after "agents" of a foreign power wishing to do Americans harm. The "Trojan Horse" in SB 266 that anyone in the United States committing an undefined violent act or attending an assembly can be charged with terrorism. International and national computer networks such as FIDO would be subject to list and property seizures if any "node" was charged with being used by an individual or organization appeared to intend" to commit a terrorist act. A political group's planned assembly to

protect having their drinking water polluted, could qualify.

Lawful speeches, writings and peaceful public assemblies may be used to show the actor's awareness of the unlawful methods of an individual organization. Unlawful methods in SB 265 and SB 266 refer to any act which is a crime in the United States and the state where committed. A citizen who happened to stop at a public gathering they saw while driving, could be arrested and have his/her vehicle seized; and be charged under the bill's Habeas Corpus provisions which can put a citizen in prison for life without their knowing the evidence which put them there. Government will be able to do this in the

## Organizing your county for self-government

From page 1

to the community stability and tax base due to the loss of traditional land uses in the county. This study will show the value of elk, etc., contribute to the community stability, custom, culture and the tax base of your county. Custom and culture are our last hope of locally determining the way of life and determining which

local industries will continue to exist. Thus, protecting the county tax base.

Define custom, culture, community stability and private property based on the results of your economic impact study. See the *Federal Lands and County Government handbook* as a guide.

Meetings must be held in several

## Is California headed for disaster?

From page 1

areas of the county to provide the opportunity for public input for the comprehensive plan. You need representatives from the county groups mentioned in Step 8, to be at each meeting to insure that adequate discussion occurs to get the right plan in place to protect your community stability, custom and culture. Appoint one of the committee members to be in charge of press releases. Do not leave it to chance that some uninformed reporter may distort the issues. Bring your commissioners into your land planning meetings to cover all the bases and keep every essential person informed in the planning process.

California Welfare Caseloads grew 12% compounded in the prosperous 1980s. Over two million people are currently on welfare.

With 12% of the nation's population, California pays 26% of the welfare costs.

The female heads of households increased from 7.9% in 1950 to 16.7% in 1990.

The number of unwed mothers giving birth jumped from 13% in 1970 to 31% in 1990.

AFDC (Aid for Families with Dependent Children) increased 14% in the 1980s; it is projected to grow 61% in the 90s.

Two out of every three teenagers giving birth are unmarried.

Medi-Cal recipients increased 27% in the 1980s; they are projected to grow 98% in the 1990s.

California Workman's Compensation

## Tony Reed Publications

2020 Eye Street • Bakersfield, CA 93301 • (805) 327-0520

### GRADUALISM AS A BETRAYAL OF LIBERTARIAN PRINCIPLES

A cornerstone of libertarian theory is the principle of individual rights - the principle that no man or group of men has the right to use force, or the threat of force, against another person. It is this principle that provides an unmistakable line of demarcation between socially permissible and impermissible actions.

Any doctrine that attempts to ignore or override the idea of rights obviously cuts at the heart of the Libertarian Theory. If, for instance, it is held that rights cease to function as an effective check on governmental power. Then the principle is conceded in theory that, in some cases, it is justifiable for the government to violate rights, libertarian theory is virtually destroyed.

Some libertarians uphold a doctrine known as gradualism, which maintains that some unjust laws, such as taxation, should not be immediately abolished, because their immediate abolition would have undesirable consequences. Gradualists refer to a "transition" period where it is necessary to map a strategy of gradual repeal, in order to avoid social conflict.

In opposition to the gradualists are the abolitionists, who maintain that governmental theft is always wrong, regardless of allegedly noble motives. It simply would not be within the moral province of a libertarian government to decide if it wanted to continue taxation - taxation is excluded absolutely by the principle of rights and non-aggression. A libertarian government would have but one consistent course: to pursue the abolition of all taxes just as rapidly as possible.

It is important not to confuse gradualism as a policy with gradualism as a possible or probable fact. Given the nature of the process, the diffusion of ideas and their implementation takes place over a period of time - in this sense, the repeal of taxation, or the repeal of any unjust legislation, would be "gradual." But this says nothing more than the pursuit of goals takes time and energy, and must be often achieved in steps. This kind of gradualism as a probable fact should not be confused with gradualism as a policy, a theoretical principle, which maintains that, even if it were possible to repeal taxation immediately, libertarians should not do so. Libertarians, in other words, should not crusade for total and immediate abolition of taxes - so says gradualism as a policy.

Abolitionists, on the other hand, see taxation as a moral evil, and therefore maintain that it should not exist. It ought to be abolished immediately - meaning there is no moral justification for maintaining taxation under any circumstance whatever. A libertarian abolitionist refuses to participate in the enforcement of tax laws, nor will he sanction those who do. If a Libertarian Bureaucrat comes to his door to collect taxes - taxes that are said to be only temporary during the "interim" or "transition" period - he will view that bureaucrat with the same disdain and moral disgust that he would view any other petty bureaucrat.

It is that simple. Theft is theft, whether done by a government or by an individual, and even if done by a libertarian government. The principle of rights does not permit an "ifs," "ands," or "buts," nor is the immorality of theft eradicated through tortuous appeals to tactics, strategy, interim periods, transition periods, "Getting from here to there" problems, etc.

Make no mistake about it: gradualism versus abolitionism is an immensely important moral issue - as important a moral issue as libertarians have ever faced - and it reduces simply to this: Is Government theft, the violation of rights to be supported if only the cause is deemed noble enough? If you answer "yes," then you are not a libertarian - for you have denied the inalienable human rights. If you answer "no," then you are a libertarian and you must be an abolitionist as well.

This is a summary of arguments presented by George H. Smith in "The Corruption of Freedom in Classical Liberalism," a talk presented to the Libertarian Party Convention on February 20, 1997. A full transcript of this talk will be available soon.

name of national security and/or protection of keeping secret some investigative method.

Presently, under current drug forfeiture laws, innocent citizens have been fingered by informants who will often testify to anything to mitigate their own arrest. This has resulted in innocent citizens being arrested and killed by drug agents; forfeiture of their property; and financial ruin. Under proposed provisions of SB 265 and SB 266 special breaks are afforded informants, even against the

House Conference Report 102-405, "the 1993 Crime Bill Package."

### FORFEITURE ABUSE

#### Congressman Conyers plans Congressional Hearings

Congressman John Conyers, who chairs the House Committee on Government Operations which is responsible for overseeing the administrative procedures and policies of federal asset forfeiture has asked for Congressional Hearings to receive public input on the governments abuse of forfeiture against its citizens.

Citizens concerned about the inequities of forfeiture and its expanding trend toward loss of individual due process rights and wish further information and/or would like to attend the Congressional Hearings should contact Congressman Conyers office, Carol Bergman at (202) 225-5051.

**Information provided by: The Asset Guardian, P.O. Box 513 Franklin, NJ, 07416, (201) 827-2177.**

-----

local industries will continue to exist. Thus, protecting the county tax base.

Define custom, culture, community stability and private property based on the results of your economic impact study. See the *Federal Lands and County Government handbook* as a guide.

Meetings must be held in several

areas of the county to provide the opportunity for public input for the comprehensive plan. You need representatives from the county groups mentioned in Step 8, to be at each meeting to insure that adequate discussion occurs to get the right plan in place to protect your community stability, custom and culture. Appoint one of the committee members to be in charge of press releases. Do not leave it to chance that some uninformed reporter may distort the issues. Bring your commissioners into your land planning meetings to cover all the bases and keep every essential person informed in the planning process.

1. Only residents of your county can have a voice at your meetings and any agency personnel, state or federal, who attend your meetings must be county tax paying residents. If they attend your meetings, it must be on their own time, not agency time, and they must not be in uniform so as not to intimidate other people attending the meetings.

2. Always remember that local custom, culture and community stability must be the guiding force for your land planning process as mandated by congressional law.

3. Always start with these basic four county ordinances as listed in the *County Government and Federal Lands book: Interim Land Use Plan*, Civil rights, executive order 12630 and Section 8.

4. A suggestion: Don't try to fix something that is not broken and make improvisations. Stay on the proven path.

(Reprinted from the *Asset Guardian* - February, 1993.)

*The Asset Guardian, P.O. Box 513, Franklin, NJ 07416, (201) 827-2177.*

-----

HCR 102-405, "the 1993 crime bill package," would limit lawsuits that injured citizens could bring against United States or foreign government officials, agents, and employees deemed to be acting in their legal capacity to address what appears to be "activities tending toward violence." Under section 233, the 1993 crime bill states that "suits against government officials," injured American citizens or foreign individuals and nationals, could not sue for physical and/or financial injuries resulting from the actions of government officials, agents, or employees that resulted while in the pursuit of preventing terrorist acts. Example: government agents who physically injured and/or seized property from U.S. political activists alleged to have international network contacts, which government agents believed were

-----

RICO and other disproportionate tolerance laws, have served as precedents for expanding forfeiture.

Since 1984, forfeiture laws have been operating on the erroneous contention that property can possess intent to commit a crime. Innocent owners could have their property forfeited prior to trial on mere suspicion, starting out guilty having to prove they did not have reason to know their property was being used to facilitate a forfeiture offense. Government need only show the property owner was 1% negligent in making their property available for illegal activity to cause its forfeiture. "The forfeiture scam," tenants arrested on real property offered a sentencing deal by a prosecutor or immunity from further prosecution, often reply in testimony, that had the real property owner been vigilant, he or she could have discovered drug dealing taking place at their

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----

</

## Special interest groups jealously shred state educational budget

By Stephen Guffanti, M.D.

The budget fight in Sacramento reminds me of the Walt Disney scene where Cinderella's two sisters are jealously tearing pieces of her beautiful gown, screaming "That's mine."

As different special interest groups tear at our State budget, our society, like Cinderella's dress, will be left in tatters. Yet each special interest has real needs that should be met.

The education lobby has two concerns: keeping stable the amount of dollars per student, and building schools. The first concern means a funding increase of \$1 billion each year. The second concern means \$20 billion in school construction for the next five years.

Unfortunately, while our student population grows at 200,000 (or 4%) each year, our economy and our tax base does not. As university students, firemen, policemen, welfare moms, cities and counties can testify, their budgets have been severely cut to help the education lobby keep their first concern, a concern which is guaranteed in the State Constitution by Proposition 98.

Their second concern is \$20 billion raised over the next five years: the education lobby is taking to the voters in June, 1994, a constitutional amendment which would allow local school districts to pass school construction bonds by a simple majority. The bonds are paid for by property taxes and currently need a two-thirds majority. This is one of the few ways to increase property taxes and currently need a two-thirds majority. This is one of the few ways to increase property taxes beyond the Gann limit.

This will affect those people on fixed income; renters will see their rents raised; and home owners will see their taxes raised. Southern California and some high growth areas in Northern California will be the worst hit, but almost every school district in the state needs either new construction or repair of their current buildings.

Of course, after two years of budget cuts and layoffs, the cities, counties and even the police will be gathering forces to tear a bigger piece of Cinderella's gown. Their concerns are just as valid as those of the education lobby. In an effort to meet some of their needs, Governor Wilson has

choice will create the incentive for schools to use the available technology, and to create the diversity to educate more of the students. No longer will 60% of the students fall through the cracks (as drop-outs, expulsions, or being functionally unemployable upon graduation).

Choice will create the incentive for schools to use the available technology,

"As different special interest groups tear at our State budget, our society, like Cinderella's dress, will be left in tatters. Yet each special interest has real needs that should be met."

- Stephen Guffanti, M.D.

gone to Washington to ask for \$1.45 billion, and has suggested that Social Security benefits be cut to fund the proposal.

Rather than pitting citizens against each other, we must work together to improve the schools for individual student improvement. The test must be designed and scored by a party independent of State and school, and must have national standards such as the Standard Achievement Test or the Iowa Test of Basic Skills. The school selects the test.

At the same time the Amendment limits the State's ability to add regulations on the private schools while insisting that choice-participating public schools be less regulated and more accountable for student learning.

The Amendment works exactly like the GI Bill has for over 45 years, and our universities (both public and private) are considered some of the best in the world. It works for a very simple reason, while politicians have many special interests demanding special mandates, parents have only one mandate: Teach My Kid.

The secret weapon of the Saurenman campaign is Aaron Starr, the Ventura County LP Chair who masterminded the recent city council victories of Libertarians Sandi Webb in Simi Valley and Bonnie Flickinger in Moreno Valley. Starr assures us that victory is on the horizon - if we can raise enough money. Our fundraising target: \$15,000. Without money, our candidate won't be taken seriously in the news media - and his is the apparent front runner.

Even more far-reaching than this current budget crisis is the effect the school choice amendment will have on our children's education. Choice will increase the parents' and children's options. Choice will stimulate private school growth, this will alleviate the need for school construction bonds while promoting jobs for builders, teachers and real estate agents. This our economy really needs.

Moreover, the new scholarships will increase the need for school construction bonds while promoting jobs for builders, teachers and real estate agents. This our economy really needs.

Before anyone says it cannot be done, consider the school choice amendment. The amendment would allow parents to choose their child's school, private, public or religious. It starts saving money from day one and when fully implemented would save \$7.7 billion annually . . . five times what Wilson wants to take out of Social Security.

Share the control with the parent through scholarships and both children and teachers are free from being political pawns. The net result will be smaller, more personal schools which will specialize in meeting the needs of the student. The net result will also be fewer mandates with their extremely costly administrative staff.


According to the United Teachers of Los Angeles, these bureaucrats consume nearly 60% of the \$5,242 the State pays per student per year before the money ever reaches the classroom.

The voters have a choice: Pass this amendment and empower the parents, the teachers and the schools to educate our children. Or, keep the system the way it is and watch taxes go up as performance goes down and our society, like Cinderella's dress is left in tatters.

Please send your most generous contribution to Ken Saurenman for City Council," c/o Samuel Hale, Treasurer, 9003 Reseda Boulevard, Suite 205, Northridge, CA 91324. For more information, contact Ken Saurenman at (818) 792-0551.

Stephen Guffanti, M.D., is co-author of the parental choice in Education Amendment. If you would like to help, call 1-800-962-ABCD.

OK. WE'VE COVERED BILLS THAT EITHER RAISE TAXES OR REDUCE BENEFITS. THAT EXACTS A SACRIFICE FROM EVERY CLASS OF CITIZEN! SO ON TO THE NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS...



I MOVE THAT, IN LIGHT OF THESE NEW PROPOSALS, THE \$54B A WEEK RAISE WE VOTED FOR OURSELVES LAST MONTH REMAIN...ER...OUR LITTLE SECRET... AYES?



Cartoon by Nancy Upfold - Liberty Bell

gong, and move into the 21st century.

If the State is concerned that the private schools are not doing the job, it can require the schools to test their students for individual student improvement. The test must be designed and scored by a party independent of us and them. There is only us, the citizens of one of the nicest states in the union. The basic of our problem is this: how can we educate two million more students for less money and give them a better education?

Before anyone says it cannot be done, consider the school choice amendment. The amendment would allow parents to choose their child's school, private, public or religious. It starts saving money from day one and when fully implemented would save \$7.7 billion annually . . . five times what Wilson wants to take out of Social Security.

Moreover, the new scholarships will stimulate private school growth, this will alleviate the need for school construction bonds while promoting jobs for builders, teachers and real estate agents. This our economy really needs.

Before anyone says it cannot be done, consider the school choice amendment. The amendment would allow parents to choose their child's school, private, public or religious. It starts saving money from day one and when fully implemented would save \$7.7 billion annually . . . five times what Wilson wants to take out of Social Security.

Share the control with the parent through scholarships and both children and teachers are free from being political pawns. The net result will be smaller, more personal schools which will specialize in meeting the needs of the student. The net result will also be fewer mandates with their extremely costly administrative staff.

According to the United Teachers of Los Angeles, these bureaucrats consume nearly 60% of the \$5,242 the State pays per student per year before the money ever reaches the classroom.

The voters have a choice: Pass this amendment and empower the parents, the teachers and the schools to educate our children. Or, keep the system the way it is and watch taxes go up as performance goes down and our society, like Cinderella's dress is left in tatters.

Please send your most generous contribution to Ken Saurenman for City Council," c/o Samuel Hale, Treasurer, 9003 Reseda Boulevard, Suite 205, Northridge, CA 91324. For more information, contact Ken Saurenman at (818) 792-0551.

Stephen Guffanti, M.D., is co-author of the parental choice in Education Amendment. If you would like to help, call 1-800-962-ABCD.

Be sure to tell your friends about the **liberty Bell**

Telephone your Californian Libertarian Party at 1-800-637-1776

Restore the Constitution

at P.O. Box 41301, Bakersfield, CA, 93384-1301. If it can't wait, our 24-hour FAX line is (805) 327-9055. Inquire for advertising rates at our editor's number at (805) 633-1776!

834-7664 834-SMOG ✓

**SPEEDY SMOG ✓**

15 Minute Smog Inspection and Repair Cars - Trucks - RV's 15 Years Experience

OWNERS: MARK ARMSTRONG LAURA ARMSTRONG

7001 White Lane #114 Bakersfield, CA 93309

FOR THE BEST IN ELECTRICAL **GIFFORD ELECTRIC, INC.**

400 50TH STREET BAKERSFIELD, CA. C10-409456

New Construction Wiring APARTMENT & RESIDENTIAL PHONE (805) 325-2428 RYAN GIFFORD

ERIC GIFFORD

I Broke The Chains Of IRS Abuse

Find Out How to Legally & Permanently Remove Yourself From Paying State and Federal Income Taxes!

Call The Lawful Untax Society (310) 984-8303

WORK (805) 834-4632 FAX (805) 834-3234 LOWEST RATES IN 20 YEARS

UNITED SAVINGS BANK MORTGAGE DIVISION 4201 Adelante Avenue, Suite 2 Bakersfield, CA 93309 VIRGINIA GIFFORD Loan Officer

LAWN SIGNS \$5.95, BUMPER STICKERS \$1.00, BUTTONS \$1.00

(503) 686-9921 3065 Delta Pines Drive Eugene, OR 97401

TONIE NATHAN & ASSOCIATES

ATTEND FREE SEMINAR - BRING FRIENDS - IDEAS - ENTHUSIASM

"Prohibition: The Enemy Within"

LOCATION: Parrish Hall, Our Lady of Victory

606 East Palmer Avenue

Compton, CA

(310) 631-3233

TIME: Saturday, March 20, 1993, 10 a.m. - 2 p.m.

PRESENTED BY: Save Our Liberties

187 Acalanes Drive, #14 Sunnyvale, CA 94086-5520

(415) 964-3655

Join the National Coalition Against Prohibition (NCAP) and become part of the solution.

LOANS ON REAL ESTATE

You're Better Off with a Full Service Mortgage Broker than a Bank.

Why better off with a mortgage broker? Because a competent mortgage broker has access to hundreds of banks and other lenders, and can help you choose the one best for you, whether it is the one with the lowest rate and fees, or the one that best accommodates some special need or problem of yours.

It costs no more to use a mortgage broker! We deal with lenders through wholesale channels. They pay us our fee out of what they save on offices, processors, and loan agents.

Refinance, Purchase, and Equity Loans - Serving all California

Merrill Gibson & Co.

1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 640

Los Angeles CA 90067

(310) 785-9486

Paul on stand-off in Waco, Texas

By Sarah Foster

Editor's Note: Dr. Ron Paul, LP candidate for president in 1988, was a former congressman from Texas, and lives in Fort Jackson, Texas. For insight on the Waco incident we contacted him, and he agreed to a taped interview.

Liberty Bell: What do you think is really going on? Is this group dangerous?

Paul: I think there are serious infractions of the law, but not in the compound. The most serious infractions have been by our government. The most interesting story I heard is that the approach was seldom used. Obviously, then, serious reform must encourage the use of this cost-effective, positive approach to rehabilitation.

To do this, Republican-supported reforms will exempt employers from providing inefficient vocational rehabilitation benefits if the employer provides cost-effective programs.

The use of alternative or modified job duties is a proven winner for workers as well. Employers who completed the costly vocational rehab plans in 1989 suffered a ten percent loss in weekly salary when they returned to work. On the other hand, workers completing modified job and alternative work plans - the kind our proposals will allow and encourage - enjoyed an average \$32-a-week gain in salary.

With workers' compensation insurance costs topping some \$11 billion annually and rising, the enactment of these and similar basic reforms is clearly long overdue.

Billions of dollars in unrealized capital could be made available for the creation of badly needed jobs in California with the passage of meaningful reforms.

I will continue to do all that I can to achieve this fundamental reform in a program that is currently not properly serving those who need help, but is costing California large amounts of dollars that should be going into improved business productivity and the creation of new jobs.

Sophisticated Trusts & Limited Partnerships Existing Trusts Upgraded Second Opinions

JAMES H. FOSBINDER ATTORNEY AT LAW

11500 West Olympic Boulevard #400

Los Angeles, California 90064

(310) 444-3023 (9 - 5)

(310) 841-5640 (24 hrs)

Editor's Tid Bits

When Members of "our" Congress fly aboard luxurious chartered jets to their usually political destinations, federal air traffic controllers alter the flight plans of fully-loaded commercial airliners (causing major delays for ordinary travelers) and make whatever other schedule changes are necessary to expedite the Royal Incumbent's arrival time.

In the USSR or the USA?

...

Drugs have been prohibited from 1914 (heroin and cocaine) and 1937 (marijuana).

Does this prohibition keep illegal substances from being used by those who pay the price? No!

Very few are prevented! There must be a better way for our children, our public health, and our national security!

There is a better way! It is called PEACE! What can you do to help end this civil war of Americans against Americans, our Prohibition?

ATTEND FREE SEMINAR - BRING FRIENDS - IDEAS - ENTHUSIASM

"Prohibition: The Enemy Within"

LOCATION: Parrish Hall, Our Lady of Victory

606 East Palmer Avenue

Compton, CA

(310) 631-3233

TIME: Saturday, March 20, 1993, 10 a.m. - 2 p.m.

PRESENTED BY: Save Our Liberties

187 Acalanes Drive, #14 Sunnyvale, CA 94086-5520

(415) 964-3655

Join the National Coalition Against Prohibition (NCAP) and become part of the solution.

LOANS ON REAL ESTATE

You're Better Off with a Full Service Mortgage Broker than a Bank.

Why better off with a mortgage broker? Because a competent mortgage broker has access to hundreds of banks and other lenders, and can help you choose the one best for you, whether it is the one with the lowest rate and fees, or the one that best accommodates some special need or problem of yours.