

On Mon, Jan 17, 2022 at 2:24 PM Roger Stemler <rogertstemler@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Roger Stemler** <rogertstemler@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Jan 17, 2022 at 5:20 PM

Subject: Delaware Member Brief

To: mary@ruwart.com <mary@ruwart.com>

LNC-JC-Interested Parties

As I had wasted two hours of my life listening to your pedantic banter on the subject of the LPD's constructive disaffiliation I took the liberty to jot down some actions you can take along with a list of indisputable facts regarding the Libertarian Party.

The LNC and the JC have no standing regarding the Hinds appeal. The remedy they seek is the real disaffiliation of the the Duly Elected Board against the bylaws of the LPD, against the bylaws of the LNC, and against the Region 5 regional agreement. In fact, I believe marrying the two appeals is yet another example of interference into the LPD. As such, the only reasonable way forward is to dismiss the Hinds Appeal. Or at the very least, limit their involvement as it is meant to be a sideshow taking place of the real appeal which McVay filed.

Indisputable Facts of the LP:

1. In no other state have I found a state affiliate which has "register and show up" standards of membership. Most states have seasoning periods, dues, involvement requirements, and other similar barriers to become a voting member. In fact, every caucus in the Party is the same way.

- Delaware prior to the vote to amend the bylaws in November was "register and show up." We received a number of suggestions for tightening membership standards up from the Region 5 Rep Susan Jane Hogarth.

- literally everyone who has asked has been approved by the board for membership(a provision copied from Bylaws provided by Hogarth).

1. The Libertarian Party has had planks regarding Youth Rights(now Parents Rights) in the past. The Suggestion that allowing children and young adults to participate in affiliate meetings is aberrational is itself anti-libertarian.

- Delegates to the National Convention have been as young as ten years old.

- Two state chairs of other affiliates have served as such before turning 18.

- More prudently, the JC has had multiple pre-majority candidates.

1. The LNC bylaws repeatedly affirm the autonomy of state affiliates. However, the past several years the LNC has ignored it's own bylaws in order to meddle in affairs where they have no reasonable involvement. Your ruling here will not stop them from tyrannically meddling with the Massachusetts affiliate, or Virginia, or every other affiliate moving in similar directions.

- The Hinds appeal is a purely internal issue and the LNC has no standing in such.

1. As for allegations of one side or the other being a social club. Only the McVay affiliate recognizes affiliates in all counties of Delaware and only has the McVay affiliate has recognized candidates in the state of Delaware. While the Hinds faction has had a Halloween party and met for beers one other time.

1. The idea that the the LNC/JC/fairy dust can restore the Hinds faction is laughable and is not contention of any party in the appeal. Between their removal and the LNC's tyrannous hearing, the Hinds faction took to creating blatantly fraudulent social media accounts to threaten to murder others, altering minutes of past meetings, and attempted to remove records of the LPD's state convention from YouTube. As such, the Hinds faction have perpetrated offenses warranting disaffiliation.

- 1.

Actions the JC can take:

Dismiss the Hinds appeal, limit the involvement of any party from the Hinds faction, focus on the appeal filed by McVay as that is the appeal which is centered on actions taken by the LNC and is the only appeal where the JC has any standing.

Failing the above I respectfully request time at the next meeting to represent the interests of the members and registered libertarians of the state of Delaware not beholden to William McVay or Billiam Hinds. Given how brazenly the JC has allowed the Hinds faction to dominate the proceedings to date with alternating speakers going far afield of the question, I would request this time be taken directly from their allotted total.