Libertarian appeal further complicates Ohio governor’s race

County boards of election left in difficult situation as Libertarians seek right to be on ballot
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CHILlicothe — A Thursday appeal brought by the Libertarian Party of Ohio against a federal judge’s order striking the party's gubernatorial candidate from the May 6 primary ballot further complicates the situation for county boards of elections.

Following a directive from Ohio's elections chief, the Ross County Board of Elections is expected to remove Libertarian candidates for governor and attorney general from the May 6 ballot. The directive was issued Wednesday after Ohio Secretary of State Jon Husted's decision to disqualify Libertarian gubernatorial candidate Charlie Earl and attorney general candidate Steven Linnabary was upheld by U.S. District Court Judge Michael Watson.

Husted had disqualified Earl and Linnabary after their nominating petitions were challenged on two grounds: That signature gatherers failed to comply with Ohio laws requiring them to be either Libertarian or political independents and another requiring them to disclose their employer.
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Earl’s candidacy would have the potential to draw votes from Republican Gov. John Kasich as the incumbent faces likely Democratic challenger Ed FitzGerald, the Cuyahoga County executive.

Nora Madru, Ross County elections director, said she received an email from Husted’s office after Wednesday's ruling that directed her office to remove the candidates from the ballot. The county’s Board of Elections has called a meeting for 3:15 p.m. today at its office, in part, so Madru can explain the situation to members.

“At the moment, we already have the ballots (with Earl's and Linnabary's names) ready and we’ll have to bring our software people in to change (the voting machines),” Madru said Thursday.

Madru said — prior to the appeal being filed — that legal action on the matter was pending, which gave her some cause for concern that if the decision was reversed again it could cause problems, especially if it’s closer to the primary. At that point, some people already might have voted and some machines already might have been delivered to their polling places, she said.

Attorneys for the Libertarian party have asked the 6th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals in Cincinnati to compel Ohio’s elections chief to ask for an exemption to avoid printing the primary ballots, which are slated to be mailed to certain military personnel and overseas voters on Saturday. Some local election boards already have printed primary ballots, while others can print them right before mailing them.

Separately, Linnabary is asking the Ohio Supreme Court [to] force Husted to certify his candidacy and place him on the ballot.

Attorneys for Linnabary argue the man who protested his petitions lacked standing to do so.
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Theodora “Tonie” Nathan, the woman who was the vice presidential candidate for the first Libertarian Party ticket in 1972 (underneath philosopher John Hospers, who was a gay man), has died at age 91, the Libertarian Party reports.

It is a shame that her historical status for the advancement of woman’s role in what had been entirely a man’s world has been little noted or long remembered, mostly I suspect because the Libertarian Party is not much respected by institutional feminism (though it should be).

In an example of the charmingly ramshackle quality of the L.P. as it launched, as I wrote in my book Radicals for Capitalism: A Freewheeling History of the Modern American Libertarian Movement, Nathan, then a “TV producer from Oregon with a left-liberal and then (after being converted by her son, home from the navy with a fresh yen for Rand) Objectivist background, showed up to cover the convention. Because of some well-phrased comments from the floor during debates over the platform and statement of principles that impressed the rest of the delegation, she left as a candidate for vice president of the United States.”

Although only on the ballot in two states, the Hospers-Nathan ticket won the vote of renegade Republican elector Roger MacBride of Virginia (who went on to be the next presidential candidate for the L.P.)

As I wrote in Radicals:
MacBride has alerted the media beforehand of his intentions, under a strict embargo. For the first time in its history, the room where Virginia’s electors met was flooded with klieg lights as most of the electors passed in their preprinted ballots with Nixon’s name on them. MacBride crossed out the president’s name and wrote in Hospers’s. When the vote count for vice president was announced, Theodora Nathan... had become, to the puzzled man reading the results, “Theodore”.....

But she was not Theodore; she was Theodora Nathan, and she was the first woman to receive an electoral vote for vice president. Also, as the L.P. notes, the first Jewish person to receive an electoral vote for vice president.

The very idea might have seemed crazy in 1972; but she and L.P. were pioneers in opening space for new ideas and new types of people in American politics. What would have seemed unthinkable to a “respectable” Party then was a no-brainer for the pioneers of radical libertarianism in American partisan politics.

Nathan stayed active in the L.P. the rest of her life, running for many elective offices and holding Party offices, and announced Gary Johnson as the Party’s presidential nominee from the convention floor in 2012.

She was as helpful and encouraging as she could be to me when I interviewed her for Radicals, and I personally appreciate her efforts on behalf of libertarian ideas in politics as well as her personal kindesses.
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On March 11, a special election was held in Florida’s 13th Congressional district to fill the seat that has been vacant since the death of Rep. Bill Young (R) in October.

The election was won by Republican David Jolly, who had a slim margin of victory over Democrat Alex Sink. The vote totals with all precincts reporting are 89,099 votes (48.43 percent) for Jolly and 85,642 votes (46.55 percent) for Sink.

But the story neglected by most of the establishment media is the performance of Libertarian Lucas Overby, who finished with 8,893 votes (4.83 percent). This is more than 2.5 times the margin of victory between the Republican and the Democrat in the race, and it is the first time that a Libertarian has ran for the House seat of Florida’s 13th Congressional district.

This comes only months after a historic performance by Libertarian Virginia gubernatorial candidate Robert Sarvis, who managed 6.52 percent on Nov. 5. Both performances are well above the normal 2-3 percent achieved by Libertarian candidates running for governor or U.S. Congress seats, and signal a potential upward trend for Libertarian candidates.

While no exit polls are available for the Florida special election, the exit polls from the Virginia election...
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U.S. Department of Labor figures show that actual unemployment is much higher than the federal government's number of "officially unemployed" workers. When added to the Department of Labor’s number of workers “not in the work force,” the total comes to 102.2 million — almost 10 million more unemployed since Barack Obama took office.

In response, Carla Howell, political director for the Libertarian Party, made the following statement to Press TV:

Manipulating the unemployment rate is one of many ways that the federal government deceives American voters.

No matter what politicians claim the unemployment rate is, workers searching for jobs know better. They see that Democratic and Republican promises to "stimulate the economy" have utterly failed.

In order to hand out pork and create artificial government jobs for special interests, Democrats and Republicans raise taxes, overspend, discourage investment in small businesses, and keep overall unemployment dangerously high.

The only real cure to unemployment is to drastically and immediately cut taxes and cut government spending. And to remove unneeded government regulations that stifle economic growth. By simply getting government out of the way, we can create millions of productive private-sector jobs.

The unemployment rate is dangerously high for young workers. They’re trapped between a rock and a hard place. They cannot find jobs reflective of their investment in education while being saddled with school loans they won’t be able to pay off for many years. It’s demoralizing and a tremendous waste of young talent and ambition.

But young workers aren’t the only ones affected. Workers of all ages struggle to find well-paying jobs.

Americans are becoming deeply concerned by Democrats’ and Republicans’ refusal to stop government overspending. Voters fear that the entire economy could suffer a major crash or prolonged recession, much like the decline of many European economies under socialist policies.

The Libertarian Party is the only political party in America dedicated to dramatically reducing government spending, taxation and debt, creating real economic growth.

Libertarian candidates such as Danny Bedwell, running for the U.S. House in Mississippi, vow to cut government spending dramatically and to regulate the federal government — rather than micromanage the people and businesses of the United States.

This is the kind of Libertarian vision we need in Washington, D.C., to turn this country around. We need to elect every small government Libertarian possible to both federal and local offices to create millions of private-sector jobs in America.

Overby covers margin continued from page 3

indicate that Sarvis narrowed the margin of victory for Democrat Terry McAuliffe. If a similar effect happened in the Florida special election, then it is possible that David Jolly has Lucas Overby to thank for his victory due to a spoiler effect against the Democrat, which is contrary to conventional wisdom that Libertarian candidates disproportionately hurt Republican candidates. This is quite possible, as Overby’s positions on foreign policy and social issues would be palatable to a disaffected Democratic voter.