

Notes from Imhoff interview by JC Investigative Subcommittee

Robert objects to recording. ID is a 1-party state.

Robert received an email sent to adam.belnap@lpid.org, because the address had been deactivated

Robert claims that Amber C needed to pay party dues in order to be appointed a State Committeewoman

Robert notes that being appointed to an officer position would make her a legitimate member, but says that Bannock County doesn't have record of that.

Robert says that ID statute says that the listed members of the SCC are members, along with whatever is listed in the bylaws. No statute cited.

Jennifer says all statute and bylaws citations are in the response

Robert says that there was not a quorum of the SCC at the meeting, and that the state requires 60 days notice for "any such meeting like this."

Jennifer says that "at this point, [she has] no clue who the central committee is."

Jennifer says that she and Robert came to the conclusion that the 4/2 convention couldn't take effect when they were notified of the minutes of several County Central Committee meetings. Reasoning: the dues-paying members need to be informed that "we're changing the structure of the state central committee as it's defined. We need to give those people an opportunity to participate in it."

Robert claims that Zach Callear pointed out that "only half of the State Central Committee participated in the April 2nd election." That half being BSM's. Robert claims the other half is "those who *may* be elected in the May 17th primaries" and they were excluded.

Robert says state law says "do X, Y, and Z, and whatever your bylaws say." Statute not cited.

Claim that the entire previous Executive Board were the officers of the State Central Committee, and the membership consisted of every Bylaws-Sustaining Member of the LPID.

Robert claims that even though W Scott Howard didn't get the votes to be a Precinct Committeeman, "we can place you as one regardless."

Robert says that the Executive Board members are the "Board members of the State Central Committee."

Robert claims that in order to be an ExBoard member, you need to be a "State Central Committee Member per our bylaws."

Robert: "There was one State Central Committee member who was elected as a precinct committeeman, who was not properly informed of the convention after canvassing" in 2022. (Todd Corsetti)

Robert claims that the first order of business at the convention should have been a suspension of the rules to note that the convention was on the wrong date, and this was fine. Says that because it happened at the end instead, that invalidates the convention.

Jennifer claims that the route they chose doesn't secure them against losing their positions?

Jennifer was aware through a rumor from Ada County elections officer who told her that there were L's running for precinct committeeman.

Robert claims that no Libertarian ballot in any state had PC's named on the ballot. Jennifer says she doesn't think that's correct, Robert keeps talking and repeating the claim, saying everyone was a write-in. "After the election but before the certification of the election," people notified the board of their election, some in error. "the state requires us to ALSO, bold, italic, underline ALSO include in our convention process."

Even though Todd is already an SCC member (under the Imhoff theory of SCC membership), he has a right to a convention after he gets elected as well.

Jennifer claims that, by Amber, Rex, and Merrill participating in the County Central Committee meetings, they disenfranchise all party members who weren't able to participate. Claims that there is an obligation to the members to build out those other counties so they could participate.

Jennifer: "When there is no precinctman, it is the chair of the party, or the chair of the state central committee as defined, to appoint that person. And so while we did begin that process, all of those efforts were done transparently and inclusive of everyone." Robert pastes IC 34-502.

Robert says that it's only the state party chair who could have called the Bannock County CC meeting.

Robert: If a county does not have a precinct committeeman, the state chair can appoint one. Claims Bylaws give him that authority. First citation "section 5 last paragraph". Later cites Article VII, section 3, final paragraph.

Regardless of who's responsible for what, no precinctmen have been seated by anyone on the State Central Committee.

Decline to answer whether they read the attorney letter. Jennifer says "that's really unnecessary," may have been referring to accidental "hearts" react from Mr. Loesby that was unfortunately timed with the question.

Were there any discussions of delaying the convention? Jennifer: "No, we weren't aware of any conflict of interest there"

Robert claims that election law says the convention can't be before canvassing is complete.

Robert says they informed the SOS that an error was occurring, and the SOS said that what the Imhoffs were doing was not criminal (not official legal advice).

They didn't contact an attorney, didn't think there was a need to lawyer up

Discussion of written communications with county elections officials. Imhoffs agree to send over copies of the ones they deem relevant.

Jennifer: because the meeting minutes from the convention were not approved by the ExBoard until the May 24 meeting, it's not negligence to fail to inform the SOS of the change between 4/3 and 5/24, and then the delta between then and informing the SOS that there would be no change was only a week.

Robert: Also it's Dan Karlan's job as Secretary.

Robert: It was only a discussion on the floor of who should replace

Chris Ward, they have a right to ask questions.

Robert: It's not correct that the convention chair instructed the ID delegation to have a meeting. Says she "pleaded" with the ID delegation to have a meeting.

Robert: I didn't feel a meeting was necessary, because it wasn't necessary.

Robert: "I didn't un-acknowledge [Matt as delegation chair]". "I ceased my protest."

Claim they were on the floor, seated with the Alaska delegation.

Jennifer says she didn't cast any votes, Robert gives a vague answer about NOTA and abstaining, and how he exercised those "core libertarian values of abstentions and NO[T]A's". Say that it was on the rest of the delegation to turn around and see them sitting there. Confusion about who had access to party assets. Wrong names read by Timothy.

Why Adam didn't have access to the bank account: because he had been tasked with finding a new bank, and he hadn't come back with a bank he recommended yet at the time of the "rollback"

Robert: Adam, Zach and Chris had emails, but "when the rollback occurred," they didn't need access any more, so it was removed. Confusion about whether or not the entire body was informed of the "rollback" before access was removed.

The notification to A, Z, and C that their emails were deactivated was an automated notification, not a manually written notice.

Repeat claim that SCC consists of all current BSMs and any county officers.

Robert: There's no state law that says that after the election canvassing is complete, only the newly elected people hold office. You have the same committees as you did before.

Robert: as soon as the SCC meeting "suspended the rules to say that this is the current board, they ceased following bylaws" because the full form of a suspension trial was not held.

Jennifer says the only communications they've received from any of these individuals are the document service informing them of the meeting, and the meeting invitation.

Robert: "No one has come to (Jennifer, Robert, Beth, Aaron, Joe)." But people did go to Dan Karlan, who has resigned from the rollback board (Robert claims he resigned from both).

Jennifer: "No one interfered with [the grievance being aired]"

Up unto this point, every BSM was under the impression that they were a member of the SCC.

Jennifer: "I could have sat cush in a spot, but that wasn't proper."

Jennifer: "Libertarians believe in Due Process"

Imhoffs informed the Ada County voter registrar, Ada County GOP, IDGOP, that Rex was attempted to be appointed. That is why the rollback was necessary.

Jennifer asks if there's any effort to seat the JC with "non-biased members."

Robert cites Article IV Section 9 - "You have to ask yourself if that has actually occurred here."