Running for the future

A new generation of young Libertarian candidates seeks office

When Libertarian J.P. Denoyer first ran for public office in Ann Arbor, Michigan two years ago at the tender age of 22, his car got out of hand as he was preparing to start his door-to-door campaign. Undeterred, he put a box of literature on the handlebars of his bicycle and peddled from neighborhood to neighborhood.

That worked well, he said, until halfway through canvassing one particular district, "I realized my bicycle had been stolen." But even that didn't stop him. Denoyer soldiered on, carrying his box of literature from house to house. Then it started raining. Finally giving up, Denoyer started sprinting back home — and, halfway there, "while running with a box in the pouring rain, I tripped and fell," he said.

Sprawled on the ground, Denoyer rose, dusted himself off, and continued with his campaign. He scraped together enough votes to win a seat on the county board of commissioners. Since then, he's been working to bring Libertarians into the political mainstream.

Denoyer is one of many young Libertarians who are seeking office in the upcoming elections. Many of them have never run for office before, but they are determined to make a difference.

"I was born into a Libertarian household," said Denoyer. "I grew up with the understanding that government is a necessary evil. But I also know that individuals have the power to change things. I want to be a part of that change."
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sinking wet, his bicycle stolen, another libertarian might have decided that political elections wasn't for him.

Not Denoyer. Now 24, he has bounced back from that; he now says was a "humorous experience" — and is running again for Ann Arbor City Council (Ward 3).

"Being young gives me more energy," he says.

That youthful libertarian energy is something that more voters around the USA will see this year.

Although the party does not track candidates by age, there seems to be "at least two dozen and perhaps as many as four dozen" young libertarians — aged 18-25 — seeking public office in 2000, said Ben "The Rectangular Ron" Crickenberger.

"More young libertarian candidates seem to be running for more offices and in more places than ever before," he said. "That's good news for the future of the party."

Future is now

But for Denoyer, the future of the Libertarian Party is now. He became a Libertarian, he said, after reading Harry Brown's Why Government Doesn't Work in 1996. He started attending Libertarian meetings every week, and ran for office in 1998.

And despite the stolen bicycle incident during that first campaign, "I knew I was going to run again," he said.

Now, when not taking computer programming classes at Washtenaw Community College, Denoyer is the chair of the local Libertarians For Medical Marijuana organization, and spearheading the efforts for the successful medical marijuana initiative on the November ballot.

That's why "medical marijuana issues are big issue" in his campaign for City Council, he said — an issue that should play well in a college town with a young population and improve the 10% of the vote he got last time.

Youth vote

Other young libertarian candidates, however, aren't counting on the youth vote.

Cameron DeJong, running for one of four open seats on the Seaford County Board of Commissioners in North Carolina, said he gets his warmest reception from voters three times his age.

"My biggest supporting demographic comes from the senior citizen group," he said. "The majority of senior citizens that I speak to say that they feel Beaufort County needs a young person who is ready to be elected in their lifetime."

Young? DeJong certainly qualifies. At 20, "I am the youngest person to seek public office in North Carolina history," according to many, he said.

J.P. Denoyer: In his bid for city council, "Being young gives me more energy."

DeJong got bit by the political bug early — and has been "unusual example" — and is running again for Ann Arbor City Council (Ward 3).

"Being young gives me more energy," he says.

That youthful libertarian energy is something that more voters around the USA will see this year.
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New survey: 16% of voters are libertarian

Poll finds more libertarians than liberals; 36% want to replace taxes with user fees

For the first time ever, a polling company has done a national survey using the “World’s Smallest Political Quiz” — and discovered that a surprisingly high percentage of Americans hold strongly libertarian viewpoints.

According to a poll by Rasmussen Research, 16% of Americans — about one of every six voters — strongly agree with the libertarian position on issues, compared to only 13% who hold a staunchly liberal viewpoint and 7% who consistently support conservative positions.

Another 32% of Americans are centrist, 14% are authoritarian, and 17% fall on the borders of the categories, according to a nationwide poll of 2,224 likely voters unveiled on September 7 at the www.PortraitOfAmerica.com website. The study is entitled “Libertarian Litmus Test.”

The Rasmussen survey is the first wide-scale, scientifically accurate survey of the American public to use the World’s Smallest Political Quiz (WSPQ), a 10-question quiz that measures political beliefs on a four-way axis: conservative/liberal/libertarian/authoritarian — rather than the traditional two-way conservative/liberal line.

“Interestingly, this survey reveals that there are actually more libertarians than either conservatives, liberals, or authoritarians,” said Steve Dabach, national director of the Libertarian Party. “This suggests that the potential level of support for the Libertarian Party is far greater than we might have expected.

The study is also evidence that the traditional Left/Right political spectrum has become obsolete in the 21st century, and that libertarians are the great stealth factor in American politics — invisible to the radar of politicians and pundits who are used to thinking in terms of that old-fashioned map.”

Redefine the map

Sharon Harris, the president of the Advocates for Self-Government — the non-partisan organization that developed and publicized the World’s Smallest Political Quiz — agreed that the survey could help redefine the political map.

“After a distinguished firm like Rasmussen Research take the political quiz becomes a major step forward for the Advocates’ long-term goal of replacing the old Left vs. Right view of American politics with a more accurate political map,” she said.

In response to individual questions asked by Rasmussen, surprisingly large numbers of Americans supported supposedly “radical” Libertarian positions on issues, noted Dabach. For example:

• 26% agreed that drug laws did more harm than good and should be repealed.

• 42% agreed that all foreign aid should be privately funded.

• 30% agreed that all foreign aid should be privately funded.

• 25% agreed that businesses and farms should operate without government subsidies.

• 36% agreed that “we should end taxes” and Americans should pay for government services with user fees.

VP candidate Art Olivier stumps around country

Ex-mayor visits Massachusetts, Colorado, Indiana

The Art Olivier for Vice Presidential campaign had an active month, visiting states around the USA and generating media coverage in support of the Libertarian Party’s presidential ticket. Since early August, Olivier, 42, the former mayor of Bellflower, California, has made campaign stops in Indiana, Colorado, Massachusetts, and California to promote running mate Harry Brown’s “Great Libertarian Option” at press conferences, free rights events, airport rallies, and barbecues.

“I’m just doing what national candidates used to do — going local and meeting the voters,” said Olivier.

Highlights of Olivier’s recent campaign schedule included:

• September 17-15: A swing through Rhode Island and Massachusetts, including an appearance at the MassCANN Freedom Rally in Boston and a visit to the Autumn Air Music Festival.

• September 7-10: Campaigning in Colorado, a state with the “western ideal of being free” that old cowboy spirit,” said Olivier.

• His visit to Colorado got off to an energetic start, said Olivier, when he was met by a crowd of Libertarians at the airport. “A great party fit for a sitting Vice President awaited my arrival at the Denver Airport,” he said. “The large group of supporters had signs, flags, and balloons — and there was even the cutest little baby girl for a photo op.”

Gun conference

Over the next three days, Olivier made a speech at the Armed Gun Rights Gun Control Conference in Grand Junction, attended a barbecue fundraiser sponsored by the Roaring Fork Libertarians in Carbondale, appeared at the Crossroads Gun

California Libertarians protest I-15 checkpoint

Less than a week after Libertarians staged a “rolling protest” through a U.S. Border patrol checkpoint in Temecula, California, the State Assembly passed a resolution calling for its closure.

On August 22, California legislators — citing complaints about racial profiling, traffic backups, and the infringement of individual rights — voted 71-2 to pass HR 70, which called on the federal government to close checkpoints on the I-15 and I-5 highways.

The action came just six days after about 50 Libertarians and supporters, including LP vice presidential candidate Art Olivier and Congressional candidate (34th District) Bill Reed, drove a 22-vehicle caravan through the checkpoint, which is located miles away from the Mexican border. The checkpoints are “outrageous, intrusive, ineffective, and costly,” said Reed.

Libertarians participating in the protest waved American flags, honked, and displayed signs that read “Checkpoints Are Unconstitutional,” “Temecula is Not the U.S. Mexican Border,” and “Internal Checkpoints = State Police.”

Riot gear

During the protest, more than 50 police officers — some dressed in riot gear — watched the Libertarians as they peacefully drove through the checkpoint.
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The “Hate Ralph Nader” website

Want to get the dirt on the man who has been crowned by the media as “the” third-party presidential contender this year? If so, visit NaderSucks.org, a website that was “created to vent the frustration about Green Party presidential candidate Ralph Nader,” said Eric C. Johnson of Free-Market Net.

“This is your Hate-Ralph-Nader gateway page,” he said. “You will find plenty of bad things about the would-be saint.”

Coon loses Michigan ballot case

Michigan Libertarian Jon Coon has lost a lawsuit to get back on the ballot as a candidate for State Board of Education. On August 23, an Ingham County Circuit Court judge ruled that the Bureau of Elections could remove Coon from the ballot because he refused to comply with a new state campaign disclosure law.

Nominated along with 110 other LP candidate in May, Coon was knocked off the ballot five website to both praise and condemn the Libertarian Party. Rep. Richard Baker (R-LA) added a page to his official Congressional website (richardbaker.com) after he was lambasted on talk radio by Libertarians for his support of HR 3886, the International Counter-Money Laundering and Foreign Anti-corruption Act of 2000, which was dubbed by the LP as “Global KYC.”

“Congressman Baker is feeling the heat,” said LP Press Secretary George Getz. “His constituents apparently don’t like how he’s letting banks to spy on their customers, and so he’s trying to divert attention by attacking the Libertarian Party.”

On his website, Baker said he “shares many beliefs held by the Libertarian Party,” but criticized the LP for its efforts to end “victimless crimes.”

On the site, Baker also denied that HR 3886 would require American banks to spy on their customers — even though one section of the bill gives Treasury bureaucrats the specific power to impose new Customer-style regulations on U.S. banks at will, said Getz.

Baker had been an original supporter of the KYC regulation in 1999. After being criticized by local talk radio hosts and Libertarians, he changed his position, and voted against the measure.

Number of Libertarians in office passes 300 mark for first time

The number of Libertarians serving in public office around the USA has passed the 300 mark for the first time in party history, LP Political Director Ron Crickenberger has announced.

As of mid-September, the party had 313 members holding office at the state, county, or local level — an increase of almost two dozen officeholders over the past few months.

The surge in officeholders came from several special elections, from a spate of local appointments, and from officeholders discovered by the California LP’s “Operation Breakthrough,” said Crickenberger.

Hard work

“This new record is a tribute to the hard work of Libertarians around the USA,” said Crickenberger. “Libertarians are building stronger local parties; they are running better, more professional campaigns; and they are getting more active in local politics.

“You add all these factors together and you have a sure-fire recipe for success in Libertarian officeholders.”

The number of LP members in office represents a 312% increase since mid-1993, when only 76 Libertarians were serving in elected or appointed office.

MIKE RSTER:
“This is how we're going to make a difference...by busting our butts.”

Califorina's Operation Breakthrough puts 295 candidates on state ballot

The California LP has concluded the largest candidate recruitment effort in Libertarian Party history — signing up almost 300 candidates for this November’s ballot.

“We have achieved a new milestone in LP history!” said state Executive Director Juan Ros, who supervised the project. “I can’t begin to express how excited I am to be running so many Libertarian candidates for so many offices.

The candidate Recruitment project — dubbed “Operation Breakthrough” — convinced 182 Libertarians to run for non-parti- san office this November.

Added to the 113 candidates already committed to running for partisan office, a total of 295 LP candidates will be on the ballot this fall in California.

Most ever

“That’s the most for any Libertarian state party ever” — and almost 50% over the LPC’s stated goal of 200 candidates for the year,” said Ros.

By comparison, the Green and Reform parties are running only nine and 12 partisan candidates in California this year, respectively.

“This is yet another sign that the Libertarian Party is the strongest third party in California,” said State Chair Mark Hinkle.

In Operation Breakthrough, the California LP matched a list of every non-partisan office up for grabs in the state against a database of the state’s 87,000 registered Libertarians. LP activists contacted the registered voters, asked them to run for office.

By reaching out to registered Libertarians, we have energized and grown the party and have established a farm team of Libertarians who want to make a positive difference in their communities,” said Ros. “Operation Breakthrough succeeded beyond expectations. Clearly, this is something we as a party needed to do.”

Guaranteed wins

As the list of candidates grew, another benefit of Operation Breakthrough emerged, he said: Guaranteed wins in November.

At least six Libertarian candidates have already won their elections by default due to not enough candidates contesting the elections — resulting in six new Libertarian officeholders in November,” said Ros. Another seven LP candidates will be in two-way races.

The party also discovered a half-dozen current officeholders among the registered Libertarian.
Help Spread the Word about Harry Browne

Harry Browne is being heard!

The word is out! Harry Browne is getting favorable attention from areas of the media that previously ignored the Libertarian party and Libertarian candidates. He’s been included in the three major, non-partisan polls, Gallup, Zogby and Rasmussen. A major first for the Libertarian Party. He’s also been the subject of an Associated Press story distributed to nearly 100 newspapers nationwide. Additionally, he’s the only Libertarian qualified to participate in the League of Women Voters online Democracy Project, CBS’s online President Match, and AOL’s presidency project.

Harry Browne is primed and running hard for 2000. With your help even more Americans will hear of Harry Browne and his persuasive presentation of Libertarian solutions to the problems we face.

This is the year we can make great gains in visibility and new members. Help promote Harry, the LP and Libertarian principles – all at the same time. The Harry Browne Store has numerous outreach tools to assist you. We have products to fit every budget – from the buck in your pocket to discounted multi-packs your organization can buy and sell at a profit. If you can afford to give them away, that’s great too!

Your satisfaction is guaranteed! If you are dissatisfied with any products you order from us, simply return them with the invoice within 30 days of purchase. We’ll promptly issue you a refund.

Order Form

Harry’s New Book
The Great Libertarian Offer
1 Book ($11.95 + $3.50 s/h) $15.45
3 Pack Books $32.20
5 Pack books $50.50
10 Pack Books $101

Harry Browne for President Video
1 Video $13.20
10 Pack videos $72.20

Harry Browne Tri-Fold Brochure
Sample 50
10 Pack $5.00
50 Pack $25
100 Pack $55

Harry Browne Button
1 Button $1.00
10 Pack buttons .75 each
50 Pack buttons $25
100 Pack buttons $40

Harry Browne Auto Sticker
1 Auto sticker $1.00
10 Pack auto stickers $7.50
50 Pack auto stickers $25
100 Pack auto stickers $45

Double-Sided Yard Sign with Wire Frame 16” x 26”
1 Yard sign $10
4 Pack yard signs $20
10 Pack yard signs $50
100 Pack yard signs $450

Corrugated Plastic Yard Sign with Frame 16” x 24”
20 Pack $22.50
50 Pack $45
100 Pack $95

Harry Browne T-Shirt
Retail each 2XL or 3XL Size Shirt.
1 Shirt $16.95
5 Pack $80
10 Pack $140

Harry Browne T-Shirt
Retail each 2XL or 3XL Size Shirt.
1 Shirt $17.95
5 Pack $85
10 Pack $140

Harry Browne for President Caps
1 Cap $1.95
5 Pack $9.00
10 Pack $15

Harry Browne for President Pins
1 Pin $1.00
5 Pack $4.00
10 Pack $6.50

Harry Browne for President Sticker
1 Sticker 2" x 3" $1.00
5 Pack $4.50
10 Pack $7.50

Harry Browne for President Sticker
1 Sticker 2" x 3" $1.00
5 Pack $4.50
10 Pack $7.50

Total Due

Prices subject to change without notice
The coming ‘War on Chocolate,’ and federal mumbling protection

**Wheelchair strippers?**

[Americans With Disabilities Act] access police are trolling for violators across the land.

The city of Bellevue, Washington threatened to fine a strip club $4,500 because it did not have a wheelchair lift attached to the stage. An exasperated representative of the company that hires the strippers said of the government policy: “If you can’t dance, why should you even be onstage?”

After the Justice Department intervened, a bath in Illinois changed its policy of refusing to sell drinks to customers who appear to be drunk based on the way they walk. The reason? A customer with Parkinson’s disease had been refused service.

A publisher terminated a reporter who was allegedly mumbled on the telephone during a telemarketing training course. Johnson denied that he mumbled and sued the publisher, claiming that the company was biased against him because he was missing 18 teeth.

A federal appeals court upheld his suit, noting “unlike Johnson, the Americans With Disabilities Act has teeth.”

**A STRIP CLUB was threatened because it did not have a wheelchair lift for the stage.**

A federal appeals court upheld his suit, noting “unlike Johnson, the Americans With Disabilities Act has teeth.”

**— PLAYBOY, October 2000**

**A death-grip on taxes**

On August 31, President Clinton vetoed the repeal of the death tax. He said that unless the federal government continued to confiscate people’s property at death, the government would have “no money to invest in our common future.”

**PAUL CHARLES ROBERTS**

*The Washington Times*

September 8, 2000

**Teach ‘em young**

According to London’s Daily Telegraph, children as young as four in New Zealand are being required to apply for “licenses” for toy guns. The scheme was launched at Tahunui kindergarten in Nelson, South Island, and is spreading rapidly. Children must answer questions and learn rules before they can play gun games. The licenses must then be carried.

“The have to tell us the rules of guns and the first rule is that you never point a gun at anybody,” said Helen Darbridge, the head teacher.

Applicants for a license must say why they want one. Those who say they want to shoot endangered animals are told why this must not be done. Youngsters who want to play cops and robbers are told that New Zealand police are usually unarmed, so shooting is forbidden. But children who want to put down a seriously injured pretense horse, or hunt possums — seen as a pest — may be granted a license.

**— CATO DAILY DISPATCH**

September 6, 2000

**Quixotic reform**

Shouldn’t something be done to arrest the avalanche of money pouring into campaign laws?

Well, something has already been done. Today’s system of elaborate campaign finance laws was created in 1974. The rapid increase in political spending began after that year, in 1976.

With the one important exception of disclosure, the 1970s system failed comprehensively. And it failed because it was built on a false premise, namely that sufficiently elaborate legalistic structures can create private money from the public square.

As with most quixotic efforts, many of the results have been perverse. Stringent limits on contributions to candidates have driven the big money deeper into the shadows, and thus further from political accountability, while forcing politicians to beg full-time for small donations. The system’s Levitical rules haffle even lawyers, while engendering endless legalistic pseudo-scandals.

Instead of bringing little people into the process, the 70s regime favors officeholders with fundraising machines (or plutocrats who finance their own campaigns).

— JONATHAN RAUCH

*The Washington Post*

August 27, 2000

**It’s satire (really)**

In one of the largest product-labeled rulings in U.S. history, the Hershey Foods Corporation was ordered by a Pennsylvania jury Monday to pay $135 billion in restitution to 900,000 obese Americans who for years consumed the company’s fattening snack foods.

“Let this verdict send a clear message to Big Chocolate,” said Pennsylvania Attorney General Andrew Garsten, addressing reporters following the historic ruling. “If you knowingly sell prod¬ucts that cause obesity, you will pay.”

The five-state class-action suit accused Hershey’s of “knowingly and willfully marketing, farty candy bars containing chocolate and other ingredients of negligible nutritional value.” The company was also charged with publishing nutritional information only under pressure from the government, marketing products to children, and artificially “spiking” their products with such substances as peanuts, crispy rice and caramel to increase consumer appeal.

Injuries took less than five hours to reach the decision following a two-year trial covering nearly one million snackers in Pennsylvania, Florida, New Hampshire, Arizona, and Texas.

A majority of the unprecedented punitive damages will go toward being paid to the hard-driven intermediate families. The remainder will be funneled into weight-loss and youth-nudging prevention programs.

“This is a vindication for myself and all chocolate victims,” said Beaumont, TX, resident Earl Harris, whose wife Emily, who in 1998 succumbed to obesity after nearly 40 years of chocolateholism. “I take some comfort in knowing that her tragic, unnecessary death did not go unpunished.”

With litigation pending against the nation’s top five chocolate makers, including a $102 billion Mississippi suit against Nestle, the entire industry is on alert. Big Chocolate has already suffered numerous major setbacks in recent years. In 1997, a California judge ordered chocolate manufacturers to fund $27 billion in education programs to prevent youth chocolate consumption.

In 1999, a federal judge prohibited chocolate advertising on TV and billboards and banned the use of cartoon imagery in advertising. In addition, the judge ruled that a warning label must be placed on all chocolate products reading, “The Surgeon General Has Determined That Eating Chocolate May Lead To Being Really Fat.”

Lawyers for the Hershey Corporation said the company intends to appeal the decision, which could drive the price of a 1.4-ounce package of Rolos as high as $1.29.

— THE ONION, August 2, 2000

**Spending frenzy**

Candidates on the Congressional campaign trail are busy pointing out each other’s differences, but adding to the problem itself, a growing consensus to spend budget surpluses on new federal programs, according to the latest BallTelly study released today by the non-partisan National Taxpayers Union.

For the first time since the 102nd Congress (1991), both major parties in both chambers have averaged agendas that would raise spending to the highest ratio of spending hikes to spending cuts ever recorded.

The ratio of spending increases to spending cuts introduced in the House is almost twice as high in the 106th Congress (3.3:1) as when NTUP began tracking legislation in the 102nd Congress (4.42:1 to one). If all the bills introduced in the House were enacted into law at once, yearly spending would increase by $973 billion — 11 times the on-budget surplus for the year.

— NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION FOUNDATION

August 30, 2000

**Das bootstrap**

It is considered the height of callousness to tell blacks to lift themselves up by their own bootstraps. But the cold historical fact is that most blacks did lift themselves up by their own bootstraps — before the political and economic refugees arrived on the scene with civil rights legislation in the 1960s.

As of 1940, 87% of black families lived below the official poverty level. That fell to 7% by 1960, without any federal legislation on civil rights and before the rise and expansion of the welfare system. The Great Society programs of President Lyndon B. Johnson.

Decline in the poverty rate among blacks continued during the 1960s, dropping from 47% to 30%. But even this continuation has ended, as the poverty rate among blacks has begun to rise, before can’t be all attributed automatically to new government programs. Moreover, the poor in 1960 had significantly more active negative incentives — the 1970s — ended with the poverty rate among black families at 29%. Even if that one percent decrease in poverty was due to affirmative action programs, it was not much.

The fact that an entirely different picture has been cultivated and strengthened by the media cannot change the historical facts. What it can do — and has done — is make blacks look like passive recipients of government benefit, causing many whites to wonder why blacks can’t advance on their own, like other groups.

Worse, it has convinced many blacks themselves that their economic progress depends on government programs in general and affirmative action in particular.

— THOMAS SOWELL

*www.townhall.com*

August 15, 2000

**The government’s job?**

When asked whether rules about taxes, income and [privacy issues] are needed, 81% of Internet users said yes. When has anything that entity would do the best job setting the rules, 50% of Internet users said Website visitors.

The next highest figure was 24% of Web users, who said the federal government would best set tracking rules.

— MARKETING NEWS

August 28, 2000
We're advertising!
Phoning these media outlets and peppering them with compelling reasons to cover our U.S. Senate campaign against Ted Kennedy.
6:12 campaign volunteers working to get our message out. Over 7,329 people subscribed to our campaign email newsletter, Small Government News*. Far more than subscribe to Senator Kennedy's newsletter.
14,000 Carla Howell Libertarian for U.S. Senate "small government is beautiful" Yard Signs. More than Senator Kennedy.
$2,500 campaign Bumper Stickers.
72,641 campaign fliers.
The Boston Globe: "While Kennedy may be heavily favored, the race won't be comfortable, or conventional."

Radio Ads
Labor Day week: 110 Radio Ads. WRZ, WRKO.
WTKR, WHYN, WBNN, WTAG Drive-Time.
The Boston Globe called our ads "tough," accused us of things that weren't in the ads, and made it look like Senator Kennedy was headed for a Coronation, not an Election.
The Boston Herald said, "Less than two months from election day, Kennedy has become Massachusetts' missing man — so Carla Howell has the ad air to herself now."
Hard-fighting News Releases stirred up a honest's nest. How dare we hold Senator Kennedy accountable for the Boston Big Dig highway project that he sponsored, lobbied for, and delivered $10 + Billion in Federal money for?

Movie Screen Ads
September 8th, we began running ads on 151 movie screens. A Still Frame Billboard. Four times before each movie. The ad shows Carla Howell's photo on the right, and on the left the following text:
small government is beautiful**
carla howell U.S. Senate
Tired of High Taxes? Fed up with Big Government? carla howell votes small government
www.carlahowell.org
15,100 people see this Still Frame Billboard each day.

U.S. Senate Debate
September 11th, Carla Howell wrote an open letter to Senator Ted Kennedy. A challenge to debate the issues.
We sent it to Senator Kennedy. And 326 media outlets. TV Newsrooms. Newspapers. Radio Stations.

We emailed it to over 7,329 subscribers to Small Government News**.
We asked our email recipients to forward our debate challenge to their family and friends.

More Radio Ads
Another 120 Radio Ads. "small government VS. Big Government. " Big Government Doesn't Work." "small government is beautiful**".

An Audience of 40,000
September 16th, Carla spoke to a crowd of 40,000 at MassCann/NORML's Freedom Rally on Boston Common. They were excited by Carla Howell's call to immediately end Drug Prohibition and the War on Drugs. Repeated cheers.

We distributed over 40,000 fliers comparing the War on Drugs stands of Carla Howell and Ted Kennedy.

Even More Radio Ads
Anti-Gun media tried to bushwhack us. We cleared leather with the Truth.
We made them feel like Rosie O'Donnell at an NRA meeting. Maybe they're gun shy.

The Results
Early September, our website traffic tripled. 300% 50,842 hits. In 10 Days. Visitors spent 6 times longer than a typical web surfer.
Our Radio Ads give out the IP 1-800 number. Massachusetts callers increased 600%.
Small Government News** subscriptions up 32%!
171 New Volunteers.
We're leading Ted Kennedy in a Democrat online poll.
Dick Morris, the Democrat political consultant who got President Clinton re-elected in 1996, owns an online polling website. www.vote.com.
1st Place: Carla Howell, Libertarian for U.S. Senate. All because of our campaign advertising.

TV Ads
We need $300,000 for 863 TV Ads.
To move us up in Polls. To make Ted Kennedy Debate Carla Howell.
With $300,000 in TV Ads, we believe Senator Kennedy will debate Carla Howell. Without $300,000 in TV Ads, he can exclude and ignore our campaign.
You can still donate up to $2,000 to our Libertarian campaign, $1,000 for the primary, $1,000 for the general election. And so can your spouse,
$2,000 funds 16 TV Ads — 64,000 voters.
$1,000 funds 8 TV Ads — 32,000 voters.
$500 now. $250 today. $150. Or $85.
Make Ted Kennedy Debate Carla Howell.

small government is beautiful**
carla howell
Make Ted Kennedy Debate Carla Howell
Here's my best contribution to make this happen:

One-time Contribution: 2 $2,000 $1,000 $500
$250 $150 $50 $25 $10
I'll pay by: Visa MasterCard American Express Discover
Bill me Yes No

You can donate $2,000 — $1,000 for the primary, $1,000 for the general election.
Note: Federal law requires political committees to solicit the name, mailing address and occupation and income of contributors whose contributions aggregate in excess of $200 in a calendar year. Political contributors must not be deductable.
MAIL TO: Carla Howell for U.S. Senate • 6 Goodwin Lane • Wayland, MA 01778 • E-mail: info@carlahowell.org • www.carlahowell.org
Joining the boycott against beer & catching a foul by the Red Sox

CALIFORNIA

Medical marijuana ruling will be "death sentence"

A Supreme Court decision that bars the distribution of medical marijuana to sick people in California is "a death sentence for those patients," the state LP has charged.

"How many more have to die before the government opens its eyes to the suffering it is needlessly causing?" asked LP state Executive Director Juan Ros.

On August 29, the court voted 7-1 to grant an emergency Clinton administration request and effectively prohibit the Oakland Cannabis Buyers Cooperative from distributing medical marijuana to patients.

Medical marijuana is legal in California, thanks to Proposition 215, the landmark ballot initiative approved by voters in 1996. The court's decision demonstrated "a lack of compassion and a lack of knowledge of the Ninth and Tenth Amendments to the Constitution," said Ros - and shows that the War on Drugs "has evolved into a War on the Sick [and] a War on the Dying."

FLORIDA

Reform Party Co. chair joins Libertarian Party

The chairman of a Reform Party county organization has joined the Libertarian Party.

James Coakley, chair of the Seminole County Reform Party, changed his registration to Libertarian in late spring, saying his previous party had become "dysfunctional."

"It’s just made sense to join the Libertarian Party," said Coakley. "I’m no longer confident in the direction the Reform Party is going. I've worked together with the Libertarian Party in the past, and their focus seems to be in the same direction I’m working toward."

Other Reformers may follow his lead, said Coakley. "All the grassroots members are leaving the Reform Party and either joining the Libertarian Party or forming a new party."

Coakley was welcomed into the Libertarian Party by Charles Champion, vice chair of the Seminole County LP.

INDIANA

County LP votes to join Anheuser Busch boycott

The Marion County LP has voted to boycott Aheuser Busch Companies, Inc. in an effort to confront the brewing giant to end its support of non-inclusive presidential debates.

At its August meeting in Indianapolis, the committee unanimously to boycott all Anheuser Busch products until the company agrees to pressure the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD) to include legitimate third-party candidates.

"Watching a two-party presidential debate is like walking down a beer aisle and finding only Miller and Coors beer," said co-chairman Kurt St. Angelo.

"That's the effect on the election process of Anheuser Busch's sponsorship of incomplete, second-rate debates with only two presidential candidates."

Anheuser Busch is the main financial supporter of the Commission on Presidential Debates, a bipartisan group that said it will exclude all third-party candidates polling less than 15%.

"Worst of all, Anheuser Busch probably gets a tax deduction for sponsoring the CPD's purely political decisions, which means the taxpayers are paying for this rotten system," said St. Angelo.

MAINE

Wanna bet that Bush will make government bigger?

"I'm the chair of the state LP, which has a slanted $1.00 bet that the federal government will become larger and more expensive if George W. Bush gets elected president - and, so far, not one Republican is willing to bet against him.

"The silence is deafening," said LP State Chair Mark Cenci.

"Are you surprised that no one will take me up on this? I'm not."

In July, Cenci posted his bet on the public discussion forums of the website for the site "Maine Goes," a popular statewide political publication.

Cenci said he would make an open wager of $1.00 that if Bush is elected the federal budget would be larger and more federal regulations would be in place at the end of Bush's first term. With Bush seen as one Republican who had taken the bet, he said,

"I won't be smashing my piggy bank to pay a Republican anything anytime," said Cenci. "These Republicans know their party leadership is not interested in a small federal government."

MASSACHUSETTS

Land grab for Red Sox is political 'foul,' says LP

State Libertarians have spoken out against an attempt by state politicians to seize land by eminent domain in order to use it for a professional baseball stadium - calling the plan a "foul."

"Property rightfully belonging to residents and business owners will be taken by the process of eminent domain and handed over on a silver platter to a baseball team," said Heather Ashcroft, LP candidate for State Representative.
Michigan

Event teaches candidates (and signs up pizza boy)

A campaign workshop for LP candidates didn’t just teach Libertarians how to get elected — it also convinced a pizza boy to join the party.

The event, held on September 9 in Hazel Park, attracted 22 Libertarians and was the third candidate workshop sponsored by the LP, this year, said State Executive Director Tim O’Brien.

The seminar was conducted by campaign manager Barbara Goushaw and former N.H. state legislator and former presidential candidate Don Gorman.

But “the most interesting” part of the day was when the Libertarians took their lunch break, said O’Brien.

“The pizza guy delivered our pizzas,” he recounted. “When he realized he was in the Libertarian Party headquarters, he said that he has been voting Libertarian for a long time. We signed him up as a new member on the spot.”

Michigan

Senate candidate mulls lawsuit against NEA

A Libertarian candidate is threatening to file a lawsuit against the National Education Association after the teacher’s union ran TV ads he said were “fraudulent,” as he is known to do.

On August 30, U.S. Senate candidate Michael Corliss said he may take the NEA to court because the recent dues hike is unconstitutional.

“We are investigating the possibility of a lawsuit,” said Corliss, who is a teacher at a Livonia public school and NEA member.

“The NEA claims the increase is earmarked to fight ‘harmful’ ballot proposals, he said. “It appears the plan is to oppose voucher proposals. But the Supreme Court has ruled that a union may not use dues for political action without the approval of individual members.”

“The NEA needs to abide by the Constitution, the same document its members teach to the students of this country.”

Michigan

Ann Arbor Libertarians file marijuana initiative

Ann Arbor Libertarians have turned in more than enough signatures to put a medical marijuana initiative on the city ballot.

On August 17, members of the Ann Arbor LP submitted 9,790 petition signatures — 1,500 more than required — to place the ballot initiative on the ballot.

This is the second time the LP has placed an initiative on the ballot.

“City voters pass the initiative in November, Ann Arbor would become the second city in Michigan to legalize medical marijuana,” said the LP.

Officials from the National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana Laws (NORML) said there was a good chance the initiative would pass in “marijuana-friendly” Ann Arbor.

If the initiative goes to the voters, there’s every reason to believe it would pass,” said Scott Colvin, NORML publications director.

Minnesota

State Fair penny poll: 57% say re-legalize marijuana

A majority of state residents think marijuana should be legal, according to a “penny poll” conducted by Libertarians at a recent State Fair.

Fifty 57% of the people who participated in the unscientific poll agreed that marijuana should be “re-legalized,” said State Chair Charles Test.

“Libertarians asks: Where in the world is David Wu?

Beth King, Libertarian candidate for U.S. House (District 1) in Oregon wants to know: “David Wu, where are you?”

King held a joint press conference with her Republican opponent, state senator Charles Starr (left), at the Governor Hotel in Portland in late August to challenge incumbent Democrat David Wu to a series of debates.

“Wu, who has only agreed to debate twice in venues where King has not been invited, was challenged to live up to the claim that his is the party of inclusion,” said Ken Montone, Oregon LP Communications Director.

The press conference attracted radio and television coverage.

South Dakota

LP candidate wins suit to get his name on ballot

A Libertarian congressional candidate has won a court battle to get his name on the November ballot.

On August 8, a U.S. District judge ruled the Libertarian Party was an “established political party” so LP candidate Brian Lerohl should be listed on the general election ballot.

The decision means the Libertarian candidate “can get out there” and campaign now, said Lerohl’s lawyer, Kent Hyde.

The legal battle revolved around whether the LP was a new political party in the state (and thus required to file 250 signatures) or an existing party (which required only 44 signatures).

Lerohl filed 109 signatures, which the judge ruled was sufficient because the state LP had run a gubernatorial candidate in 1996 — giving Libertarians a “voting history” and existing party status.
Debates cyberpetition offered

Almost 10,000 people have signed a cyberpetition to open the presidential debates to alternative party candidates.

The petition — found at www.i-charity.net/bin/pim39 — is sponsored by the Open Debates of America group. It calls on the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD) to open the debates to all candidates with a mathematical chance of winning an Electoral College majority.

“The Debates Commission should offer voters a broad spectrum of legitimate candidates,” said Brian Costin, who originated the petition.

If every presidential candidate on enough state ballots to win an Electoral College majority were invited, it would result in just six candidates on stage — hardly enough to confuse voters.

As of September 15, 9,937 people have signed the petition.

“I ask all members of the Constitution, Democratic, Green, Libertarian, Natural Law, Reform, and Republican parties, and independent voters, to support the opening of the presidential debates,” said Collar. “Help all voters form a complete idea of the political spectrum of choices in the United States of America.”

Currently, the CPD requires a candidate to poll 15% or above to be invited to the debates — a standard that would exclude every presidential contender except Al Gore and George W. Bush.

Thus unreasonably high threshold will most likely lock every third party candidate out of the televised debates, which are a crucial source of information for tens of millions of American voters,” said Costin.

Tobin wins petition case in Illinois

Jim Tobin, the 1998 Libertarian Party candidate for governor in Illinois, has won a lawsuit against the Illinois State Board of Elections that will make it easier for alternative party candidates to petition to get on the ballot.

On July 27, the Federal District Court in Chicago struck down as unconstitutional a state law requiring that petition circulators be registered voters in Illinois. The court cited a recent United States Supreme Court decision, Buckley v. AFL-CIO, that overturned a similar Colorado law.

“This is a great victory for taxpayers who challenge the Tweedle dum and Tweedle dum Republicans and Democrats at the polls,” said Tobin.

Tobin’s attorney, Steve Merican, said, “This ruling supports the constitutional rights of all Illinois citizens to express political messages and ideas by passing candidate and initiative petitions.”

The case was one of six complaints Tobin had filed against the Illinois State Board of Elections after he was kicked off the ballot in 1996. The Board of Elections had rejected 36,000 petitioners filed by Tobin and six other statewide Libertarian Party candidates — including 4,985 signatures that the board’s own Hearing Examiner and General Council had ruled valid.

Tobin had asked for $5 million in punitive damages from the members of the Election Board, and for the federal court to declare the board’s decision void and unconstitutional.

Carla Howell now in six-way race

The Carla Howell for U.S. Senate campaign — which had been shaping up as a two-way against Ted Kennedy, and then a three-way race after a judge put the Republican candidate back on the ballot — has turned into a six-way race.

In early September, news reports revealed that three “fringe” party candidates had qualified to be on the ballot.

Certified to run on the November ballot were Philip Hyde III of the “Timesaving Not Downsize” Party, Dale E. Friedgen of the Natural Law Party, and Philip Lawler of the Constitution Party.

Howell, who said she heard about efforts by the new contenders to qualify in mid-August, said she didn’t expect the three candidates “to be a factor in the race.”

“There are some paper candidates from fringe parties who have a handful of registered voters in Massachusetts,” she said. [Herself], because we’re running a serious campaign, the biggest, best-organized statewide third party campaign in America, we’re focused on one opponent — Ted Kennedy.”

Also in the race is Republican Jack E. Robinson, who announced that because of a lack of funds, he would have his campaign headquarters in cyberspace.

Art Olivier campaigns in support of Browne’s ‘Great Libertarian Offer’

Continued from Page 3

Ben Kamh “came out of the closet” and registered as a Libertarian.

“It is the first time he has ever registered to vote,” he said. “By the end of the night, his engineer Big Bad John had registered as a Libertarian as well.”

Olivier also stepped by a “Rock the Vote” event in Aurora, but couldn’t get time from the organizers to speak.

Very smart

“So we just talked to some of the students,” he said. “Just for fun, I asked a young man who was passing out Gore stickers why any young person would support Al Gore. His reply was that Gore is a very smart man.”

“I agreed that relative to George W., he had a point. I asked him if he supported blowing up third world countries, the war on drugs, and if he thought he would benefit from Social Security. The poor kid started to stress out and replied, ‘I don’t support him on every issue.’

“I apologized for causing him stress and encouraged him to remain politically active,” said Olivier.

After his visit, the vice presidential candidate said he was impressed by the state party organization in Colorado.

“We’ve got a really good, strong Libertarian Party in Colorado,” he said afterwards.

Art Olivier being met by a crowd of Libertarians at the Denver Airport on September 7. “We’ve got a really good, strong Libertarian Party in Colorado,” he said afterwards.
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National Director appears on 20/20; blasts lavish, tax-funded conventions

The national director of the Libertarian Party appeared on ABC’s 20/20 — and used the opportunity to blast Republicans and Democrats for taking taxpayers’ money to fund their lavish national conventions.

Steve Dasbach, who heads the LP’s national office in Washington, DC, was interviewed on the August 18 edition of John Stossel’s “Give Me A Break” segment on the popular news program.

“It was a great opportunity for Libertarians to point out that we didn’t take taxpayers’ money to finance our convention — unlike Republicans and Democrats, who each grabbed $12 million to pay for champagne, balloons, and hors d’oeuvres,” said Dasbach.

Millions of dollars

The segment focused on the millions of dollars taxpayers shell out for the Republican, Democratic, and Reform party’s national conventions — a little-known fact that prompted Stossel to utter his exasperated signature line, “Give me a break!”

In the old days, they at least picked the nominees at the convention, but these days they don’t even choose the vice president here. It is just a party, said Stossel. “Taxpayers are paying for decorations, entertainment, concerts [including] $50,000 for music, orchestra, $3,000 for makeup, hairdressing. Why?”

Stossel pointed out that “no public money” went to fund the Libertarian Party convention — and then cut to Dasbach, who said, “George Bush, Al Gore, and Pat Buchanan are, frankly, political welfare queens.”

Stossel also noted that Libertarians think “no government money” should go to conventions.

“If you want to contribute to any political campaign, you have that right,” Stossel said Dasbach in his next on-screen appearance. “But the government shouldn’t be forcing you to do it.”

Stossel was a spokesperson for the Reform and Green parties didn’t fare as well on the show. A Reform official complained that Republicans and Democrats got more convention money than his party did, while the Green spokesman said it was “stupid” that the Greens got no taxpayer money at all.

Stossel also zinged the heads of the Republican and Democratic national committees, Jim Nicholson and Joe Andrew, when he told them, “I don’t want to pay for your convention. Pay for your own celebration!”

Don’t have option

In response, Nicholson claimed Republicans would be willing to do away with taxpayers’ money, while Andrew said Democrats don’t have the “option” not to take government funds.

“Ah, there you have it,” responded Stossel. “They have to take our money. Give me a break!”

After the show, Dasbach complimented Stossel for publicizing the issue.

“John did a great job of exposing the hypocrisy of the Democrats, Republicans, and even the Reform Party,” he said. “He is the one clear voice of reason in network news. It was a real pleasure to help expose this blatant example of political welfare.”
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50-state ballot status hinges on Arizona lawsuit decision.

Continued from Page 1

win a spot on the Arizona ballot as independents. Brown and Oller are already qualified on 49 state ballots and in the District of Columbia.

The national Libertarian Party was forced to launch a last-minute independent petition drive after one faction of the Libertarian Party of Arizona refused to place Brown on the ballot.

L. Neil Smith

Instead, in early September, that faction instructed the Arizona Secretary of State to place Colorado science fiction author L. Neil Smith on the ballot as the Libertarian Party candidate for president, and Nevada newspaper columnist Vin Suprynowycz as vice president.

“We regret any confusion our nominee may cause to others throughout the country who may have expected Harry Browne to be the Libertarian nominee,” said Liz Andreason, the state chair of the group. “We are delighted that Arizona voters will be able to cast their ballot for candidates who truly represent libertarian principles.”

Andreason’s faction is recognized by the Arizona state government as the official Libertarian Party in the state — despite the fact that the national Libertarian Party recognizes a different group, chaired by Peter Schmerl.

As the state-recognized party, Andreason’s group had the power to determine what candidate the state placed on the ballot.

For several years, the two groups have been engaged in a dispute over political strategy, ideology, and the structure of the Arizona party.

The rivalry came to a head in mid-1999, after a bitterly divided state convention voted to merge the two groups. When Andreason’s group challenged the outcome, the Libertarian National Committee disaffiliated both groups, saying it was unable to determine which one was the real state affiliate. In November 1999, the LNC conducted a referendum so national LP members in Arizona could decide which group they supported. After 64.7% chose Schmerl’s group, it was affiliated by the LNC.

However, an Arizona court ruled in January 2000 that Andreason’s group was “the” Libertarian Party, so the dispute continued.

When it became clear that efforts by the LNC to mediate an agreement between the two groups would fail — and that Andreason’s group might refuse to put Brown on the ballot — the party decided to qualify Browne for the ballot as an independent, said Dasbach.

Disagreement

“We didn’t want to risk the chance that voters in one state would be unable to vote for the Browne/Oliver ticket, simply because of an internal disagreement in our party,” he said.

The party collected more than 22,000 signatures and filed them on August 17.

However, state law requires independent ballot petitions to be turned in by June 14, so the party filed a lawsuit to overturn the filing deadline.

“No court ruling has ever upheld a June filing deadline,” said Dasbach.

Unexpectedly, the party lost in state court, and filed in federal court in mid-September. A ruling from judge Robert Bloomfield “is past due,” said Dasbach.

If Browne does not qualify for the Arizona ballot, it will be the first time since 1988 that the party’s official presidential candidate is not on all 50 state ballots.

However, the party did, technically qualify for presidential status in all 50 states again in 2000, noted Dasbach.

“We could have the odd situation where a Libertarian presidential candidate is on all 50 states, but not the Libertarian candidate,” he said.

Contacting the Browne/Oliver LP Campaign

To volunteer to help the campaign or contribute, call (202) 521-1200.

To order Harry Browne for President campaign materials or books, call (888) 377-0417, or visit the campaign website at: www.HarryBrowneStore.com

For more information about the campaign, call (800) 777-2000, or visit the campaign website at: www.HarryBrowne.org.

To subscribe to get campaign updates and media appearances, send a message to: Maijordomo LibertyWire@mx.HarryBrowne2000.org. Include “Subscribe LibertyWire” on the first line of the body of the message.
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CA Libertarians protest highway checkpoints

Continued from Page 3

One interesting aspect [wazl] the massive response of the law enforcement agencies to the non-violent, non-obstructionist, well-publicized rally," said San Diego LP activist Richard Rider. "There were probably more police than participants. Whoever spent our money sending so many police to the event should be fired.

"It is interesting that, after all these years, law enforcement has not recognized that the Libertarian Party stages peaceful protests. To my knowledge, there has never been a violent LP protest."

Traffic backups Libertarian said they were protesting because the checkpoints violate the Fourth Amendment's prohibition against unreasonable searches, cause massive traffic backups, and inconvenience drivers.

Richard Rider: "There were probably more police than participants."

At the checkpoints on I-15 and I-6, about 200,000 cars a day must funnel one-by-one past U.S. Border Patrol agents, who search for illegal immigrants and quiz people filling "drug courier" profiles.

"We don't need a border between San Diego and Riverside counties," said Olivier. "It restricts everybody's freedom, and it's a waste of taxpayers' money. I would like to get the federal government to shut this thing down."

California LP recruits 295 candidates

Continued from Page 4

In all, candidates were recruited in 24 California counties, led by Orange County (with 38 candidates), Los Angeles County (35) and San Diego County (19).

The Libertarian slate includes seven candidates for City Council seats, 23 school board candidates, 29 hospital and health care district candidates, and 79 water and irrigation district candidates. Libertarians are also seeking positions on fire protection districts, recreation and park districts, and transportation districts.

Operation Breakthrough was so successful, the party will do it again next year, said Ros.

Repeat next year "Now that we have this experience under our belt, we can repeat this project year after year," he said. "The party is already discussing strategy for 2001, when many counties will be holding special district elections and turnout is historically low.

"This was only the beginning. All I can say is: Look out, statisticians. The Libertarians are here!"

LP Political Director Ron Crickenberger praised Operation Breakthrough as "one of the most important political breakthroughs" in party history.

"This was the most professional, most innovative, and best organized candidate recruitment effort I have ever seen in the LP, and a model for every other state party to emulate," he said.

"California Libertarians deserve enormous praise — not only for creating and implementing this important project, but for making sure that California residents will have such a wide variety of Libertarian candidates to vote for this November."

Learn to Minimize/avoid paying income taxes

Reduce government control of your life

Sue & jail criminal government agents

All seminars start:
9 AM & end at 5 PM
$40 Pre-paid
$50 at the door
Spouse: Half-price
Under 21 = FREE
To pre-register, send money order (leave the "pay to" line blank), or send CASH to:
Freedom Law School
13211 Myford Road #332
Tustin, CA 92782
www.livelifefreenow.org
(714) 838-2896

Freedom Law School

Peymon, President of Freedom Law School
Libertarians demand open debates

Libertarian Party members Robert Flohr (left) and Bob Hunt — posing here with the Green Party’s Debate Chicken — participated in an “Open Debates” rally in front of the office of the Commission on Presidential Debates (CPD) in Washington, DC on September 7.

The Libertarians were part of a crowd of about three dozen people protesting the CPD’s decision to exclude all third party candidates from the upcoming debates.

“The Chicken represents the fact that Gore is too chicken to debate Ralph Nader,” said DC Libertarian Party Chair Paul Moore. “Or, as Libertarians would say, the statists are all too chicken to debate Harry Browne!”

Coffee drinkers reject Bush, Gore

People who drink coffee at 7-Eleven stores want Harry Browne for president — or nobody.

That’s one interpretation of the results of the ongoing “7-Election 2000” poll being taken at 5,138 convenience stores around the USA. In the unscientific 7-Eleven poll, customers can vote for their favorite presidential candidate by purchasing coffee in special Al Gore, George W. Bush, or Third Party/No Opinion 20-ounce cups.

The results by mid-September were surprising: 18% of coffee-drinkers preferred Bush, 17% chose Gore — and a whopping 65% selected the Third Party/No Opinion option.

“Of course, we can’t say that all of those 65% support Harry Browne,” said LP Political Director Ron Crickenberger. “But the fact that an overwhelming majority of Americans reject Bush and Gore — even in a quirky, unscientific poll like this one — has to be good news for candidates like Harry Browne.”

Browne wins GLBT group’s support

Harry Browne has won the endorsement of a national gay and lesbian organization.

On September 8, Outright Libertarians announced that it formally endorsed Browne for president, following a meeting of its national executive committee in Altanta, Georgia.

“If you are a free-thinking, small government gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgendered person, you have no better choice for the leadership of our country than the Libertarian Party candidates for president and vice president, Harry Browne and Art Olivier,” said National Chairman Allan Wallace.

The organization that Browne, in an interview with PlanetOut.com, had stated, “The government is not there to enforce one person’s morality on another.”

Outright Libertarians is an organization for gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgendered Libertarians that supports “equal rights for all.” For information, visit: www.outrightUSA.org.

Browne still strong in online polls

While Harry Browne hovers at around 1% in national polls — and up to 3%-4% in some state polls — he continues to do exceptionally well in a number of Internet-based surveys. Some results from mid-September:

- Browne was in second place in the ConservativeHQ.com poll with 31.25% of the vote, finishing behind George W. Bush.
- Browne was winning a presidential preference poll on About.com — “The Human Internet” site — with 26% of the vote. In second place was Bush with 26%.

Continued from Page 1

ing that Browne’s voters could potentially determine which candidate will win those state’s combined 30 Electoral College votes, said Steve Dasbach, LP national director.

“The conventional wisdom is that only Ralph Nader has the potential to affect the outcome of the presidential race,” he said.

“But these new polls suggest that Harry Browne may be the new Ralph Nader. If the presidential race remains as razor-tight as it is now, Browne may be in a position to determine the outcome.”

Fluctuating polls

National polls showed Browne generally fluctuating between 0.8% and 1.5%. On several occasions, most recently on September 7, Browne was ahead of Buchanan by as much as 0.2%.

“Of course, the poll figures will continue to fluctuate, but this lead — even if only temporary — demonstrates that the major media should be covering us more than they are,” said Browne at the time.

In debate news, the Browne campaign is attempting to firm up two possible debate invitations, said campaign manager Perry Willis. The opportunities include:

- A Jesse Ventura-sponsored debate that may take place in St. Paul, Minnesota on September 28.
- “I think the chances are 60/40 that this one is going to happen — and Harry’s going to be there,” said Willis. “The problem is that Ventura himself hasn’t said anything publicly, and that worries us a bit.”

Buchanan, Bush, Gore, John Hagelin (Natural Law), Nader, and Howard Phillips (Constitution) were also invited to the debate. Browne, Phillips, and Hagelin have accepted.

- A debate sponsored by Judicial Watch in Washington, DC on October 20. Al Gore appears to have accepted an invitation, although it is uncertain whether he will actually participate, said Willis.

See HARRY BROWNE Page 17
Browne on Politically Incorrect: 'Better than I had hoped for...'

Continued from Page 16

"Browne is eager to attend," said Willis. "The group has invited all the candidates, but at this point, Bush, Nader, and Buchanan have not accepted, and the others have." Although uncertain which debates Browne will participate in, Willis said he is confident that third-party televised debates will happen.

"There were several televised debates for third-party candidates in 1996 and the opportunities are even greater this time," he said. "We fully expect one or more of them to come off."

TV opportunities
Numerous other television opportunities also cropped up for Browne over the last month.

On August 24, Browne appeared on the popular ABC television late-night show Politically Incorrect, with self-described "libertarian" host Bill Maher. Also appearing were TV actresses Sharon Lawrence, comedian Tim Stack, and Kellyanne Fitzpatrick, a Republican pollster and pundit.

Although the show — which features a constantly rotating panel of celebrities discussing the news of the day — is designed to be funny, Browne said he got to make some serious political points. "Although it wasn't perfect, it was far better than I had hoped for," he said. "I get to talk about the income tax, Social Security, the Drug War, and foreign policy."

Maher was also "more forceful in endorsing libertarianism than I've ever seen him," said Browne. "He says to me, 'You're my guy.' And in answer to a question he says, 'Would I vote for Harry? Absolutely I would.' To this a large part of the audience cheers."

Over the past month, Browne also appeared on the O'Reilly Factor on the Fox News TV network, the New England Cable Network, the Fox News Channel with Linda Vester, Court TV with Catherine Crier, the Tennessee Radio Network, the Hannity & Colmes show on the Fox News Channel, the Florida News Channel, and the Texas State Radio Network.

13 interviews
In addition to national television, Browne did dozens of interviews with local television and radio stations over the past month — sometimes doing up to 13 interviews in a single day.

Browne also continued stumping around the USA, making campaign appearances in California, Florida, Connecticut, Virginia, Maine, Texas, Louisiana, Iowa, Michigan in late August and early September — frequently attracting crowds of more than 200 people.

"We keep getting bigger, more visible, stronger, and more popular," said Browne.

HOW YOU CAN HELP

Want to help the Harry Browne for President campaign? Here's what you can do to help the campaign get more media coverage, reach more people, and get more votes.

- Contact the major news organizations that continue to omit Harry Browne — despite the fact that he's outpacing Pat Buchanan. Remind them that Browne will be on more state ballots and has raised and spent more money than Ralph Nader.
- Also mention that the LP is running 255 candidates for U.S. House — more than any third party in 20 years — and more candidates nationwide than all other minor parties combined (including Green and Reform). Contact:
  - CNN, 200 First St., N.E., Washington, DC 20002-4243
  - Fox News, 601 Bryant St., NW, Washington, DC 20001-3103
  - USA Today, 1000 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22229
  - Gallup World Headquarters, The Gallup Building, 47 Hufhush Street, Princeton, NJ 08542
  - E-mail: editor@usatoday.com
- Vote for Harry Browne in online polls.
- If you live nearby, show up at the debates scheduled by the Commission on Presidential Debates, set for Boston, Massachusetts (October 3), Winston-Salem, North Carolina (October 11), and St. Louis, Missouri (October 17). Carry a sign protest the exclusion of Harry Browne.

STRATEGIC RENAISSANCE

New Thinking and Innovative Tools to Create Great Corporate Strategies... Using Insights from History and Science

- Why most business thinking today is like astrology — and with the same results.
- Why consultants drive the getaway cars to business failure.
- Why McKinsey and Harvard Business School have the basic foundation of strategy wrong — from someone who's been there — and how to get it right.
- What market research and cocaine have in common and what to do about it.
- The four building blocks of every great corporate strategy.
- SPECIAL OFFER for lovers of Liberty!

Buy Strategic Renaissance in the next 15 days and 50% of the author's royalties will be contributed to the Libertarian Party! Help make this the year we become a Major Party! Leave your number at 800-410-5755

From AMACON Books/American Management Association June 2000

Evan Dudik is CEO of FMD and Associates, Inc., a leading strategy consulting firm, a former McKinsey & Co. consultant, manufacturing company owner and believer in the reasoned approach to business success. Available for stimulating talks and seminars at 1-800-410-5755 or edudik@pacifier.com

Strategic Renaissance is now available at all major book stores, including Borders, Amazon.com, Barnes & Noble, and Buy.com.
LIBERTARIAN PARTY
CANDIDATES
for U.S. SENATE

CONNECTICUT
Age 63. Firearms manufacturer. "Motivated by a will to protect and serve our Constitutional republic. Rule by a Command Class is regnant and unacceptable."
www.wildey-senate.com
WILDEY MOORE

INDIANA
Age 49. Software engineer. Will focus on phased out entitlement programs, with Social Security #1 priority. Alas: "Begin process of rolling back federal agencies."
www.paulhager.org
PAUL HAGER

Iowa
Age 38. Editor-in-Chief, Sierra Times.com. Will protect Western values of freedom, protect the Bill of Rights, protect Nevada's property rights.
Call: (775) 727-0627
GRANT STAUFFER

MASSACHUSETTS
www.carlahowell.org
CARLA HOWELL

FLORIDA
Age 48. Healthcare consultant. "Many citizens are begging for a viable alternative to Republican and Democrats. Libertarians provide that alternative."
www.dmcormick.org
DARRELL MCCORMICK

MICHIGAN
Teacher. Married, 3 children. Will vote "against erosion of our rights, against an ever-increasing tax burden, and against oppressive regulatory bureaucracies."
www.michliss2000.org
MICHAEL CORLISS

MINNESOTA
Army veteran, security consultant. "I am running for U.S. Senate because no Democrat or Republican can be trusted to safeguard our freedoms."
www.pakkieser2000.com
ERIC PAKIESER

NEW YORK
Has worked in homeless outreach, substance abuse counseling. "I hope to expose the same-e, same-s status of both candidates compared to a pro-liberty stance."
www.clifton2000.com
JOHN CLIFTON

OHIO
Born 1945. Founder, Source Benefits. "If elected, I will vote on bills based on whether these bills match the libertarian goals of limited government." www.johnforussenate.com
JOHN McALISTER

OKLAHOMA
TV correspondent; president, privacy protection firm. "I want to get government out of your life, so that you’re free to make your own decisions."
www.feather.com
JOHN FEATHERMAN

NEVADA
Age 38. Editor-in-Chief, Sierra Times.com. Will protect Western values of freedom, protect the Bill of Rights, protect Nevada's property rights.
www.ruwart.com/ruwart2000
MARY RUWART

TEXAS
Former research scientist, author Healing Our World. "I am running because non-aggression gives everyone more of what they want. Why settle for less?"
www.ruwart.com/ruwart2000
JIM DEXTER

UTAH
Age 58. Freelance marketing communicator. Priorities: Complete abolition of income tax, repeal of all unconstitutional laws, privatization of Social Security.
www.kent2.com
HUGH DOUGLAS

VERMONT
Geologist, political economist. Adjunct professor, Stanford University. Stands for "maintenance and restoring fundamental personal freedoms."
Call: (802) 660-1026
JEFF JARED

WASHINGTON
Graduate, Harvard University, attorney. "I want to maximize freedom in this country. Freedom works, no matter what Democrats or Republicans realize this."
http://go.to/jared2000

ARIZONA
Born 1957. Diversity businesses, politics, & activism. "I realized early on that unless I worked to protect all peoples' rights, I could never hope to defend my own."
BARRY J. HESS

GEORGIA
Age 41. President & CEO of a firm. "I am running to offer real solutions: expanding American opportunity, attacking government bureaucratic failings."
www.paulmacgregor.com
PAUL MACGREGOR

CALIFORNIA
Registered Nurse, four-time U.S. House candidate. "If elected, I would set an example of how a U.S. Senator should protect the rights of Americans."
www.lightfoot2000.org
GAIL LIGHTFOOT

HAWAII
Born 1943. Writer, photographer. Running to "help restore a government that will enforce the Bill of Rights and freedom of enterprise."
Call: (808) 822-2396
LLOYD JEFF MALLAN

MISSISSIPPI
Age 41. Married, two children. Author of two books. "I'm running because the idea that made this country great — individual freedom — is slipping away."
www.lewisnapper.org
LEWIS NAPPER

TENNESSEE
www.Whelan4Senate.org
F. JOSEPH WHELAN

Wisconsin
Born 1959. Sold computer systems for 18 years. "I am running to show people they have a party that represents the constitutional limits of government."
www.TimPeterson.com
TIM PETERSON

WYOMING
Age 60, medical technologist. "I believe in, and will advocate, libertarian principles. As Senator I will strive to limit government interference in our lives."
mddsenate2000@yahoo.com
MARGARET DAWSON

Running for office?
Check to see if you are listed on pages 18-24. If you are not, call Libertarian Party Political Director Ron Crickenger at (202) 333-0008 Ext. 227. Or e-mail him at: RonCrickenger@hq.lp.org.
Young Libertarian candidates: Running for party's future

Continued from Page 2

more harm than good. I don't want to have to see the day when the government is knocking on my door to take all my guns away."

The advantage she has in promoting her issues as a young candidate, said Gleim, is that she offers "a new face. A younger face. New ideas, fresh ideas. People seem to like the idea that I could win this."

However, before she can win, Gleim has an hurdle most other young Libertarian candidates don't have: Getting on the ballot.

The county clerk's office validated only 68 of her petition signatures — one short of the required number.

With the help of the state party, she is currently trying to get the county to accept her as a "replacement" candidate nominated by the Libertarian Party, and will run as a write-in if that effort fails, she said.

"I don't give up easily, and this is something I feel very strongly about," she said. "I'm still in the race and that's all that matters."

That can-do attitude seems to impress voters, said Gleim.

"I find more and more people who say they would be willing to get out and do something, because if I can do it at 23 years old, maybe they can too," she said. "It really makes me feel good to know I'm inspiring people to take action."

Positive response

In his campaign for U.S. House of Representatives (District 5) in North Carolina, 25-year-old Steven Francis LeBoeuf said he's gotten the same positive response from every group he's approached — one other candidates.

"Non-Libertarian candidates are the absolute worst," he said. "Many of them are crusty old white guys, to start with, and they typically treat young Libertarian candidates as children."

But voters respond differently, he said.

"They have reached me have shown overwhelming support," he said. "Some older voters have even said they would vote for me, because of my youth, saying they can trust younger candidates a lot more than politicians who have been corrupted with age."

Had fun

LeBoeuf, in his last year of a PhD program for Electrical Engineering at North Carolina State University in Raleigh, has had fun with his youthful looks during the campaign, he said.

In one radio interview, he recounted, the producer looked at him in surprise and said, "My God! You look so young to be running for Congress!"

"I know," retorted LeBoeuf. "I'm actually 45, but libertarianism keeps you looking young."

The producer believed him, and exclaimed, "That's incredible! You look 20 years younger."

When LeBoeuf broke the news that he was kidding, "we shared a laugh," he said.

The occasional joke aside, his campaign is focusing on a serious issue, said LeBoeuf. And to an unconstitutionally bureaucratic rule.

"The most serious threats to our freedoms come from bureaucratic FACA, FOIA, etc.," he said. "I want to revamp the citizen's legislature — where it is elected citizens — and not Washington bureaucrats, who have control over the laws that govern them."

Finance

Zee Renee Proctor, a 20-year-old college student in Florida, is another candidate who could use a solid gold bull's head to finance her run for office.

"My original campaign manager was a fellow student," she said of her unexpected and understaffed campaign for Seminole County Commission (District 3). "He had to leave because of an unusually heavy class load."

However, what she lacks in resources she makes up in media appeal.

"Her youth has helped attract "a lot of media coverage," said Proctor. "My bid for office is newsworthy, and that helps to get the Libertarian name out."

In running for office, LeBoeuf is also breaking a long family tradition of non-involvement in politics, he said.

"The last LeBoeuf in political power was a powerful French knight who lived during medieval times," he said. "Because he was so wealthy, he ostentatiously placed a solid gold bull's head over his castle. For this he was dubbed 'Le Bœuf' or 'The Beef.'"

"Boy, I sure wish I had that wealth today — it would make my campaign a hell of a lot easier."

Advantage

Although he encountered some skepticism because of his age, Henchman said his youth also works to his advantage.

"People are willing to give me a chance because I'm young and idealistic, more so than if I were older," he said. "Youth is equivalent to freshness, a new start."

Once he gets by the age questions, he can impress voters with his Libertarian solutions, said Henchman.

"Because I have facts to back up my platform, they pay attention to me," he said. "Essentially, my youth wins their attention and my platform wins their vote."

were such an amazing opportunity. It was almost like destiny."

But while some Libertarian candidates are getting involved in politics for the first time, some are already old-timers.

Joseph Henchman, for example, is only 29, but he has eight years of experience as a Libertarian activist in California.

"I joined the Libertarian Party when I was 11 years old during the [Andre] Marrou campaign [in 1992], and have been active ever since," he said. "Richard Rider, [a] Libertarian in San Diego, has called me 'arguably the youngest activist the LP has.'"

"I'm running candidate first to his record, 'The media have informed me that I am the youngest person ever to run for Oceanide City Council,'" said Henchman.

A Political Science major at the University of California at Berkeley, Henchman is running on a "return to constitutional government" ticket.

In "Oceanside, we have city-owned toll bridges, sign regulations that the county doesn't apply to itself, and a budget that costs a family $1,700 a year," he said.

"No other candidate was willing to fight this, so I'm running."
Here is a complete list of the 1,382 Libertarian Party candidates running for public office in the November 2000 election. (There are an additional 26 candidates for U.S. Senate and 8 candidates for governor listed on pages 18-19.) If you are an LP candidate but are not on this list — or if information is listed incorrectly — call LP Political Director Ron Crikenberger at (202) 333-0008 Ext. 227.
Survey: 16% of voters are libertarian

Continued from Page 3

supports government control in both areas lands in the "authoritarian" section.

An individual who supports personal liberty but wants the
government to control the economy scores as a "liberal," while
someone who favors eco-

nomic liberty but wants the
government to control personal behav-
ior ends up in the "conserva-
tive" quadrant.

Besides just providing insight
into the total number of libertar-
ian-leaning voters, the Rasmus-

sen conducted the survey using the WSTQ because of some complaints from libertar-

ians that they were being ignored,
the company noted in its introductory
remarks about the survey.

Previous surveys by Gallup
have suggested that 19% to 22%
of the population are libertarian,
but had relied on a less rigorous
two-question survey.

The Rasmussen survey had a
margin of error of 3%.

For more information about
the World's Smallest Political Quiz visit the Advocates website

A MESSAGE FROM LIGHTS OF LIBERTY 2000 HONORARY CHAIR

David F. Nolan

The Liberty Bell is inscribed with the words "Proclaim Liberty Throughout All
The Land," and it is in the spirit of this exhortation that I heartily endorse the Lights of
Liberty awards! I urge every libertarian candidate, campaign worker, newsletter
editor and activist to get the word out about these awards — and, silly, too! Let's
use Lights of Liberty to spread the word about the Blessings of Liberty to all America.
I'm going for my second Lights of Liberty award this year. Join me!

— David F. Nolan, Libertarian Party co-founder,
inventor of the Nolan Chart used on the World's Smallest Political Quiz

Be A Light of Liberty!

The Advocates for Self-Government gives Lights of
Liberty Awards and special gifts to libertarians who
accomplish ANY ONE of the following in the year 2000:

1. LETTERS TO THE EDITOR: Get three letters using the words
"libertarian" or "libertarianism" (Libertarian Party counts) in any
non-libertarian newspaper or magazine, OR:

2. PUBLIC SPEAKING: Delivers three speeches to non-libertarian
audiences using the words libertarian or libertarianism. (Speech
may be prepared or improvised; must be more than an interview/
Q&A/etc. Not sure if your qualifications? Ask us!) OR:

3. OPERATION POLITICALLY HOMELESS (OPH): Work at three OPH
booths, for a minimum of two hours per day session.

Activities done between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2000 qualify. Please
let us know as soon as you qualify. And let us know anyone else who does!

Great awards and prizes! Winners receive a great-looking Lights of Liberty 2000 award
certificate, on quality parchment paper, suitable for framing. Your certificate, signed
by Libertarian Party co-founder David Nolan and Advocates President Sharon Harris.

Plus one-year subscription to our new magazine
The Libertarian Communicator; discount coupons;
chances to win great prizes and more!

Full description of what you get is at our Web site:
www.self-gov.org

Questions? Full details are at our web site:
www.self-gov.org

OTHER SURVEY RESULTS

■ Non-churchgoers are just as likely to be libertarians
(18%) as left liberals (19%). Those who attend church
four or more times per month are slightly more likely
to be libertarians (15%) than right conservatives (11%).
■ 5.2% of voters aged 30-39 describe themselves as
"libertarian," compared to 2.1% in the 20-29 age group;
1.6% in the 40-49 age group; 1.2% in the 50-64 age group,
and only 1.0% in the 65+ age group.
■ 3.7% of voters in the 40-49 age group plan to vote
for Harry Browne, compared to 1.7% in the 30-39 age group.
■ 1.4% of registered Republicans plan to vote for Harry
Browne, but only 0.4% of Democrats.
■ 56% agreed that "there are too many laws in the United
States," However, while 64.7% of men agreed, only
48.3% of women did.

New even lower long distance rates.
Mention: CA LP #154
www.earthtel.com/libertarians
Call 1-800-458-5753

Call 1-800-458-5753

Call 1-800-458-5753
LITERATURE BUTTONS & MUCH MORE!

LP Literature & Books

- Libertarianism In One Lesson by David Bergland. Expanded 6th edition. The classic introductory work. Outlines Libertarian positions on all major policy issues and contrasts them to liberals and conservatives. A must-read. Softbound. 158 pages. Cost: $10 each, or $5 for 75.
- Which Political Party is 100% Pro-Gun Rights? Full page flyer. 2-color (red & blue). Hard-hitting defense of the Second Amendment, designed especially for the gun community. Explains why the LP will never betray gun owners. Cost: Sample: $0.50 or $7 for 100.
- Million Dollar Bumper Sticker. Green Ink: A fossil $1,000,000 bill that highlights excessive federal spending. Perfect for Tax Day, taxpayer groups, and almost any other outreach project! Cost: Sample: $0.50 or $7 for 100.
- LP “Fact Sheets”. Updated: 1998. 2-page LP history (with political highlights, accomplishments, and victories from 1971-1997) and comprehensive two-page bibliography (more than 150 books and party history). Sold as a set: Cost: Sample: $0.50 or $10 for 100.
- LP Program, 4 pages, blue Ink. LP positions on education, jobs, public competition, health care, and crime—with solutions. Cost: Sample: $1.00 or $10 for 100.
- World’s Smallest Political Quiz cards. Perfect for politically humorous booths. Cost: $1 for 100.

Bumperstickers

Cost: $1 each; $7.50 for 10; $50 for 100. Size: 11”x3”

- ENOUGH IS ENOUGH/VOTE LIBERTARIAN (red, white & blue).
- Don’t Blame Me... I Voted Libertarian (blue & white; LP address and phone # below).
- Vote Libertarian/800-ELECT-US (blue & white).
- I’m Pro-Choice on Everything! (red, white & blue).

Buttons

Cost: $1 each or 75¢ each for 5 or more.

- Don’t Blame Me. I Voted Libertarian. 1-800-ELECT-US.
- Vote Libertarian. 1-800-ELECT-US.
- Libertarian Party: Pro-Choice on Everything. 1-800-ELECT-US.

Miscellaneous Items

- Windbreaker: Blue, with LP logo & party name. Available in M, L, XL. (Please specify.) Cost: $25 each.

Tools for Campaigning

- Libertarian TV Ads: A videotape of TV ads by LP candidates—from State Representative to President. (For informational use only, not broadcast quality.) Cost: $20.00 each.
- LP Statue of Liberty Logo on PC Disk: 3-1/2 inch DOS-compatible disk. Cost: $2 each.

Large & Small Banners

- Large Banner. (19” x 5”). White on blue. First line “Libertarian Party.” You can pick the second line from these two choices. “BDO-ELECT-US” or “Defenders of Liberty” (spelled in one word form.) Cost: $40 each.

The Clock is Ticking!

By the time you read this, there will be only weeks left until Election Day 2000.

That means there are only a few weeks left for you to help Harry Browne, Art Olivier, and more than 1,420 other Libertarian Party candidates win the maximum number of votes.

Remember, every additional vote you win sends a louder pro-freedom message to the politicians in Washington, D.C., and in your state capital.

Every vote attracts more attention to the party. Every vote helps us get even faster. And with so many LP members running credible campaigns, your vote may also help get another Libertarian elected to public office.

Will you do your part to get the word out about the Libertarian Party and our positive solutions for America?

We offer a wide array of colorful, lively, and hard-hitting brochures, fliers, booklets, buttons, and bumperstickers. This disability material that can turn Libertarian "browsers" into Libertarian voters.

We don’t have a minute to waste. Our success in 2000 will depend on what we do right now to help.

The clock is ticking!

ORDER FORM

There is no charge for standard shipping. Allow up to 3-4 weeks for delivery (U.S. only). Call 1-800-200-0047 Ext. 221 for instructions on rush shipping. Minimum order $5.00. Orders shipped via UPS, please add $5 for Post Office box address. Make payable to: Libertarian Party. Federal law requires political committees to report the name, mailing address, occupation, and name of employer for each individual whose contributions aggregate in excess of $200 in a calendar year.

- Books for Sale

  - Why Government Doesn’t Work—Browne
  - Libertarianism in One Lesson—Bergland

- Ready-to-use Literature

  - Brochure: “Is This the New Political Party...”
  - Brochure: “What Happened to Your Family Budget?”
  - Brochure: “Ending the Welfare State”
  - Brochure: “Making Neighborhood Self-Safe Again”
  - Brochure: “Equal Rights/America’s Gun Owners”
  - Brochure: “Working to Cut Your Taxes”
  - Flyer: “Political Party! 100% Pro-Gun Rights!”
  - “Million Dollar Bill.” Literature

- America’s Libertarian Heritage book.
- Fact Sheets: LP history/bibliography (package).
- 1995 LP Program.
- World’s Smallest Political Quiz.

- Yard Signs

  - ENOUGH IS ENOUGH/VOTE LIBERTARIAN
  - Bumper Stickers

- Buttons

  - Don’t Blame Me. I Voted Libertarian
  - ENOUGH IS ENOUGH/VOTE LIBERTARIAN
  - VOTE/LIBERTARIAN

- Miscellaneous Items

  - Illustrated windbreaker. Circle one: M, L, XL.

- Tools for Campaigning

  - Libertarian TV Ads video
  - Statue of Liberty logo master
  - LP Statue of Liberty logo on 3-1/2 inch PC disk

- Large & Small Banners

  - Large “LIBERTARIAN PARTY” (19” x 5”).
  - Small “LIBERTARIAN PARTY” (12” x 5”).

- Total Due

  - Merchandise Total
  - RUSH handling: Add $3 for same-day shipping
  - RUSH shipping: Call office to arrange

Send to a Post Office Box: $5 extra

TOTAL PAYMENT DUE

- Cash, check, or money order enclose (no cashiers checks).
- Bill my Visa
- Bill my MasterCard
- Bill my American Express
- Bill my Discover Card
- Bill me later

Account # ______
Expires ______
Signature ______
Member/card (if used)
Street ______
City______
State______
Zip______
Phone______
Fax______
E-Mail ______

Liberty Flyer: 16-page political flyer. Sends more proactive members to your neighborhood. Requires $5 minimum order. Includes address, occupation, and employer for each individual whose contributions aggregate in excess of $200 in a calendar year.

How To Place Your Order

By phone... (202) 333-0032 Ext. 221
By mail... The Libertarian Party
160 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100
Washington, DC 20001

by fax... (202) 333-0072

by e-mail... libertarian@lpe.org

or 1-800-200-0047 Ext. 221
Senate candidate Lewis Napper is ‘Bill of No Rights’ cyberhero

Lewis Napper was steamrolled.

In the first days of the Clinton presidency, as taxes increased and the administration plotted a takeover of the nation’s health care system, Napper grew sick of watching as “our true rights were eroded, always in the name of giving everyone some new imaginary right.”

One day in 1993, after hearing a typical Hillary Clinton speech on the radio, Napper had enough.

He skipped his lunch break at a computer consulting job, sat down at his keyboard and bang out a response.

In less than an hour, he had written the “Bill of No Rights,” which became “the e-mail heard round the world.”

Napper, 41, now the Libertarian Party candidate for U.S. Senate in Mississippi, didn’t expect his essay — a tart 10-point list of “rights” Americans don’t have — to become an Internet legend.

Just a few friends

In fact, he said, he first sent the essay, which has now been “read by millions,” to just a few friends.

“I’ll have to admit that it was really just a way for me to blow off steam and try to make a few friends laugh,” said the Jackson-area computer programmer.

But the manifesto did more than make people laugh. It struck a chord.

Says Napper’s preamble: “A whole lot of people were confused by the Bill of Rights and are so

■ Lewis Napper: “I can get my message to millions of people without raising millions of dollars for my campaign.”

—dim that they require a Bill of No Rights.”

Those “No Rights” include such common-sense truths as “you do not have the right to a new car, big-screen color TV or any other form of wealth,” “you do not have the right to never be offended,” and “you do not have the right to free food and housing.”

“It seemed to me that every time the government attempted to ‘give’ everyone some new right, we actually wound up losing rights,” said Napper about his essay. “When the government gave everyone the ‘right to never be offended,’ we lost our rights to free speech. When the government gave everyone the ‘right to wealth,’ we lost the rights to our labor and property.”

When those first recipients got Napper’s Bill of No Rights, they forwarded it to a few friends. People without, forwarded it to their friends. And so on.

Now, seven years later, it has made Internet history.

Napper is inspired by the tremendous response to the Bill of No Rights,” Napper said. “From my home, at very little cost, I published for the Bill that has been read by millions of people from all over the world.”

“It’s been read on every continent,” he noted. “I even received e-mail from someone who read it while stationed in Antarctica.”

Wrote to an, “Your Bill of No Rights is a great piece of work! Now if we could just get two-thirds of both houses of Congress to pass it.”

And Napper’s personal favorite message: “I just read your stuff. Then I joined the Libertarian Party.”

Napper himself joined the LP in 1995 — and, five years later, launched his first run for office.

Secret weapon

And in his bid for the U.S. Senate, he has a secret weapon: A 30,000-name e-mail list built from respondents to the Bill of No Rights.

“I’m sending mail back to all of them now and asking for support,” he said. “And I’m asking them to tell everyone they know. It’s starting out, but I hope to demonstrate that the Internet has changed the rules. I can get my message to millions of people without raising millions of dollars for my campaign.”

Napper, who is not a professional writer, remains humble about his essay — and joked that he thinks his “sociopolitical analysis” of Gilligan’s Island is his best Web-published piece.

“Those ‘No Rights’ are part of the Bill of No Rights,” Napper said he believes the strength of the libertarian message, and not his own words, made it a success.

“I think it makes everyone feel like they’re not alone — it lets them know that lots of other people understand the pure nonsense of our politics,” he said. “The major parties always talk about rights, but never responsibilities. That’s why it struck a nerve.”

“The American people haven’t forgotten the importance of freedom; we just needed a forum to express it.”

For information on Lewis Napper’s U.S. Senate campaign, or to be added to his e-mail list, visit: www.lsrover.com

Senators embrace campaign

In Washington state, the LP is running 65 candidates for statewide and legislative offices — three times as many as all of the other minor parties combined and more than any minor party in the state’s history. In the last presidential election, all third parties ran a total of just 14 candidates. “We’ll win elections this year,” said State Chair Jocelyn Langlois. “We have a super team of candidates, campaign managers, and volunteers.”

In the District of Columbia, the LP is running candidates for the first time in 10 years. Bob Kampa will be on the ballot for DC Delegate to the U.S. House of Representatives and Matt Mercero is a candidate for an At-Large City Council seat.

In Delaware, Terri S. Lewis has announced her campaign for State House (District 28). In Missouri, Libertarian Mitch Moore will be the only “alternative” party candidate for Attorney General. In Alabama, John Rogers was elected to the Adamee City Council (Ward 5) on August 22. In Hawaii, Dick Rowland will run for Honolulu City Council in an election on September 23.

In Colorado, the state LP will do something no minor party has done in decades, if ever: Run nearly a full slate of legislative candidates. Libertarians are running in 68 of 65 State House races and 18 out of 20 State Senate races. In addition, Libertarians are running candidates for U.S. House seats. The LP candidates want to have this opportunity to give Colorado a government dedicated to protecting their rights,” said State Chair Bette Rose Smith.

In Florida, the state LP has announced its slate of candidates for public office: Charlie Westlake (U.S. House, 11th District), Darrell McCormick (U.S. Senate), John Wayne Smith (State House, District 42), Oscar Celico (Flagler County Sheriff), Joe Redner (Hillsborough County Commission, District 3), Bob Liddell (Orange County Commission Group 1), James Cookley (Seminole County Commission, 1), Zea Proctor (Seminole County Commission), Franklin Perez (Seminole County Commission, 9), Steve Snapper (Seminole County Clerk of Court), Dave Barron (Orange County Soil & Water Conservation Board, 5), Lisa Bullion (Orange County Soil & Water Conservation Board), 1), Jim Warmace (Orange County Soil & Water Conservation Board), 3), Brad Cline (Palm Beach County Soil & Water Conservation Board Group 4), Frank Longo (Palm Beach County Soil & Water Conservation Board Group #2), and Jon Kueny (Pasco County Soil & Water Conservation Board Group #4). As write-in candidates are: David Doubleday (State House, District 36) and Patrick McCarthy (Sarasota County Commission, 5).

In Massachusetts, LP candidate for U.S. House David Eucner debated incumbent Congressman Barney Frank on September 11. In Iowa, U.S. House candidate Ben Olson (5th District) is sending copies of his “One Size Fits All” to every mayor in the state. Gary Reams has announced he will run for Lt. Governor in 2001.

Wyoming Libertarians have nominated 10 candidates for office — “the largest slate I recall the Wyoming LP ever fielding,” said State Chair Dennis Brossman. On the ballot, Margaret Dawson (U.S. Senate), Lewis Stock (U.S. House), Mark Spungin (State House, District 5), Elmer Kubal (State House, 29), Maric Brossman (State House, 54), Patrick O’Reilly (State House, 10), Anne Durrey (Big Horn County Commissioner Anne Durrey), Jim Blomquist (Fremont County Commissioner), and Victor A. Wagner and Dennis Brossman (Teton County Commissioner).

In Vermont, the state LP has announced a slate of more than two dozen candidates, including: Dan Krykmowski (U.S. House, Hugh Douglas (U.S. Senate), Peter Baker (Auditor of Accounts), Chris Costanzo (State Senate), David McCullough (State Senator), Hardy McCoy (State Senator), Scott Berkey (State Senate), Stephen Pollak (State Senator), Christopher Coolidge (State Senator), Louis Costanzo (State Senator), Ian Hardy (State Senator), Al Brown (State Senator), Robert Duce (State Senator), David Baker (State Senator), Larry Curtis (State House), Lynn Fife (State House), Mike Beaufort (State House), Joanna Chappell (State House), Charles Arnold (State Senate), Ross Hardy (State Senate), Steve Melville (State House), and Jeff Pascoe (State House).
Vote Buchanan off the island!

By David Nolan

I f we can get thousands of people to adopt the strategy I am suggesting here, I believe we can significantly improve Harry Browne’s visibility, credibility, and media coverage.

Best of all, this strategy takes virtually no effort — only a conscious decision to discuss the upcoming Presidential election in a different way. The idea for this strategy came about as a result of the main news on TV show Survivor... which, I will confess, I never watch. (At least not the people who did, and the metaphor of “voting people off the island” is now a part of our popular culture.)

I believe it’s time to vote Pat Buchanan off the island!

Divided we fail

The recent fracas in the Reform Party, with two rival factions now both claiming to be the “true” Reform Party, has destroyed what little credibility they once had. In eight short years, they completed the arc from “United We Stand” to “Divided We Fall.” The latest polls show Pitchfork Pat (sounds vaguely Satanic to me!) running neck-and-neck with Harry at 1%. Ralph Nader is hovering at 2%-3%. Yet the media continue to write about Nader and Buchanan as “the alternative candidates.”

I believe we can get media to adopt this new paradigm. The mostly liberal media don’t like Buchanan anyhow, and the problem he’s now having make him less credible with every passing day. I think that many people in the media are comfortable with the idea of a four-way race, provided they can easily “pigeonhole” the four candidates. In fact, they’re more comfortable with a four-way race than with a three-way race (if Nader is #3) because they’re afraid that in a three-way race Nader will take votes from Gore — which he will — and want a fourth alternative on “the other side.”

Now we, as Libertarians, know that the old-fashioned left-right political spectrum is bogus ... but a lot of people, even those in the media, still think in those terms. And we can use that perception to help Harry.

The position we should be taking from now on is that there are four (and only four) credible candidates in this year’s Presidential election:

**Ralph Nader**, who wants complete government control of our lives.

**Al Gore**, who wants much more government than we have now.

**Harry Browne**, who wants much less government than we have now.

Thus, from now on, I urge all Libertarians to talk in terms of these four — and only these four.

— as the “serious candidates.”

Nader mention Buchanan, unless someone else brings up his name, and if that happens, dismiss him as “not credible.” Or say, “Didn’t he lose that fight within the Reform Party?”

If you write to the Commission on Presidential Debates (1300 New Hampshire Avenue NW, Box 445, Washington, DC 20036) asking that Nader and Browne be included in the debates, Don’t mention Buchanan! Likewise, when you write letters to your local newspaper, or to your favorite talk show, always talk about how Nader and Browne should be included in the debates. When the Buchanan Campaign puts out news releases, always take this same line.

Unperson

In short, as I said earlier, let’s do everything we can to Vote Buchanan Off The Island! Try to make him an “unperson,” as was done to dissenters in the former Soviet Union.

**Will this strategy succeed?** I can’t guarantee it, but I think our chances are good of defining the field of four than by trying to expand the media’s cover — and the public’s perception — of a field of five.

If you agree with me, spread the word!

**About the author:** David Nolan is one of the founding members of the Libertarian Party. He currently is a candidate for the House in California (District 47).

How to sell gun rights to voters

From time to time the press will raise the issue of gun rights in a tragic event in which a child is killed in a gun accident. It provides an opportunity for politicians and reformers to specify about the need to pass stricter gun laws — laws that will require safety locks on all guns that will force gun owners to keep all guns in locked storage, even laws to make it harder for someone to buy a gun. Why does the press bother to report the tragic gun accident?

Because it is an extraordinary event, like an earthquake big enough to cause fatalities, the rarity of a gun accidentally killing a child makes it newsworthy. But that’s the legendary “Man Bites Dog!” story.

But thousands of children are killed in car accidents every year. Why don’t you see reports of these auto accidents on the TV news? Because they are too common, too many. It is to be news — events no more unusual than “Dog Bites Man.”

The death of any child or adult is a tragedy. Life is the most precious gift a human being possesses. But if the death of a child from a gun accident justifies taking from a freedoms from people, why doesn’t the death of a child from an auto accident justify laws that would keep children away from cars?

Solving problems by (surprise!) making friends

A stand-off with the Johnson Co. Auditor ended when Libertarians looked for areas of agreement.

From the editor: Earlier this year, the Johnson County Auditor’s office in Iowa refused to include Libertarians on its early public list of candidates. The office argued that “minor party candidates filed in March — and were immediately included on the list — but minor party candidates did not file (and wouldn’t be listed) until August.

The county LP worked out a compromise that would allow third-party candidates to file with the Auditor’s office “declarations of intent” to run — which eliminated the five-month lag before they could be listed. Here’s the behind-the-scenes story of how this solution was reached.

Fights are exciting. You cheer for us and boo for them. It gets your blood racing. At the end of a fight stand a victor and a vanquished.

But there is more to politics than fighting. Sometimes it can be kind of friendly, depending on the players.

Recent adventures with my county auditor, Tom Slockett, started out like a fight. The Johnson County Auditor’s office preserves different rules for official parties versus unofficial parties (like Libertarians). It punishes unofficial parties at a disadvantage, they have to wait more than four extra months to get official recognition. The difference in delays affects media coverage, voter knowledge, and participation in candidate debates.

**Shut us out**

After exchanging several e-mails with the county auditor’s office, they continued to shut us out by refusing to change nothing in their procedures. In early May, they said their decision was final.

Then a Wisconsin LP member exchanged some e-mails with the auditor. In early June, Mr. Slockett responded to him, writing, “I agree with you regarding equal treatment. I apparently was misinformed if you heard in Wisconsin anything to the contrary.”

Well, that was a different than what I’d heard, and I live a lot closer than Wisconsin.

Before the face-off regarding official recognition, I’d collected other, more favorable, impressions of the auditor. I decided that his response gave me a chance to reopen the discussion over the phone. Until that point, I had not communicated directly with Mr. Slockett himself about the issue. I started with our point of agreement — all candidates deserve equal access. From there I learned that he thought I had expected him to determine on his own who was an official candidate and who wasn’t. His concern was that he would overlook a candidate and be blamed for bias. As I talked, I learned about other points of agreement, like the belief that the primary election system is welfare for official parties. He confirmed my earlier impressions that he strongly believed in open, accessible government.

So I sprung on him an idea generated by Tim Borchardt, the candidate I was arguing for. A simple to draw short line way (I knew candidates would be enough to clarify intentions and it would take the auditor off the hook for calling in to talk. I agreed with the idea, and over the following three weeks we worked out an arrangement that satisfied both the auditor’s office and us Libertarians.

**Face-to-face**

I didn’t force a victory; I simply showed Mr. Slockett what he could do to further his own agenda. In a friendly face-to-face meeting later, I gave him the World’s Smallest Political Quiz, and learned that he is a three-quarters libertarian.

I’m hoping that someday he will heed his strong tendencies toward libertarianism, and join us on gun rights for open, accessible, limited government.

Fights are exciting, but sometimes it’s more rewarding to make friends.

**About the author:** Christy Ann Welly is the chair of the Johnson County Libertarian Party, Iowa, and a candidate for Iowa House (District 50).

Rights & Freedoms

The answer stems from a simple truth: Few people care about the rights of others. Most of us care only about the rights and freedoms that affect our own lives.

Almost every adult drives a car and accepts the risks that go with driving an automobile. To forcibly keep children away from cars would inconvenience most families so much that the idea could gain the support of almost no one — except perhaps the Vice-President of the United States.

But that’s about half of American families own guns. The other half includes people who, for one reason or another, see no need to own a gun — in some cases because they are afraid of guns.
Selling gun rights with the safety argument

Continued from Page 1

Those people can easily believe that reducing gun ownership will save lives without inconvenience them in any way.

Politicians are particularly prone to this attitude. Most of them work in buildings with heavy security, many of them have armed chauffeurs and armed guards. So they don't feel exposed upon when restrictive gun laws prevent average citizens from defending themselves.

Also, politicians respect the political influence wielded by many gun-control advocates. Some of those advocates run America's biggest newspapers or are wealthy Hollywood celebrities. Why shouldn't politicians pander to these gun-control advocates who can so much to help their careers — especially when the politicians feel no need to own guns themselves?

Non-Gun-Owners

We may never change the minds of politicians or the gun-control advocates. So our efforts should be directed toward the rest of the people who don't own guns.

And the first point to keep in mind is this: You will get nowhere by preaching your right to keep and bear arms. Very few people care about rights they don't plan to exercise themselves.

To them, it doesn't matter that the Founding Fathers meant the Second Amendment to provide unqualified gun ownership for citizens, and it doesn't matter that the right to be armed against a potential tyranny may be the most important right of all.

You might be able to win debates about such arguments, but you won't win converts. And what's the point of winning debates if you don't convert anyone?

Make them safer

I know of only one way to bring non-gun-owners over to our side: By showing them that widespread gun ownership makes them safer.

Here are some points that can help you persuade them...

If you're ever in a restaurant and a maniac starts shooting people around, I hope someone in that restaurant will have a gun that can stop the assailant.

I doubt that I would take advantage myself of a law allowing people to carry concealed weapons, but I feel safer in a community where anyone I see might be carrying a concealed gun — so that any criminal has to wonder whether he have a gun.

Although you hear about unusual accidents in which guns have killed children, you don't hear of the thousands of commonplace events in which a home containing children was defended from an intruder by a gun owner — or even defended by a child with a gun.

Nor do you hear about the criminals who were deterred from entering a neighborhood where they didn't know which houses might contain guns. Your home is safer if some of your neighbors happen to have guns.

Criminals rarely buy guns in gun stores or at gun shows, because they don't want guns traced back to them. They buy their guns in the underworld or simply steal them. So they are rarely affected by gun-control laws. The number of criminals nabbed by such laws is microscopic compared to the number of innocent citizens who were prevented by waiting periods from buying guns when threatened by a stalker or a violent ex-spouse.

Like most laws, gun control hurts the innocent far more than the guilty. And the more the innocent are deprived of owning guns, the less safe you are.

Women especially need access to guns to protect them from stronger men who might assault them on city streets or in their own homes. To prevent them from carrying guns is to deny them the only way to resist an attacker.

The police can't stop an intruder, mugger, or stalker from hurting you. They can purhase him only after he has hurt or killed you. Protecting yourself from harm is your responsibility, and you are far less likely to be hurt in a neighborhood of gun-owners than in one of disarmed citizens.

It is unrealistic to say such things as "But no one needs an assault rifle." How can we know that? If you were a store owner during the Los Angeles riots and a mob was about to enter your store to destroy your life savings, which would you have wanted in your hand — a knife, a 6-bullet revolver, or an assault rifle?

Giving politicians the power to decide what you need and don't need is to force you to live your life according to their needs and circumstances — making you vulnerable to any whim that strikes the politicians.

Understand that none of these points is likely to convert someone overnight. But your prospect will actually listen to you when you discuss these things, because you're talking about matters that affect his life directly. And as he considers more of these matters, he is likely to come to some conclusion, even if it's diametral opposed, then grow even more open-minded, and eventually become your ally.

That's how so many people have come to want an end to the Drug War — a step at a time — 100% of the people.

Here's where you come in.

Be persuasive

The ability to keep and bear arms is one of the most important rights you have. So it's essential that you be as persuasive as possible. Don't waste the opportunity by preaching about your right to do what you want.

Instead, agree with the person's concern for safety — so he knows you want a more peaceful society. Then you can help him understand how much safer he'll be in a society of armed citizens, rather than living in one where only criminals and government employees have guns.

About the author: Harry Browne is the Libertarian Party's presidential candidate.

HARRY BROWNE:

"You'll get nowhere by proclaiming your right to keep and bear arms."

The Power of Just One Signature

Do you think that our government has gotten too big and too powerful? Do you think a maze of bureaucrats and politicians are chipping away at the freedoms Americans used to take for granted? Do you think the Bill of Rights is being silently repealed — one precious freedom at a time?

You can help change that by joining the Libertarian Party! We're the only political party that is fighting — with 100% of our energy — to lower taxes, to reduce the size of government, and to defend the Bill of Rights. With your support — and your proud signature on this membership form — we can work harder than ever to build a powerful political force for freedom.

But we need your help to do it.

Make a stand for freedom! Join the Libertarian Party today!
What have you done for freedom today?

By David Haarmeyer & Elizabeth Larson

What do you do with a homeless peacock? Zookeepers had to deal with such problems when the Petting Zoo at Manhattan’s Central Park closed in 1991 because of New York City’s ongoing problem with petting zoo’s inhabitants found new homes with local families. Fortunately for both zoo animals and the neighborhood, many cities are privatizing their zoos rather than letting the gates close forever.

For years, private zoological societies worked alongside city zoo officials, raising funds and running education programs and concessions stands. Now, as local governments are forced to rein in runaway budgets, zoological societies are taking charge of operating entire zoos.

Nearly 40% of the 165 American zoo members accredited by the American Zoological Association—among them, zoos in Fort Worth, Cincinnati, New Orleans, San Diego, and Jackson, Mississippi—are run by private, nonprofit societies.

And that figure is on the rise: Officials at Buffalo, St. Louis, Louisville, Milwaukee, Fresno, California, and Birmingham, Alabama, among other U.S. cities, are now considering privatization as well.

Not surprising

The success of privately financed and managed zoos should not be surprising. After all, running a zoo is a formidable problem of managing resources.

Like large corporations, good zoos operate by balancing a number of potentially conflicting objectives: education, research, conservation, fundraising, concessions, and operating the zoo.

The fact that privately operated zoos have received more funding than ever before is a testament to the effectiveness of private management. A well-managed zoo can make a significant contribution to the community by providing educational programs, conservation efforts, and research.

And by taking advantage of the expertise of zoologists and other professionals, privately operated zoos can provide high-quality exhibits and programs that are both educational and entertaining.

So how many votes will Harry Browne get in November? And what have you done for freedom today?
Button outreach
I wear my Harry Browne buttons everywhere I go. It pays...

Tonight at dinner, as I walked through the restaurant, returning from my errand to fetch a drink, I was stopped by a gentleman and his family. He asked, "Excuse me, but where did you get the Harry Browne button?"

I stopped and answered, "From the Harry Browne store at www.harrybrowne.org."

I spoke to the man for a little while, letting him know what other great things he could get from the store, like yard signs and bumper stickers. I also asked if he was going to waste his vote for one of those other guys.

Finally, I took the button right off my own shirt and handed it to him, for the purpose of his wearing it everywhere he goes. He smiled. I hope he really does...and that he really does not waste his vote. I believe our conversation will help with both.

When I got back to my table, I took out one of the several spare buttons I carry with me and replaced the one on my shirt. After all, people really do like it when you take the button right off your own shirt and give it to them. I was prepared.

This has happened to me several times already since the National convention. If only we would all wear Harry Browne buttons everywhere we go. It gets the word out. It shows swelling grassroots support. It allows you to meet nice people. And it gives you an opportunity to talk about our state activities and candidates as well.

This is a true story. Honest.
— Dr. Helmut Forren
Duluth, Georgia

Politically funny
I would like to commend Harry Browne for an excellent appearance on Politically Incorrect with Bill Maher (ABC television, August 24).

Harry demonstrated great poise, as well as a good sense of humor.

Even Bill, who claims to be a libertarian but isn't (yet), when asked by one of his other guests if he would vote for Harry, said he would. Way to go, Harry!
— Robert J. Howard
Houston TX

I TOOK THE button right off my shirt and handed it to him.
He smiled.

Freedom Song
I think Harry Browne needs a campaign song, and I think I have the perfect one! It was a #1 hit way back in 1968, for the Rascals, called "People Got to Be Free."

If you're not familiar with this catchy yet meaningful song, here is a sample verse and chorus:

"If there's a man who's down and needs a helping hand / All it takes is you to understand and pull him through / Seems to me we got to solve it individually / And I'll do unto you what you do to me...

"All the world over, so easy to see / People everywhere just wanna be free / I can't understand it, so simple to me / People everywhere just got to be free."

Anyway, I think this song would be a great addition to Harry Browne's campaign, and could be played at his many appearances. It could help to further associate Freedom with Harry Browne and the LP.
— Tom Parker
Louisville, Colorado

More winners
In the August issue of LP News, we ran an ad which listed the winners of the Advocates for Self-Government's 1999 Lights of Liberty awards. In the September issue, I wrote a letter correcting some errors we made in that ad. Alas, we missed something else!

David T. Terry should have been listed in Oregon instead of Ohio. And we found out about the following winners that we didn't know about:

- From Kansas, Mike Beart, Kaler Hebran, David Moffett, and Steven A. Rosile.
- From Maryland, Ron Keen.
- From South Carolina, Douglas Wilson.
- From Texas, Greg Fisher, from Utah, Kayle Castleton; and from Washington, Chris Wissell.

This brings the number to 190 winners in 38 states. Congratulations!

Remember that the 2000 elections are coming soon. To order copies of THE MAILBOX page 32
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"It's the best for new libertarians!" — Ken Schoolland
Send $6.95 (includes postage) to: SIL, Box 1024, Rochester NY 14610

The Pulse
51% say: Take the money
It's our money in the first place. It will help level the playing field. And it could be used to reimburse the LP for the cost of better access.

These are some of the arguments LP News readers used to make the case that the Libertarian Party's presidential candidate should accept federal campaign money.

In this month's unscientific poll, a slim majority — 51% — said the party should take money from the Federal Election Commission, if our presidential candidate qualifies in the future. (That includes Libertarians who want to take the money to return it to taxpayers, or use it to publicize the foolishness of federal campaign funding.)

No, no, no, say other LP News readers.

Federal campaign funds compromise Libertarian principles. It could cause the party to disintegrate like the Reform Party. And it would make us vulnerable to charges of hypocrisy. Those are some of the reasons why 49% said the party should refuse the money.

Another 0% said the candidate should decide, or did not give a definitive answer.

Interestingly, this is the third time LP News has polled readers on this topic since 1998, with the pro-"take the money" viewpoint winning each time with majorities between of 51% and 58%. The anti-federal funds perspective has held steady at between 39% and 43%.

Here is a representative sample of the responses:

- YES. Matching funds is the same as attending government schools, using public transportation, or attending government-subsidized art museums. Like matching funds, these are all programs that Libertarians disagree with and will end.
— Jeff Zweber, Kettering, Ohio

- NO. Our party has appeal because it is principled. We are Libertarians, not the Hypocrites.
— Eric Andrew Rowley, Simi Valley, California

- MAYBE. The party should allow its candidates to make this decision on an individual basis. It is he or she who is running for office and his personal moral sense that should be the guide.
— Lowell Berman, Chicago, Illinois

- YES. We can either be a viable political party or a philosophy discussion group. Until we get some people elected and get rid of the matching funds, we're shooting ourselves in the foot by not taking them. We paid the taxes; take the funds.
— Bernard Dalsey, Whitewater, Wisconsin

- NO. We have already refused "matching funds" on principle. A party that changes its principles has no principles.
— Grant Kuhns, Carlsbad, California

- YES. Libertarians pay taxes too. We should redistribute our money back to our candidates. Stop giving our money to their candidates.
— Jack D. Null, Fiarborn, Ohio

- YES. The Libertarian Party should take federal campaign funds, but then, with great fanfare, return them to some taxpayers at gas stations, IRS audit offices, etc.
— Harold Leendecker, Clearwater, Florida

- NO. Don't take the 30 pieces of silver! Look what $12.5 million did to the Reform Party. Our principles are not the means to our end. Our principles are our end.
— Edward Teyssier, San Diego, California

- NO. [In] the 1996 election, I cast my vote for Harry Browne for President. The main reason: I learned he had
Continued from Page 31

refused to accept matching funds. The party had principles and was sticking to them.
— Steve Orange, Georgetown, Texas

■ YES. The principle is that people shouldn’t take other people’s money, not that the victims shouldn’t try to get their money back. If a mugger takes my money and later offers to give me $10 back, we should take it.
— Jennifer Kerns, Gainesville, FL

■ NO. My local newspaper had a cartoon of a car with a “Reform Party” license plate and the wheels coming off in all directions. But what caused the Reform Party to implode? I think we all know the reason. The Reform Party’s $12.5 million [in government funds].
— Sue Smart, Omaha, Nebraska

■ YES. The money has already been taken from the taxpayers and if it is refused, the government will just waste it on something worthless. Personally, I would be glad to see my tax dollars going to solve the problem.
— Paul W. Champion, Holly, Michigan

■ NO. We are not a party that is merely arguing over how to rob the public kitty, how to waste the loot. To take some of that loot will sully our image.
— David Argall, La Puente, California

■ YES. In a perfect world we would not take government money for our campaign. But since we are not in the perfect world yet, we most certainly should take the money.
— Dick Frain, Buena Park, California

Dec. Question: What do women want?

Here’s your chance to play political analyst, or sociologist, or biologist, or anthropologist, or whatever scientific discipline can answer the vexing question: Why don’t more women support the Libertarian Party? The problem is serious: According to a new Rasmussen poll, 2.4% of men support Brownie Harry for President — but only 0.4% of women. That’s a six-to-one gender gap. The same survey said 29% of men describe themselves as “ libertarian” — but only 1.5% of women do. That’s a two-to-one gap. And anecdotal evidence suggests men outnumber women as LP members by about three to one. So why aren’t more women Libertarians? Is it the LP’s philosophy? Or the way it’s presented? The issues the party focuses on? Or is it some fundamental difference between the sexes? Cultural? Biological? Social? What?

■ QUESTION: Why don’t more women support the Libertarian Party? (Keep answers to 100 words or less.)
■ DEADLINE: November 5, 2000

Nov. Question: No to Bush/Gore?

As a Libertarian, your job on Election Day (November 7) is to try to convince your friends and family to vote for Libertarian Brownie Harry — and to not “waste their vote” on George W. Bush or Al Gore. So, to help you sharpen your persuasion skills, let’s ask the question now: What is the single best reason for someone to vote against Gore or Bush? In other words, if either Gush or Gore is elected, what is the worst thing that will happen to America? Or, what’s the worst program they’re proposing? The most irritating lie they’ve told? The most outrageous statement they’ve made? (In your answer, you can focus on either Bush or Gore, or both.)

■ QUESTION: What is the single best reason why people should vote against George W. Bush or Al Gore? (Keep answers to 100 words or less, please.)
■ DEADLINE: October 5, 2000

ENTRY GUIDELINES: Include name and city/state; anonymous answers won’t be tallied. Enter just once per month.
— E-mail: Bill.Winter@hq.LP.org. (Please include “Pulse” in subject line)
— Fax: (202) 333-0072 (Attn: The Pulse).
— Mail: Libertarian Party, Attn: LP News/The Pulse, 2000 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100, Washington DC 20037

WHERE’S THE gun to your head forcing you to buy a computer in the first place?

The Libertarian campaign you’ve been waiting for!

Carla Howell Needs Your Help to Challenge Sen. Ted Kennedy small government is beautiful

SEE OUR AD ON PAGE 7
Do You Have a Strategy for Safety When Today’s Investment Mania Is Over?

Discover what is really unfolding in the financial markets and the economy. Read At the Crest of the Tidal Wave. The historical perspective presented in this long term analysis is the result of 17 years of study. If you read it while there is still time to act, it could save your portfolio.

“A brilliant and important piece of research.” — Paul Tudor Jones II, President, Tudor Investment Corp.

“Not only does Bob have a grasp of what is truly happening underneath the surface but the book is filled with long-term financial data that is worth a fortune. Great stuff!” — C.B., Switzerland

“...All investors should read this book.” — Bill Griffeth, CNBC

“The feeling in the land today is that all investments always go up long term. At the Crest reminds us that there have been times in history when they actually go down for protracted periods; and explains why we may be on the verge of another such time. Today’s wildly bullish money managers need to be aware of Bob Prechter and his arguments, whether they agree with him or not.” — Jim Rogers, author of Investment Biker

“His analysis is a must for would-be investors.” — Martin Baker, International Herald Tribune

You may or may not agree with his forecasts, but as a serious investor, you owe it to yourself to consider the overwhelming evidence presented in this book before making your next investment decision. By reading it now, you will learn how you can protect your assets from the coming investment tidal wave and be one of the few able to profit when the coming opportunities arise.

Just $49 plus postage
(U.S. & Canada add $5 postage; overseas add $16; GA residents add sales tax)

BONUS!
Order now and we’ll send you Robert Prechter’s up-to-date analysis on stocks, bonds, precious metals and the economy absolutely FREE!

Our No-Risk Guarantee: Return the book in original condition within 30 days of purchase for a 100% refund of the purchase price.
Continued From Page 30

Evening and Falls with Worth Zoo came out ining of California is ing privatization. Southern California is home to what is probably the best known private zoo in the United States. Since opening in 1922, the San Diego Zoo and Wild Animal Park have been in the hands of the San Diego Zoologi cal Society.

Thanks to the society's nearly 200,000 members — only two per cent of the zoo and park's $480 million operating budget comes from the local government.

18,000 visitors

More than 18,000 visitors came out for the grand re-opening of the recently privatized Fort Worth Zoo last spring.

An editorial in the Fort Worth Evening Star-Telegram hailed the "new primate house, the Asian Falls with its 40-foot waterfall and the natural environment that has been created for some of the world's most wonderful crea tures," as well as one local family whose generous donations to the zoo made many of the renovations and new exhibits possible.

The paper's editorial staff also pointed out that while many might have originally thought privatizing meant restricting access to the public, privatization of the Fort Worth Zoo encouraged "the generosity of a few private citizens" and, thanks to their don ations, the zoo has actually been "opened to more people than ever before."

Not all zoos have cut them selves off from public funds cold turkey, however. In 1978, officials in Knoxville, Tennessee, turned over the city zoo to the private, nonprofit Knoxville Zoological Society. It was understood at the time, says the zoo's director, Ted Beattie, that the zoo would eventually become completely self-supporting.

The society now receives just $400,000 of its $2.9 million annual operating budget from the city government.

Another privatized zoo that has all but weaned itself from public funds is the Audubon Zoo and Aquarium in New Orleans. The Audubon Institute Inc., runs the zoo and aquarium on a $20 million annual operating budget with 600 employees.

The city has given the Audubon Institute about $1 million over the last three years, none of which was used to balance the books; it has all gone to capital improvements. Audubon Institute officials hope to turn their zoo into "a regional Smithsonian" and are planning a breeding center for endangered species.

Long-term interest

While a few such private zoos still accept government subsidies, most believe that getting government out of the zoo business is in their long-term interest.

In Boston, the state-operated Franklin Park Zoo will soon be turned over to the Commonwealth Corporation, which will work alongside the Commonwealth Zoological Association. State em ployees of the zoo, which currently has a $2.85-million budget, are expected to be employed eventually by the private corporation.

Private zookeepers are success fully rejuvenating troubled zoos because, unlike their public counterparts, they have clear incentives to manage their zoos as they would a business.

As with any business, the cus tomer is king, and with today's heightened awareness of environmental issues, zoos patrons want to visit animals that are healthy and well cared for.

A new 88-million aquarium ac ross the river in Camden, New Jersey as one of the subsidiary's latest success stories.

America's oldest zoo is not the only model for officials consider ing privatization. Southern Cali fornia is home to what is probably the best known private zoo in the United States. Since opening in 1922, the San Diego Zoo and Wild Animal Park have been in the hands of the San Diego Zoological Society.

Thanks to the society's nearly 200,000 members — only two per cent of the zoo and park's $480 million operating budget comes from the local government.

18,000 visitors

More than 18,000 visitors came out for the grand re-opening of the recently privatized Fort Worth Zoo last spring.

An editorial in the Fort Worth Evening Star-Telegram hailed the "new primate house, the Asian Falls with its 40-foot waterfall and the natural environment that has been created for some of the world's most wonderful creatures," as well as one local family whose generous donations to the zoo made many of the renovations and new exhibits possible.

The paper's editorial staff also pointed out that while many might have originally thought privatizing meant restricting access to the public, privatization of the Fort Worth Zoo encouraged "the generosity of a few private citizens" and, thanks to their donations, the zoo has actually been "opened to more people than ever before."

Not all zoos have cut themselves off from public funds cold turkey, however. In 1978, officials in Knoxville, Tennessee, turned over the city zoo to the private, nonprofit Knoxville Zoological Society. It was understood at the time, says the zoo's director, Ted Beattie, that the zoo would eventually become completely self-supporting.

The society now receives just $400,000 of its $2.9 million annual operating budget from the city government.

Another privatized zoo that has all but weaned itself from public funds is the Audubon Zoo and Aquarium in New Orleans. The Audubon Institute Inc., runs the zoo and aquarium on a $20 million annual operating budget with 600 employees.

The city has given the Audubon Institute about $1 million over the last three years, none of which was used to balance the books; it has all gone to capital improvements. Audubon Institute officials hope to turn their zoo into "a regional Smithsonian" and are planning a breeding center for endangered species.

Long-term interest

While a few such private zoos still accept government subsidies, most believe that getting government out of the zoo business is in their long-term interest.

In Boston, the state-operated Franklin Park Zoo will soon be turned over to the Commonwealth Corporation, which will work alongside the Commonwealth Zoological Association. State employees of the zoo, which currently has a $2.85-million budget, are expected to be employed eventually by the private corporation.

Private zookeepers are successfully rejuvenating troubled zoos because, unlike their public counterparts, they have clear incentives to manage their zoos as they would a business.

As with any business, the customer is king, and with today's heightened awareness of environmental issues, zoo patrons want to visit animals that are healthy and well cared for.

Knoxville city government.

Another privatized zoo that has all but weaned itself from public funds is the Audubon Zoo and Aquarium in New Orleans. The Audubon Institute Inc., runs the zoo and aquarium on a $20 million annual operating budget with 600 employees.

The city has given the Audubon Institute about $1 million over the last three years, none of which was used to balance the books; it has all gone to capital improvements. Audubon Institute officials hope to turn their zoo into "a regional Smithsonian" and are planning a breeding center for endangered species.

Long-term interest

While a few such private zoos still accept government subsidies, most believe that getting government out of the zoo business is in their long-term interest.

In Boston, the state-operated Franklin Park Zoo will soon be turned over to the Commonwealth Corporation, which will work alongside the Commonwealth Zoological Association. State employees of the zoo, which currently has a $2.85-million budget, are expected to be employed eventually by the private corporation.

Private zookeepers are successfully rejuvenating troubled zoos because, unlike their public counterparts, they have clear incentives to manage their zoos as they would a business.

As with any business, the customer is king, and with today's heightened awareness of environmental issues, zoo patrons want to visit animals that are healthy and well cared for.

Because funding is directly tied to the satisfaction of a zoo's visitors, private zoos are proving themselves not just better at balancing their budgets but also at acting as caretakers of animals and teachers of men.

About the authors: Elizabeth Larson, a former editor of The Defender legal journal, is a freelance writer in Los Angeles. David Haarrneyer is a policy analyst in Washington, DC. This article appeared in Private Cures for Public Ills: The Promise of Privatization, edited by Lawrence W. Reed and published by the Foundation for Economic Education, Inc. (1996). It is reprinted with permission. To contact the Foundation for Economic Education, call (914) 591-7230.
It's A TWO-MAN RACE Will You Help Win It?

Libertarian Charlie Westlake of Tampa is in a tight race with Democratic Congressman Jim Davis. Do you care about these issues?

■ The 2nd Amendment?
■ Smaller government?
■ Property rights?
■ Privacy?

If so, please go to our website and help advance the cause of liberty by making a donation. Or mail to: P.O. Box 22601, Tampa, FL 33622. E-mail: CWestlake@WestlakeforCongress.com www.WestlakeforCongress.com

The Daughters of Lady Liberty Society are proud to bring you this magnificent, full-color pin depicting our Lady Liberty in defense of her second amendment.

To appreciate this powerful, full-color image, and to order this spectacular pin, log on to: www.dolls.citybest.com

ORDER YOUR LADY LIBERTY PIN TODAY! Pins will be sold in support of the private party.

Libertarian Charlie Westlake of Tampa is in a tight race with Democratic Congressman Jim Davis. Do you care about these issues?

■ The 2nd Amendment?
■ Smaller government?
■ Property rights?
■ Privacy?

If so, please go to our website and help advance the cause of liberty by making a donation. Or mail to: P.O. Box 22601, Tampa, FL 33622. E-mail: CWestlake@WestlakeforCongress.com www.WestlakeforCongress.com
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Getting the word out...

When vitamins are outlawed, only outlaw will have vitamins
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FIRST GUN CONTROL THEN TOTAL CONTROL
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Libertarian National Committee, Inc.
Watergate Office Building
2600 Virginia Avenue, NW, Suite 100
Washington DC 20037
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- PAGE 1 Arizona court case holds up 50-state status
- PAGE 1 Harry Browne polling at 3%-4% in at least three states
- PAGE 3 New survey says 16% of voters are libertarian
- PAGE 12 LP appears on ABC's 20/20

1stWord

"Without question, [Harry] Browne isn't everyone's cup of tea. His views will especially rankle that portion of America that has grown dependent on federal programs, the people who view life through a lens of entitlements. But his voice is one that needs to be heard. It would be a loss to the American people not to see and hear him during the presidential debates.

― Jill "J.R." Labree, The Star-Telegram, (Fort Worth, Texas), August 31, 2000"

"Looking for a refreshing change of pace from the Republicans and Democrats? Check out Harry Browne, the Libertarian Party's nominee for president. We hope that Browne is included in this fall's presidential debates. He offers a principled alternative that should be heard."

― Orange County Register (California), August 9, 2000"